

*Iura Novit Curia*  
**in International Arbitration**

**Franco Ferrari**

**Giuditta Cordero-Moss**

*Editors*

**NYU**

Center for Transnational Litigation,  
Arbitration and Commercial Law

**JURIS**

**Questions About This Publication**

For assistance with shipments, billing or other customer service matters, please call our Customer Services Department at:

1-631-350-2100

To obtain a copy of this book, call our Sales Department:

1-631-351-5430

Fax: 1-631-673-9117

Toll Free Order Line:

1-800-887-4064 (United States & Canada)

See our webpage about this book:

[www.jurispub.com](http://www.jurispub.com)

COPYRIGHT 2018  
JurisNet, LLC

---

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced in any form or by any electronic or mechanical means including information storage and retrieval systems without permission in writing from the publisher.

---

Printed in the United States of America  
ISBN 978-1-944825-12-6

JurisNet, LLC  
71 New Street  
Huntington, New York 11743  
USA  
[www.jurispub.com](http://www.jurispub.com)

## Contents

ABOUT THE EDITORS .....	xxi
ABOUT THE CONTRIBUTORS .....	xxiii
PREFACE.....	xxxix
<b>Chapter 1 .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b><i>Jura Novit Arbitrator in Argentine Arbitration Law</i></b>	
<i>Julio César Rivera Jr.</i>	
I. Introduction.....	1
II. Annulment Actions: Grounds of Invalidity .....	2
III. <i>Jura Novit Curia</i> and Annulment Actions Under Argentine Law .....	4
A. The Violation of the Principle of Congruence as Ground for Annulment and the <i>Jura Novit Curia</i> .....	4
B. “Essential Procedural Errors” as Ground of Annulment and <i>Jura Novit Curia</i> .....	7
1. “Essential Procedural Errors” as Ground of Annulment and the Arbitral Tribunal’s Power to Interpret and Construe the Contract According to the Governing Law .....	8
2. “Essential Procedural Errors” as Ground of Annulment and the Arbitral Tribunals’ Power to Apply the Legal Sources it Deems Applicable.....	10
3. “Essential Procedural Errors” as Ground of Annulment and the Arbitral Tribunals’ Power to Make its Own Legal Inferences from the Factual Basis that was Proven by the Parties.....	12
IV. <i>Jura Novit Curia</i> in Recognition and Enforcement Actions Under the New York Convention.....	13
V. Conclusion .....	15
<b>Chapter 2 .....</b>	<b>17</b>
<b><i>Jura Novit Arbitrator in Austria</i></b>	
<i>Katharina Auernig and Paul Oberhammer</i>	
I. Introduction.....	17
II. Procedural Background in Austria.....	18
III. Definition of the Subject Matter .....	19

## CONTENTS

A. The Arbitral Tribunal’s Power to Make Its Own Legal Inferences from the Factual Basis that Was Proven by the Parties.....	19
B. The Arbitral Tribunal’s Power to Apply the Governing Law to Interpret, Construe, Supplement or Correct the Contract.....	20
C. The Arbitral Tribunal’s Power to Apply the Legal Sources It Deems Applicable, Even If They Do Not Belong to the Law Chosen or Pleaded by the Parties.....	21
D. The Arbitral Tribunal’s Power to Order, Independently from the Parties’ Pleadings, the Remedies that Follow from the Sources of Law the Arbitral Tribunal Deems Applicable.....	24
IV. Express Law Provisions Relevant to <i>Jura Novit Curia</i> .....	25
A. Provisions on the Recognition and Enforcement and for the Setting Aside of Awards.....	25
1. The Right to Present One’s Case (Article V[1][b] of the NYC; Section of the 611[2][2] Austrian CCP).....	26
2. Excess of Powers (Article V[1][c] of the NYC; Section 611[2][3] of the Austrian CCP).....	30
3. Procedural Irregularity (Article V[1][d] of the NYC).....	32
4. Substantive Public Policy (Article V[2][b] of the NYC; Section of the 611[2][8] Austrian CCP).....	33
B. Setting Aside of Awards According to Section 611(2)(5) of the Austrian CCP for Violations of Procedural Public Policy.....	34
C. Other Provisions Potentially Relevant for Questions of <i>Jura Novit Curia</i> .....	36
1. The Principle that Each Party Carries the Burden to Prove Its Own Allegations.....	37
2. The Arbitral Tribunal’s Power to Request Additional Information.....	38
3. The Principle that the Arbitral Tribunal Shall Be Impartial.....	39
4. The Arbitral Tribunal’s Position in Case of Default by One Party.....	40

## CONTENTS

D. Institutional Rules and Their Possible Impact on the Application of <i>Jura Novit Arbiter</i> .....	41
V. The Law as Applied by the Different Actors.....	43
A. Sources Applied to Fill the Gaps or to Guide Application of the Law in the Matter of <i>Jura Novit Curia</i> .....	43
B. State Court's Perspective on <i>Jura Novit Arbiter</i> (Domestic Award vs. Foreign Award) .....	44
C. Field of Experience of One or Both Counsel (International Arbitration vs. Domestic Litigation).....	45
D. Context of the Dispute (International Dispute vs. Domestic Dispute) .....	45
E. Composition of the Arbitral Tribunal (Only Arbitrators of Austrian Nationality vs. More than One Member of the Arbitral Tribunal with Different Nationalities) .....	46
VI. Conclusion .....	46
<b>Chapter 3 .....</b>	<b>47</b>
<b><i>Jura Novit Arbiter</i> under Brazilian law</b>	
<i>Rafael Francisco Alves</i>	
I. Introduction.....	47
II. Express Law Provisions Relevant to <i>Jura Novit Arbiter</i> in Brazil .....	49
A. Foreign Arbitral Awards and <i>Jura Novit Arbiter</i> in Brazil .....	52
B. Domestic Arbitral Awards and <i>Jura Novit Arbiter</i> in Brazil.....	58
1. Excess of Power and <i>Jura Novit Arbiter</i> in Domestic Arbitral Awards in Brazil .....	60
a) Applicable law and legal sources .....	60
(i) Applicable law chosen by the parties .....	61
(ii) Applicable law not chosen by the parties .....	63
b) Legal basis, legal reasoning and remedies .....	64
2. Fair Hearing, Procedural Regularity, Adversary Principle and <i>Jura Novit Arbiter</i> in Domestic Arbitral Awards in Brazil .....	69
3. Brazilian Case Law on <i>Jura Novit Arbiter</i> Regarding Domestic Arbitral Awards .....	72

## CONTENTS

C. Arbitration Rules of Arbitral Institutions that Are Relevant to <i>Jura Novit Arbiter</i> .....	75
III. The Law as Applied by the Different Actors .....	77
IV. Conclusion .....	80
<b>Chapter 4 .....</b>	<b>91</b>
<b><i>Jura Novit Arbiter in Canada</i></b>	
<i>J. Brian Casey and Shunghyo Kim</i>	
I. Introduction .....	91
II. Recognition and Enforcement Under the New York Convention .....	93
A. Fair Hearing .....	93
B. Procedural Irregularity .....	95
C. Excess of Power .....	97
III. Grounds for Invalidation Under the UNCITRAL Model Law .....	99
A. Fair Hearing .....	100
B. Procedural Irregularity .....	103
C. Excess of Jurisdiction .....	104
IV. Implications of Domestic Arbitration Law .....	105
A. Each Party Carries the Burden of Proving Its Own Allegations .....	105
B. The Legal Basis for the Tribunal to Request Additional Information .....	107
C. Impartiality .....	108
D. Default by One Party .....	109
V. Arbitration Rules in Canada .....	109
A. Institutional Rules .....	109
1. Burden of Proof .....	110
2. Power to Request Additional Information .....	110
3. Impartiality .....	110
4. Default of a Party .....	111
VI. Additional Sources of Law .....	111
VII. Application of the Law by Various Actors .....	111
A. Courts .....	111
B. Lawyers .....	112
C. Arbitral Tribunals .....	112
VIII. Conclusion .....	112

## CONTENTS

<b>Chapter 5 .....</b>	<b>115</b>
<b><i>Iura Novit Arbiter in Danish Arbitration Law</i></b>	
<i>Joseph M. Lookofsky and Clement Salung Petersen</i>	
I. Introduction.....	115
II. Arbitration in Denmark: Sources and Institutions .....	116
A. International Legislation and the Danish Arbitration Act .....	116
B. Arbitral Institutions in Denmark .....	118
III. <i>Iura Novit Arbiter</i> under Danish Arbitration Law .....	119
A. Grounds for Setting Aside and Refusing Enforcement of an Arbitral Award .....	119
1. Violation of Due Process .....	120
2. Excess of Power ( <i>ne ultra petitia</i> ) .....	120
3. Procedural Irregularity .....	121
4. Lack of Arbitrability and Public Policy .....	121
B. Rules of Conduct in the Danish Arbitration Act .....	122
1. Due Process Requirements .....	122
2. The Principle of Party Presentation .....	123
3. <i>Iura Novit Arbiter</i> and a Fair Hearing .....	126
4. Applicable Rules of Law .....	127
5. Conduct of Proceedings .....	128
6. Default by Respondent.....	129
7. Competence of the Tribunal to Rule on its Jurisdiction.....	129
C. Rules of Danish Arbitral Institutions.....	131
IV. Conclusions.....	132
A. Decisions on the Merits.....	132
B. Decisions on Jurisdiction .....	133
<b>Chapter 6 .....</b>	<b>135</b>
<b><i>Iura Novit Arbiter in England and Wales: The Exercise of Arbitral Discretion</i></b>	
<i>Loukas Mistelis and Metka Potocnik</i>	
I. Introduction.....	135
II. Definition of the Subject-matter .....	136
III. Legal Sources Relevant to <i>Iura Novit Arbiter</i> .....	140
A. Relevant Provisions in EAA.....	140
1. Arbitral Tribunal's General Powers and Duties.....	140

## CONTENTS

2. Relevant Provisions on Challenge and Enforceability of Arbitral Awards .....	144
B. Relevant Provisions in LCIA Rules – The Arbitral Institution in London.....	145
IV. Arbitrators’ Powers and Discretion – The Application in Practice .....	147
A. Arbitrators’ Decision Making Powers – The Power to Make Independent Investigation as to Fact or Law .....	147
B. Mandatory Rules – Arbitrators’ Duty to Investigate? .....	158
C. Arbitrators’ Jurisdictional Powers – The Power to Interpret, Construe, Supplement or Correct Contracts .....	160
1. Arbitrator’s Duty to Know Precedent.....	160
2. Interpretation of Commercial Contracts – “Gap-Filling” and Correction of Commercial Bargains .....	162
3. The Power to Award Remedies .....	163
V. <i>Jura Novit Arbitrator</i> as Applied by Different Actors .....	163
A. The Role of Other Sources .....	163
B. Practices Developed as the Result of English Sources of Law.....	164
VI. Conclusion .....	165
<b>Chapter 7 .....</b>	<b>169</b>
<b><i>Jura Novit Arbitrator in France</i></b>	
<i>Gilles Cuniberti and Nicolina Bordian</i>	
I. Express Law Provisions Relevant to <i>Jura Novit Curia</i> .....	169
A. 1958 New York Convention.....	169
B. French Arbitration Law .....	169
1. French Code of Civil Procedure .....	169
a) Excess of power .....	170
b) Due process .....	171
C. Rules on the Conduct of the Proceedings.....	176
1. The Principle that Each Party Carries the Burden to Prove Its Own Allegations .....	176
2. The AT’s Power to Request Additional Information .....	177
3. The Principle that the AT Shall Be Impartial .....	177

## CONTENTS

4. The AT's Position in Case of Default by One Party .....	177
D. Arbitration Rules of Arbitral Institutions .....	178
1. The Arbitration Rules of Two French Arbitral Institutions Include Provisions Relevant to the Principle <i>Jura Novit Curia</i> .....	178
a) Arbitration Rules of the Chambre Arbitrale Maritime de Paris .....	178
b) Arbitration Rules of the (French) Association for Arbitration .....	181
II. The Law as Applied by the Different Actors.....	183
A. Sources Used for Gap Filling or Guidance in Application .....	183
1. Case Law .....	185
2. Other Sources.....	185
B. Consistent Behavior when Dealing with Legal Sources .....	186
<b>Chapter 8 .....</b>	<b>187</b>
<b><i>Jura Novit Curia in International Commercial Arbitration – The German Perspective</i></b>	
<i>Burkhard Hess and Leon Marcel Kahl</i>	
I. Introduction.....	187
A. Background and Purpose.....	187
B. Structure and Method .....	187
II. Definition of the Subject Matter for Comparative Purposes .....	189
III. Express Law Provisions Relevant to <i>Jura Novit Curia</i> .....	190
A. Refusing Recognition and Enforcement of the Award under Article V of the 1958 New York Convention .....	190
1. Fair Hearing, NYC Article V(1)(b) and ZPO Section 1059(2) No. 1(b).....	191
a) The ordinary-law standard for German courts under ZPO section 139.....	192
b) The constitutional standard for arbitral tribunals under Basic Law article 103(1) .....	193
i To discuss the legal issues of the case with the parties is dispensable except where the arbitral tribunal misdirected them through prior statements.....	193

## CONTENTS

ii. The arbitral tribunal must not, without prior notification, focus on legal considerations not to be expected.....	195
2. Procedural Irregularity, NYC Article V(1)(d) and ZPO Section 1059(2) No. 1(d).....	197
a) The burden of proof.....	197
b) The arbitral tribunal's power to request additional information.....	199
c) The impartiality of the arbitral tribunal.....	199
d) The arbitral tribunal's position in case of default ...	202
e) Other rules impacting on the arbitral tribunal's power to develop its own reasoning.....	203
3. Excess of Power, NYC Article V(1)(c) and ZPO Section 1059(2) No. 1(c).....	204
B. Setting Aside an Award under ZPO Section 1059(2) .....	205
C. Rules on the Conduct of the Proceedings in German Arbitration Law .....	205
D. The Impact of Arbitration Rules by German Institutions.....	206
1. The Burden of Proof .....	206
2. The Arbitral Tribunal's Power to Request Additional Information .....	207
3. The Impartiality of the Arbitral Tribunal.....	208
4. The Arbitral Tribunal's Position in Case of Default .....	208
5. Other Rules Impacting on the Arbitral Tribunal's Power to Develop its Own Reasoning.....	209
6. The Influence of Institutional Arbitration Rules Beyond Their Scope of Application .....	210
a) Court cases .....	210
b) Arbitration cases.....	211
IV. The Law as Applied by the Different Actors.....	211
A. Additional Sources Used for Gap Filling or Guidance in Application.....	211
1. Court Cases.....	211
2. Arbitration Cases .....	212
B. Survey on Consistent Behavior when Dealing with Legal Sources .....	213
1. The Courts' Behavior .....	213
2. Different Pleading Techniques .....	214

## CONTENTS

3. Inclination of Arbitral Tribunals to be Guided by Certain Legal Sources Dependent on the Nature of Arbitration Proceedings (Domestic or International).....	214
4. Inclination of Arbitral Tribunals to be Guided by Certain Legal Sources Dependent on the Composition of the Arbitral Tribunal .....	215
V. Conclusion .....	215
VI. Annex – Answers to the Survey on Consistent Behavior when Dealing with Legal Sources.....	217
A. Do Lawyers Apply Different Pleading Techniques, Depending on Whether They Are Specialized in International Arbitration or Plead Mainly before Their National Courts? .....	217
B. Are Arbitral Tribunals More Inclined to be Guided by the Civil Procedure or Private International Law of the Seat of Arbitration When Deciding on Domestic Rather than International Disputes? .....	220
C. Are Arbitral Tribunals More Inclined to be Guided by International Sources when the AT Consists of More Than One Member with Different Nationalities?.....	222
<b>Chapter 9 .....</b>	<b>225</b>
<b><i>Iura Novit Curia in Hong Kong Arbitration Law</i></b>	
<i>Z.J. Jennifer Lim &amp; Nathaniel J. Lai</i>	
I. Introduction.....	225
II. Hong Kong’s Approach to <i>Iura Novit Curia</i> in Arbitration ....	226
A. The Tribunal’s Inquisitorial Powers.....	227
B. The Requirement of Reasonable, Not Full, Opportunity to Present Cases .....	228
III. Limits to the Use of <i>Iura Novit Curia</i> in Hong Kong Arbitration.....	230
A. Due Process .....	231
1. <i>Paklito Investment Limited v. Klockner East Asia Limited</i> .....	232
2. <i>Apex Tech Investment Ltd. v. Chuang’s Development (China) Ltd.</i> .....	233
3. <i>Brunswick Bowling &amp; Billiards Corp. v. Shanghai Zhonglu Industrial Co. Ltd.</i> .....	233

## CONTENTS

4. <i>Grand Pacific Holdings Ltd. v. Pacific China Holdings Ltd. (in liq) (No 1)</i> .....	235
5. <i>Pang Wai Hak v. Hua Yunjian</i> .....	238
6. <i>X Chartering v. Y</i> .....	239
7. <i>China Solar Power (Holdings) Ltd. v. ULVAC Inc.</i> ...	240
8. <i>China Property Development (Holdings) Ltd. v. Mandecly Ltd.</i> .....	242
B. Public Policy .....	242
C. Excess of Power .....	243
D. Towards a Coherent Outer Limit on <i>Iura Novit Curia</i> in Hong Kong? .....	245
IV. Other Rules Relevant to <i>Iura Novit Curia</i> in Hong Kong Arbitration .....	246
A. General Principles of Hong Kong Civil Procedure .....	246
B. 2013 HKIAC Administered Arbitration Rules .....	247
1. Evidentiary Issues .....	247
2. Legal Bases for Decision .....	248
V. Conclusion .....	248
<b>Chapter 10</b> .....	<b>251</b>
<b><i>Iura Novit Arbiter</i> in Russian Arbitration Law</b> <i>Natalia Gaidaenko Schaer, Natalyia Doronina and Natalia Semilyutina</i>	
I. Introduction .....	251
II. Arbitration in Russia: Laws and Institutions after the Reform of 2015 .....	252
III. <i>Iura Novit Arbiter</i> in Russian Arbitration Law .....	257
A. Express Law Provisions Relevant to <i>Iura Novit Arbiter</i> ...	257
1. <i>Iura Novit Arbiter</i> and Fair Hearing .....	257
2. Procedural Irregularity .....	263
3. Excess of Power .....	266
4. Lack of Arbitrability and Public Policy .....	271
B. Other Provisions Relevant to <i>Iura Novit Arbiter</i> .....	274
1. Each Party Carries the Burden to Prove Its Allegations .....	274
2. The Power of Arbitral Tribunal to Request Additional Information .....	275
3. Impartiality of the Arbitral Tribunal .....	276
4. Default by the Defendant .....	278

## CONTENTS

C.	Rules of Institutional Arbitrations – ICAC Rules (2017).....	280
1.	The Principle that Each Party Carries the Burden to Prove Its Own Allegations in ICAC Rules (2017).....	280
2.	The Arbitral Tribunal’s Power to Request Additional Information in ICAC Rules (2017).....	283
3.	Principle of Impartiality of Arbitrators in ICAC Rules (2017).....	283
4.	The Arbitral Tribunal’s Position in Case of Default by One Party in ICAC Rules (2017).....	284
5.	The Influence of Arbitral Rules on the Application of Law.....	285
D.	Sources of Law Applied by the Different Actors to Fill the Gaps or to Guide Application of the Law in the Matter of <i>Iura Novit Arbiter</i> .....	285
IV.	Conclusions.....	295
A.	Power to Decide on the Merits.....	295
B.	Decisions on the Competence of the Arbitral Tribunal.....	296
<b>Chapter 11</b>	<b>.....</b>	<b>297</b>
	<b>The Incidence of <i>Iura Novit Arbiter</i> in Singapore Arbitration Law</b>	
	<i>Koh Swee Yen and Kenny Lau</i>	
I.	Introduction.....	297
II.	Sources of Arbitration Law in Singapore.....	298
A.	Domestic Legislation.....	298
B.	International Conventions.....	299
III.	<i>Iura Novit Arbiter</i> under Singapore Arbitration Law.....	300
A.	Grounds for Setting Aside and Refusing Enforcement of an Arbitral Award.....	300
1.	Fair Hearing/Breach of Natural Justice.....	301
2.	Excess of Jurisdiction/Authority.....	307
3.	Procedural Irregularity.....	310
B.	Conduct of the Arbitral Proceedings.....	312
1.	Burden of Proof/Pleadings.....	312
2.	Default by Respondent.....	314
3.	General Case Management Powers of the Tribunal.....	315
4.	Rules of the SIAC.....	316
IV.	Conclusion.....	318

## CONTENTS

<b>Chapter 12 .....</b>	<b>319</b>
<b><i>Jura Novit Curia and Commercial Arbitration in Spain</i></b>	
<i>Pedro A. De Miguel Asensio</i>	
I. Introduction.....	319
II. Legal Framework.....	320
A. International Conventions .....	320
B. National Statutes .....	321
1. Arbitration.....	321
2. Civil Procedure .....	324
3. Application of Foreign Law.....	325
C. Arbitration Rules .....	327
III. <i>Jura Novit Curia</i> in Civil Proceedings before Ordinary Courts .....	327
IV. Judicial Review of the Powers of Arbitrators With Regard to Parties' Pleadings: General Trends .....	330
A. Applicability of <i>Jura Novit Curia</i> to Arbitration.....	330
B. Arbitrators' Power to Determine the Applicable Rules .....	332
V. Validity and Enforcement of Awards .....	334
A. Fair Hearing.....	334
B. Procedural Irregularity .....	339
C. Excess of Power .....	341
VI. Conduct of Arbitral Proceedings.....	346
A. Burden of Proof.....	346
B. Impartiality .....	348
C. Default Awards .....	349
VII. Application of the Law by the Actors Involved.....	350
VIII. Conclusion.....	354
<b>Chapter 13 .....</b>	<b>355</b>
<b><i>Jura Novit Arbiter in Swedish Arbitration Law</i></b>	
<i>James Hope and Elisabet Hallberg</i>	
I. Introduction.....	355
II. Arbitration in Sweden: Sources and Institutions .....	356
A. Some History.....	356
B. Current Swedish Arbitration Legislation .....	356
C. Arbitral Institutions in Sweden.....	358
III. <i>Jura Novit Arbiter</i> under Swedish Arbitration Law.....	359
A. Grounds for Setting Aside and Refusing Enforcement of an Arbitral Award .....	359

## CONTENTS

B.	Excess of Mandate .....	362
1.	The Arbitrators' Power to Make Their Own Legal Inferences from the Facts Invoked by the Parties .....	363
(a)	Arbitrators cannot go beyond the "immediately relevant facts" invoked by the parties .....	363
(b)	Arbitrators may draw legal inferences from the facts invoked .....	366
2.	The Arbitrators' Power to Apply the Governing Law to Interpret, Construe, Supplement or Correct the Contract .....	367
3.	The Arbitrators' Power to Apply the Legal Sources That They Deem Applicable, Even if Not Designated or Pledaded by the Parties .....	368
4.	The Arbitrators' Power to Order, Independently From the Parties' Pleadings, Remedies Following from the Sources of Law the Arbitral Tribunal Deems Applicable .....	368
C.	Procedural Irregularity .....	369
D.	Lack of Arbitrability and Public Policy .....	370
E.	Rules of Due Process under the Swedish Arbitration Act .....	371
F.	<i>Jura Novit Arbiter</i> and the Requirement for a Fair Hearing .....	372
G.	Applicable Rules of Law .....	372
H.	Default by Respondent .....	373
I.	Competence of the Arbitral Tribunal to Rule on its Jurisdiction .....	373
IV.	Conclusions .....	374
A.	Decisions on the Merits .....	374
B.	Decisions on Jurisdiction .....	375
<b>Chapter 14</b>	.....	<b>377</b>
	<b><i>Jura Novit Arbiter in Swiss Arbitration Law</i></b>	
	<i>Andrea Bonomi and David Bochatay</i>	
I.	Introduction .....	377
II.	The Principle <i>Jura Novit Arbiter</i> under Swiss Law .....	378
A.	<i>Jura Novit Arbiter</i> in Swiss Arbitration Law .....	378
B.	Legal Basis .....	378
1.	Absence of an Express Legal Basis .....	378

## CONTENTS

a)	Swiss arbitration law .....	378
b)	Arbitration rules .....	380
2.	The Implicit <i>Iura Novit Arbiter</i> Principle .....	380
a)	<i>Iura novit curia</i> in Swiss Court Proceedings.....	381
b)	Analogy to state court proceedings .....	382
C.	The Meaning of <i>Iura Novit Arbiter</i> .....	382
1.	The Powers of the Arbitral Tribunal.....	382
a)	Legal qualification of the facts.....	382
b)	Construction of the contract .....	384
c)	Choice-of-law.....	384
d)	Remedies not pleaded by the parties .....	386
2.	A Duty of the Arbitral Tribunal? .....	386
a)	Is the arbitral tribunal under a duty to apply the applicable law <i>ex officio</i> ? .....	386
b)	Does the alleged duty belong to procedural public policy? .....	387
III.	Limits to <i>Iura Novit Arbiter</i> .....	387
A.	Grounds for Setting Aside an Arbitral Award.....	388
1.	The Right to Be Heard .....	388
2.	<i>Ne Eat Judex Ultra Petita Partium</i> .....	393
3.	Equal Treatment.....	394
4.	Lack of Jurisdiction .....	394
B.	Grounds for Refusing Recognition and Enforcement of a Foreign Arbitral Award.....	395
1.	Fair Hearing (Article V(1)(b) of the NYC).....	395
2.	Procedural Irregularity (Article V(1)(d) of the NYC) .....	396
3.	Excess of Power (Article V(1)(c) of the NYC) .....	397
C.	Rules on the Conduct of Proceedings.....	397
1.	The Right of the Parties to Agree on the Conduct of Proceedings .....	397
2.	The Power of the Arbitral Tribunal to Rule on the Conduct of Proceedings .....	397
3.	Rules on the Burden of Proof .....	398
4.	The Arbitral Tribunal's Power to Request Additional Information .....	398
5.	Default .....	399
6.	Law Applicable to the Merits .....	400
IV.	Conclusion .....	401

## CONTENTS

<b>Chapter 15</b> .....	<b>403</b>
<b><i>Jura Novit Arbiter in the United States</i></b>	
<i>Aaron D. Simowitz</i>	
I. Introduction.....	403
II. <i>Jura Novit Curia</i> and U.S. Courts.....	404
III. <i>Jura Novit Arbiter</i> and the New York Convention.....	409
A. Fair Hearing (Article V(1)(b)).....	409
B. Excess of Power (Article V(1)(c)).....	411
C. Procedural Irregularity (Article V(1)(d)) .....	417
IV. <i>Jura Novit Arbiter</i> and U.S. Arbitration Law .....	419
A. The Federal Arbitration Act .....	419
B. The Arbitration Laws of the United States.....	419
V. <i>Jura Novit Arbiter</i> and Impartiality under U.S. Law .....	421
VI. <i>Jura Novit Arbiter</i> and Institutional Rules.....	422
VII. Conclusion .....	423
<b>Chapter 16</b> .....	<b>425</b>
<b><i>Jura Novit Curia in International Law</i></b>	
<i>Friedrich Rosenfeld</i>	
I. Introduction.....	425
II. A Broad Range of International Adjudicators Have Applied the Principle <i>Jura Novit Curia</i> .....	428
III. <i>Jura Novit Curia</i> Has Multiple Uses.....	433
A. Identification of Relevant Sources of Law .....	433
B. The Interpretation of the Law.....	437
C. The Establishment of the Relevant Facts as Submitted by the Parties .....	440
D. The Application of the Law to the Facts .....	441
IV. <i>Jura Novit Curia</i> Is Subject to Limitations .....	446
A. Limitations Concerning the Sources of Law .....	446
1. Municipal Law.....	446
2. Customary International Law .....	449
B. Procedural Limitations .....	450
1. The Parties' Right to Be Heard.....	451
2. <i>Ne Ultra Petita</i> .....	453
V. Normative Assessment .....	456
A. Party-centric Paradigm of International Adjudication .....	456

## CONTENTS

B. Polycentric Paradigm of Adjudication .....	459
C. Conclusion.....	461
<b>Chapter 17 .....</b>	<b>463</b>
<b>General Report on <i>Jura Novit Arbiter</i></b>	
<i>Giuditta Cordero-Moss</i>	
I. Introduction.....	463
II. The Framework.....	465
III. The Questionnaire.....	467
IV. Analysis of the Reports.....	472
V. Comments .....	474
VI. Conclusion .....	480
<b>QUESTIONNAIRE .....</b>	<b>481</b>
<b>INDEX .....</b>	<b>489</b>