

**INVESTMENT TREATY
ARBITRATION AND
INTERNATIONAL LAW**

Meriam Al-Rashid
Kabir Duggal
Miriam K. Harwood
Todd J. Weiler
Editors

Rekha Rangachari
Associate Editor

Volume 14

JURIS

Questions About This Publication

For assistance with shipments, billing or other customer service matters,
please email us at: subscriptions@arbitrationlaw.com

For editorial assistance, please email us at: editorial@jurispub.com

To obtain a copy of this book, please visit:
www.arbitrationlaw.com/allbooks/

To learn more about Juris ArbitrationLaw, please visit:
www.arbitrationlaw.com

COPYRIGHT © 2022
by JurisNet, LLC

All Rights Reserved.

Printed in the United States of America
ISBN: 978-1-944825-53-9

JurisNet, LLC
www.arbitrationlaw.com

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Profiles..... vii

PART I

TRUE OR FALSE: THE USMCA CHAPTER ON INVESTMENT REPRESENTS THE NEW STATE-OF-THE- ART IN INVESTMENT PROTECTION TREATIES?

CHAPTER 1

Another New Normal? How the USMCA's State-of-the-Art Investment Chapter Can Guide Broader Change in Investor-State Dispute Resolution

Jennifer A. Ivers and Alyssa Howard3

CHAPTER 2

Peculiarity or Paradigm? Why the USMCA Investment Chapter Does Not Represent the State-of-the-Art in Investment Treaty Protections

Kate McNulty.....35

CHAPTER 3

True or False: The USMCA Chapter on Investment Represents the New State-of-the-Art in Investment Protection Treaties?

Jennifer A. Ivers
Alyssa Howard
Kate McNulty
Todd Weiler
Devin Bray
Michael Nolan
Ted Posner
Jennifer Thornton
Elizabeth Whitsitt

PANEL DISCUSSION.....65

PART II

TRUE OR FALSE: THE TIME HAS COME TO OUTLAW DOUBLE-HATTING?

CHAPTER 4

The Time Has Come to Prohibit Double-Hatting

Katelyn Horne.....95

CHAPTER 5
Prohibition, Regulation or Laissez Faire? Policy Trade-Offs of Double-Hatting in Investment Treaty Arbitration
Guled Yusuf and Godwin Tan125

CHAPTER 6
True or False: The Time Has Come to Outlaw Double-Hatting?
Katelyn Horne
Guled Yusuf
George Ruttinger
Kabir Duggal
Ian Laird
Danielle Morris
Fernando Tupa
PANEL DISCUSSION145

PART III
TRUE OR FALSE: THANKS TO REFORMS PROPOSED UNDER THE AUSPICES OF THE ICSID AND UNCITRAL, INVESTOR-STATE DISPUTE SETTLEMENT IS FINALLY HEADED IN THE RIGHT DIRECTION?

CHAPTER 7
Thanks to Reforms Proposed Under the Auspices of the ICSID and UNCITRAL, Investor-State Dispute Settlement is Finally Headed in the Right Direction
Eva Y. Chan173

CHAPTER 8
The Fundamental Controversies of Investor-State Dispute Settlement Are Neither Settled by the Impending Reforms of the ICSID Rules Nor the Proposals of UNCITRAL Working Group III
John Laird193

CHAPTER 9**True or False: Thanks to Reforms Proposed Under the Auspices of the ICSID and UNCITRAL, Investor-State Dispute Settlement is Finally Headed in the Right Direction?***Eva Y. Chan**John Laird**Todd Weiler**Joshua Fellenbaum**Anna Holloway**Mark Kantor**José Antonio Rivas**Diora Ziyayeva*

PANEL DISCUSSION217

PART IV**TRUE OR FALSE: SECURITY FOR COSTS SHOULD BE MANDATED FOR INVESTOR-STATE ARBITRATION?****CHAPTER 10****Security for Costs Should Be Mandated for Investor-State Arbitration***Carlos Guzmán Plascencia*241**CHAPTER 11****Security for Costs as Interim Relief: The Strict Standard of the ICSID Jurisprudence Must be Preserved***Matilde Flores*265**CHAPTER 12****True or False: Security for Costs Should be Mandated for Investor-State Arbitration?***Carlos Guzmán Plascencia**Matilde Flores**Timothy Nelson**Jeffery Commission**Lindsay Gastrell**Miriam Harwood**Frédéric Sourgens*

PANEL DISCUSSION287

PART V
THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN EVOLUTION AND
REVOLUTION IN TRADE AND INVESTMENT DISPUTE
SETTLEMENT MECHANISMS: OF CHAOS, CROSSROADS,
AND THE 'R' FACTOR

CHAPTER 13

Keynote Address

<i>Lucinda Low</i>	311
TABLE OF CASES	327
INDEX	331