

**THE REASONED ARBITRATION AWARD  
IN THE UNITED STATES:**

Its Promise, Problems,  
Preparation, and Preservation

**John Burritt McArthur**

**JURIS**

**Questions about This Publication**

For assistance with shipments, billing or other customer service matters, contact the Customer Service Department at:

[customerservice@jurispub.com](mailto:customerservice@jurispub.com)

For questions of an editorial nature, contact the Editorial Department at:

[editorial@jurispub.com](mailto:editorial@jurispub.com)

To obtain a copy of this book, visit:  
[www.arbitrationlaw.com](http://www.arbitrationlaw.com)

COPYRIGHT 2022  
by JurisNet, LLC

---

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced in any form or by any electronic or mechanical means including information storage and retrieval systems without permission in writing from the publisher.

---

Printed in the United States of America

ISBN: 978-1-944825-40-9

JURIS  
52 Elm Street, Ste 7  
Huntington, New York 11743  
USA  
[www.arbitrationlaw.com](http://www.arbitrationlaw.com)

## Contents

<i>Foreword by Thomas J. Stipanowich</i> .....	xvii
<i>Preface</i> .....	xxi
<i>Acknowledgments</i> .....	xxxv
<i>Getting the Most Out of This Book</i> .....	xxxix
<i>Arbitration Rules, Protocols and Procedures List of Abbreviations</i> .....	xlv

### PART ONE

#### Reasoned Awards and Their Virtues

<b>1 Reasoned Awards, Properly Defined and Enforced</b> .....	1-3
A. A Workable Definition of “Reasoned Award” .....	1-10
– Is this definition too detailed?.....	1-13
B. Taking Reasons Seriously: <i>Western Employers Insurance Co.</i> .....	1-14
C. Proper Judicial Review for Reasons Illustrated Twice More: <i>Galloway Construction and Tully Construction (Tully 1)</i> .....	1-18
– <i>Galloway Construction, LLC v. Utilipath</i> .....	1-18
– <i>Tully Construction Co. v. Canam Steel Corp.</i> .....	1-21
–The unreasoned first <i>Tully</i> award .....	1-22
–The <i>Tully</i> arbitrator’s refusal to elaborate .....	1-22
–The award’s remand.....	1-25
<b>2 The Patchwork of Domestic Rules on Award Form</b> .....	2-1
A. The Divide between the AAA and FINRA, and CPR and JAMS .....	2-1
B. AAA Exceptions: Reasoned-Award Defaults in AAA Specialized Rules .....	2-3
–The AAA’s default to the reasoned form for employment awards.....	2-4
–The AAA’s default to the reasoned form in consumer awards.....	2-6
–The AAA’s default to the reasoned form for class certification and appellate decisions .....	2-7
–The AAA’s imitation of FINRA in failing to require reasons in securities awards .....	2-8
C. The Tilt in Treatises and Precedent toward Standard Awards.....	2-14
<b>3 The Many Benefits of Reasoned Awards</b> .....	3-1
A. Building Legitimacy with the Parties .....	3-2
B. Building Institutional Legitimacy.....	3-10
C. Forcing Better Decision-Making .....	3-17
D. Sharpening Judicial Review .....	3-22
E. Satisfying Party Needs .....	3-24
F. Screening Arbitrator Quality .....	3-26
G. Promoting Arbitrators Who Do Explain .....	3-27

CONTENTS

H. Capitalizing on Arbitration’s Comparative Advantage:  
The Certainty of Getting Reasons ..... 3-28

I. Improving the Quality of Arbitration over Time..... 3-33

**PART TWO**  
**Threats to Reasoned Awards**

**4 The Failure of Judicial Review for Reasons**..... 4-3

A. The Original Sin: The Eleventh Circuit’s Upholding a Standard Award as Reasoned in *Cat Charter, LLC* ..... 4-3

- The *Cat Charter* arbitration..... 4-4
- The unreasoned *Cat Charter* attestation award ..... 4-5
- The *Cat Charter* trial court vacates the award ..... 4-6
- The Eleventh Circuit reinstates: 1. The “something more” test..... 4-7
- The Eleventh Circuit reinstates: 2. The definitional test ..... 4-8
- The toothlessness of the *Cat Charter* standard..... 4-9
- The Eleventh Circuit’s credibility theory is inconsistent with the outcome. 4-11
- Blaming the parties for the court’s failure..... 4-12
- Judicial fear of vacating awards ..... 4-13
- The award was a disaster for the “successful” Ryans ..... 4-14
- Cat Charter* unfurls its sails ..... 4-14

B. Confusing Contentions with Reasons: The Fifth Circuit’s *Rain CII Carbon Opinion* ..... 4-15

- The *Rain* arbitration ..... 4-15
- The unreasoned *Rain* award: an attestation award..... 4-15
- The trial court’s “distillation” of reasons and its confirmation of the *Rain* award ..... 4-16
- The Fifth Circuit affirmance ..... 4-17
- The Fifth Circuit confused contentions with reasons ..... 4-17
- The *Rain* arbitrator did not write his summary of party contentions!..... 4-18
- The real issues disclosed in the record: *Rain*’s true positions..... 4-21
- Neither cost nor delay excused the arbitrator’s refusal to give reasons ..... 4-25
- Small stakes could not justify the *Rain* award’s lack of reasons because the stakes were big..... 4-25
- The findings-and-conclusions toss off, again..... 4-25

C. *Et Tu, Second Circuit?* The Second Circuit Boards the *Cat Charter Train* ..... 4-26

- 1. *Leeward*’s Confirming a Bloated but Unreasoned Award ..... 4-26

  - The *Leeward* trial court used *Cat Charter*’s test to analyze the award ..... 4-27
  - The glaring absence of reasons ..... 4-29
  - The *Leeward* award granted damages in an area the arbitrators repeatedly found outside their jurisdiction ..... 4-30
  - The Second Circuit, affirming, bypassed the reasoned-award question .. 4-33

**CONTENTS**

- 2. *Tully 2: We Hardly Knew You, Tully 1* ..... 4-34
  - The rationale for confirming *Tully 2* ..... 4-37
  - Failed awards will keep creating opportunities to fix the test for reasons ..... 4-39
- D. *Stage Stores* and Other Rotten Fruits of *Cat Charter’s* Poisonous Tree ..... 4-39
  - The Stage Stores award, remanded for failure to address a significant defense ..... 4-40
  - The Texas court of appeals affirmed every part of the award except its unexplained rejection of the notice defense..... 4-41
  - Many courts followed *Cat Charter*..... 4-46
  - Arbitrators and courts must do better ..... 4-54
- E Remand for Clarification Is the Normal Remedy for Omitted Reasons ..... 4-55
- F. Courts Have Not Been This Confused about Reasons in Other Settings ..... 4-58
  - Judicial opinions..... 4-60
  - Administrative decisions and rules ..... 4-62
  - International awards ..... 4-65
- 5 The Pathologies of Unreasoned Awards** .....5-1
  - A. Implausibly Short Announcement Awards (Calling Winners and Losers) .....5-2
  - B. Attestation Awards.....5-7
  - C. Burden and Credibility Awards ..... 5-10
  - D. Other “More than a Simple Result” Awards: Issue-Spotting and Contention Awards..... 5-14
    - Issue-spotting awards ..... 5-14
    - Contention awards..... 5-15
  - E. Volumetric Awards..... 5-17
  - F. Evidentiary List Awards..... 5-21
  - G. One Sign of Inadequately Reasoned Awards: Judicial Divination Review ..... 5-22
  - H. A Second Sign of Inadequacy: Merits-Testing Review..... 5-24
- 6 Exceeded-Powers Vacatur and the Vulnerability Myth** .....6-1
  - A. The Ubiquity of the Vulnerability Myth .....6-7
  - B. Keeping Arbitrators within Their Powers ..... 6-14
  - C. The General Rule of Strong Deference ..... 6-16
    - The *Steelworkers* trilogy: Deference, deference, deference..... 6-16
    - *Misco*: Accepting even awards with “serious error” as long as the arbitrators are “even arguably construing or applying” the contract..... 6-21
    - The class action awards in *Stolt-Nielsen* and *Oxford Health Plans*..... 6-22
  - D. But One Cardinal Sin: Disregarding a Plain Limit on Powers ..... 6-29
  - E. Exceeded-Powers Challenges Usually Fail ..... 6-43
  - F. The Protective Power of Reasons..... 6-47
    - 1. Reasons Usually Protect Awards..... 6-48

**CONTENTS**

- 2. Reasons Rarely Cause Vacatur ..... 6-55
- 3. Particular Protection for Decisions about Facts, Procedures, Evidence, Remedies, and Equity..... 6-62
- 4. An Abandoned Idea: That Reasons Signal Consent to Judicial Review ..... 6-64
- 5. Reasons’ Removal of Hypothetical Grounds for Upholding Awards..... 6-64
- 6. Reasons’ Exposure of Obvious Violation of Powers..... 6-70
- G. Exceeded-Powers, a Doctrine That Lets Courts Correct Some Mistakes of Law ..... 6-70
- H. The Healthy Effect of Vacatur When Arbitrators Do Exceed Powers..... 6-72
- 7 The Other Grounds for Vacatur and the Vulnerability Myth ..... 7-1**
  - A. The Big Picture ..... 7-1
  - B. The Shield Reasons Provide against Manifest-Disregard Challenges ..... 7-6
    - 1. The Excruciating Narrowness of Manifest-Disregard Review ..... 7-7
    - 2. Reasons’ Inconsistency with Manifest-Disregard..... 7-19
    - 3. The *De Minimis* Success of Manifest Disregard ..... 7-27
  - C. Public Policy, a Third Avenue for Correcting Mistakes of Law ..... 7-30
    - 1. Vacatur for Violating Clear, Well-Defined and Dominant Public Policies..... 7-34
    - 2. The Usual Irrelevance of Reasons to Most Public-Policy Vacaturs ..... 7-45
    - 3. Extreme Outcomes Maximize Challenges, but Vacatur Remains Rare..... 7-47
  - D. The Three Misconduct Violations ..... 7-48
    - 1. Evident Partiality Requires Extreme Misbehavior, Usually Gross Nondisclosure..... 7-49
      - Commonwealth Coatings*, the defining standard..... 7-51
      - Diversification in the lower courts on small points ..... 7-55
      - What the evident-partiality standards mean for arbitrators ..... 7-64
      - The risk of vacatur for evident partiality usually turns on conduct (and its absence in nondisclosure situations), not on the award’s reasons.... 7-69
      - Arbitrators should not test ethical compliance by whether their nondisclosures and other challenged behavior are likely to scrape by or not..... 7-77
    - 2. The Rarity of Arbitrator Misconduct..... 7-78
    - 3. The Equal Rarity of Party “Fraud, Corruption, or Undue Means” ..... 7-86
  - E. The Grab Bag Standards: “Completely Irrational,” “Arbitrary and Capricious,” “Fails to Draw Its Essence,” and the Like..... 7-90
  - F. The Underused Section 10(a)(4) Power to Challenge Imperfect Execution..... 7-93
  - G. The Bottom Line: Reasons Usually Strengthen Awards..... 7-104

**CONTENTS**

**8 The Inefficiency Critique** .....8-1

A. The Overstated Inefficiency Argument.....8-8

- Efficiency in context: the relative costs of writing awards are less than usually portrayed.....8-8
- Reasons often are not hard to state.....8-9
- Much of award cost and delay is due to deliberations, not explaining deliberations when they are done..... 8-10
- Arbitrators, like judges, can write explanations of varying length ..... 8-10
- Some perceived costs and delays from reasoned awards are exaggerated because of the vulnerability myth ..... 8-12
- Award deadlines limit the cost and delay that could come from giving reasons ..... 8-13
- Compared to what? The right question is whether reasoned awards’ costs are small compared to the benefits ..... 8-14
- Let us not forget the costs of unreasoned awards..... 8-15

B. Exaggerations about Costs ..... 8-16

C. Increasing Scrutiny of Reasoned Awards: Short-Term Costs, Yes, but Long-Term Gains..... 8-16

**PART THREE**  
**Writing Reasoned Awards**

**9 Setting the Stage, Building the Foundation** .....9-3

A. The Overview and Short History .....9-5

B. Gateway and Framework.....9-8

1. Jurisdiction: Appointment..... 9-8
2. Jurisdiction: Validity of Agreement..... 9-11
3. Jurisdiction: Subject Matter (“Scope”) of the Agreement to Arbitrate ..... 9-13
  - Who decides? ..... 9-14
  - How should arbitrators (and others) interpret language defining the scope of arbitration? ..... 9-16
4. Jurisdiction: Parties..... 9-24
5. Applicable Law, and What It Means ..... 9-28
  - Deciding and explaining which law applies..... 9-31
  - Saying what applying the law means when that is a disputed issue..... 9-35
6. Applicable Rules..... 9-44
7. Burden of Proof ..... 9-52
  - Arbitrators should state the burden of proof to reassure the parties that the arbitrators applied the law’s standards fairly..... 9-53
  - Unpersuasive reasons to not bother having the award state the applicable burdens of proof ..... 9-58
  - The burden is more than just a categorical declaration (like “preponderance” or “clear and convincing”), and parties need to brief, and arbitrators explain, accordingly ..... 9-64

**CONTENTS**

8. Statutes of Limitations: How Could They Not Apply? ..... 9-69

9. The Form of the Award..... 9-78

10. The Requirements for Class Arbitration ..... 9-79

11. Discovery Rulings and Other Procedural Decisions ..... 9-90

12. Dispositive Rulings ..... 9-95

**10 Defining the Questions ..... 10-1**

A. Framing the Issues, a Critical Step ..... 10-5

B. Explaining the Decision on Each Disputed Issue for Every Potentially  
Dispositive Claim, Counterclaim, Defense and Remedy..... 10-15

C. Focusing Carefully on What the Parties Say ..... 10-19

D. Addressing Alternative Claims and Defenses..... 10-20

E. Addressing the Losing Party’s Claims, Defenses, and Remedies Too ..... 10-28

F. The Limited Role of Proposed Awards ..... 10-34

G. Risky Behavior: Leaving Reasons “Implicit” or Otherwise Unstated ..... 10-39

–No claims or defenses are so obvious they should be ignored in a  
reasoned award ..... 10-42

–Assuming that rejection is implicit in “other portions” of the award is  
not a reason ..... 10-45

–Arbitrators ought not withhold reasons just because they think a  
contention is frivolous ..... 10-47

–Truly “unclear” claims may not deserve reasoned explanation, but only  
if they really are incomprehensible (a rare situation)..... 10-50

–Abandoned claims should be identified, though only summarily ..... 10-51

H. Insufficient Reasons: Just Saying whether Arbitrators Did  
Their Job, a Position Was Credible, or a Party Met Its Burden..... 10-55

I. No, No, No: *Never* Inject Unsubmitted Theories..... 10-55

**11 The Long and the Short of Reasoned Awards ..... 11-1**

A. Variations in Length..... 11-1

B. Examples of Long and Short Awards ..... 11-4

C. Length and the Inefficiency Critique..... 11-15

D. The Exaggeration of Drafting Costs ..... 11-16

E. The Factors Affecting Award Length ..... 11-17

–Party choice..... 11-18

–Factual and legal complexity ..... 11-18

–The amount in controversy..... 11-20

–The contentiousness of the parties and perceived likelihood of a  
challenge..... 11-21

F. A Special Challenge: Consumer, Employment, and Other  
Non-Negotiated Contracts..... 11-22

G. The Too-Reasoned Award ..... 11-25

**12 Narrating the Facts ..... 12-1**

A. The Centrality of Facts ..... 12-1



**CONTENTS**

- B. Arbitrators’ Superior Position to Explain the Facts..... 12-6
- C. Arbitrators’ Discretion over the Facts’ Order, Structure, and Level of Detail..... 12-7
- D. Describing Factual Contentions and Then Announcing a Resolution Is Not Enough ..... 12-15
- E. Arranging the Facts with an Eye to Pertinent Law ..... 12-16
- F. Explaining Credibility and Other Seemingly Holistic Judgments..... 12-16
- G. Compressing Facts and Omitting Irrelevant Evidence ..... 12-28
- H. The Deterrent Effect of Fuller Explanations ..... 12-33
- I. Explaining Decisions on Non-Dispositive Facts ..... 12-34
  
- 13 Laying Down the Law ..... 13-1**
  - A. Identifying Applicable Law ..... 13-6
  - B. Identifying and Explaining Each Disputed Element of Claims, Defenses, and Remedies ..... 13-7
    - A conscious focus on which claims are dispositive and which elements disputed reduces the work involved in drafting awards..... 13-7
    - Good awards transform legal questions into factual questions, and should be explicit when they do so ..... 13-13
    - Even terms in common usage can need legal definition..... 13-19
    - Adding legal grounding to an award is rarely a difficult, lengthy, or expensive (for the parties) proposition ..... 13-23
  - C. Don’t Forget Defenses!..... 13-25
  - D. Why Arbitrators May Be Tempted to Slight the Law ..... 13-31
  - E. Applying the Law to the Facts..... 13-34
  - F. Explaining “Pure” Questions of Law, Including in Dispositive and Appellate Awards..... 13-39
  
- 14 Explaining Remedies and Closing the Award ..... 14-1**
  - A. Remedies and Discretion: The Rule of Law Question ..... 14-5
  - B. Decisions on Damages and Other Remedies..... 14-9
    - 1. Actual Damages Need Explanation ..... 14-12
    - 2. Not a Reason: “We Find Party A’s Expert the Most Reasonable” ..... 14-31
    - 3. Attorneys’ Fees and Costs ..... 14-33
      - The American Rule ..... 14-33
      - The mutual-request exception ..... 14-35
      - Agreements expressly allocating fees or confirming the American Rule must be honored..... 14-40
      - Measuring what fee is reasonable..... 14-46
      - Fees as sanctions ..... 14-49
      - State rules on measuring fees ..... 14-50
      - Benchmarking fees by opposing party’s fees. .... 14-51
      - Bifurcation..... 14-52
      - Contingent Fee Awards..... 14-53
      - Costs and expenses..... 14-55

## CONTENTS

4. Punitive Damages .....	14-59
5. Equitable Relief.....	14-75
6. Sanctions .....	14-76
7. Pre-Award, Post-Award, and Post-Judgment Interest.....	14-79
C. Award Finality.....	14-84
1. Addressing All Questions Submitted.....	14-88
2. The Award’s Title and Content: Interim or Final, Partial or Complete?.....	14-90
D. Closing: The Swan Song.....	14-92
E. Whether to Dissent (and How) .....	14-95
F. How to Write Reasoned Awards, Revisited .....	14-97

## PART FOUR

### Reasons in Other Award Forms

<b>15 Making Standard Awards More Reasonable.....</b>	<b>15-3</b>
A. Adding Reasonableness, but Not Reasons .....	15-4
1. The One-Page Announcement Award.....	15-4
2. Using Non-Explanatory Detail.....	15-7
–Prehearing history and threshold issues .....	15-7
–Hearing history .....	15-9
–Claims and contentions .....	15-9
–The continuing importance of closing time .....	15-12
3. Standard-Form Dissents and Interim, Emergency, and Dispositive Awards.....	15-13
B. Minimizing Cost and Time.....	15-13
C. Resist Temptation: Don’t Get Smart (This Means You!) .....	15-14
D. What Arbitrators Should Ask the Parties.....	15-16
E. Supplementing with Off-the-Record Oral Explanations .....	15-17
<b>16 Improving Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law .....</b>	<b>16-1</b>
A. Reasoned Awards and Findings and Conclusions, Substantive Equals.....	16-2
B. Good Narrative Writing and Structure.....	16-7
C. The Same Reasoned Requirement Applies to Findings and Conclusions as to Reasoned Awards.....	16-15

## PART FIVE

### Reforms and Improvements

<b>17 Desirable Reforms .....</b>	<b>17-3</b>
A. A Consistent Definition of Reasoned Awards.....	17-6
B. A Definition of Standard Awards, Too.....	17-13
C. A Definition of Findings and Conclusions .....	17-15

**CONTENTS**

D. Statutes and (1) Defining Award Forms, and (2) Broadening Use of Imperfect Execution..... 17-16

E. Reconsidering AAA and FINRA Default Award Forms, and the AAA’s Too-Early Notice Requirement ..... 17-17

    –Reconsidering the AAA and FINRA’s less-than-reasoned default awards .. 17-18

    –Making sure AAA parties have time to request a reasoned award ..... 17-19

    –Broadening the scope of FINRA’s “explained” award ..... 17-21

F. Acknowledging that Awards without Required Reasons Are Not *Functus Officio* ..... 17-23

G. Providers’ Role in Standardizing Terms for Interim, Partial, and Final Awards ..... 17-26

H. FAA Section 10(a)(4)’s Imperfect-Execution Ground: A Too Little-Used Ground for Vacating Insufficiently Reasoned Awards ..... 17-27

I. Improving Arbitration in the United States ..... 17-28

**18 It Is the Parties’ Arbitration ..... 18-1**

**Notes on the Appendix ..... Appendix Notes-1**

**Table of Cases ..... TOC-1**

**Table of Authorities ..... TOA-1**

**Table of Statutes and Rules ..... TOS-1**

    Arbitration Rules/Procedures..... TOS-1

    Federal Rules/Statutes ..... TOS-9

    State Rules/Statutes ..... TOS-13

    Uniform Laws ..... TOS-15

    Miscellaneous ..... TOS-17

**Index ..... I-1**

**Appendix\***

\* Purchasers of this book, whether in print or digital format, are entitled to receive a PDF of the appendices, which are a bonus feature of the book, when their order is fulfilled. Please ensure you have received the appendix file in your ArbitrationLaw.com account when you receive your book. If you do not have access to the appendix file, contact info@arbitrationlaw.com. To keep the focus on the substance of the awards, the arbitrators’ names are redacted in the awards in Appendices A and B.

**Notes on the Appendix ..... Appendix Notes-1**

**A. Unreasoned Awards**

1. The *Western Employers Award* (Dec. 12, 1989)
- 2.a. The *Tully* Final Award of Arbitrator (Apr. 24, 2013)
- 2.b. The *Tully* arbitrator’s email refusing to provide reasons (May 3, 2013)
- 2.c. The *Tully* Final Enlarged, Reasoned Award of Arbitrator (June 4, 2015)
3. The *Galloway* Arbitration Decision and Award (January 17, 2014)

## CONTENTS

4. The *Cat Charter* Award of Arbitrators (Dec. 7, 2009)
5. The *Rain CII Carbon* Award of Arbitrator (April 4, 2011)
6. The *Stage Stores* Amended Final Award (Mar. 17, 2013)
7. The *STMicroelectronics* Award (Feb. 11-12, 2009)
- 8.a. The *Smarter Tools* Final Award (Feb. 23, 2018)
- 8.b. The *Smarter Tools* Final Amended Award (Jan. 22, 2020)
9. The *Leeward* Final Award (June 22, 2012) 12-6280
10. The *Olson* Arbitration Award (Feb. 3, 2014)
11. The *Vold* Award of Arbitrator (July 13, 2004)
12. The *R&Q* Reinsurance Final Award (Feb. 5, 2010)

### **B. Reasoned Awards**

1. The *Forrest* Final Award (reasoned portion in incorporated Interim Award)(Nov.9, 2010)
2. The *Sarofim* Final Award (Aug. 19, 2004)
3. The *Carmody* Award (Feb. 4, 2013)
- 4.a. The *Oxford Health Plans* Memorandum and Order (rejecting argument that the arbitration could not be a class arbitration)(Sept. 23, 2003)
- 4.b. The *Oxford Health Plans* Procedural Order No. 18 Determining Motion (rejecting argument in motion for reconsideration that *Stolt-Nielsen* opinion required decertification)(July 6, 2010)
- 4.c. The *Oxford Health Plans* Partial Final Class Determination Award of Arbitrator (order certifying class arbitration)(Sept. 23, 2013)
5. The *Stolt-Nielsen* Partial Final Clause Construction Award (Dec. 20, 2005)
6. The *Murchison* Award of Arbitrators (Oct. 4-5, 2012)
7. The *CNA ClaimPlus* Interim Award (Sept. 27, 2010)
8. The *Thames* Award of Arbitrator (Nov. 30, 2012)
- 9.a. The *Denbury* Award (Dec. 16, 2013)
- 9.b. The *Denbury* Modification and Clarification of Award (Mar. 6, 2014).
- 9.c. The *Denbury* Second Modification and Clarification of Award (Mar. 26, 2014)
- 10.a. The *American Centennial* Final Order and Award (Tierney Award)(Dec. 16, 2003)
- 10.b. The *American Centennial* (Tierney Award) Clarification and Explanation of Final Order and Award (Jan. 16, 2004)
- 10.c. The *American Centennial* Final Decision and Award (Rosen Panel)(Mar. 7, 2008).
- 10.d. The *American Centennial* Final Award and Order (Phair Panel)(Dec. 5, 2011)

### **C. Sources of Key Awards Referenced in the Book**

### **D. Forty-One Exceeded-Powers Opinions Vacating Awards for Violating Seemingly “Plain” Limits on the Arbitrators’ Powers**

### **E. Opinions Vacating Awards for Manifest Disregard**

### **F. Public-Policy Vacatur Opinions in the 23 Vacated Awards**

### **G. The Nondisclosures and Apparent Partiality behind the 14 Successful Evident-Partiality Challenges**

### **H. The McArthur Westlaw Key-System Study Methodology**

### **I. Success Ratios and the Total Number of Awards Issued Annually**