

Inherent Powers of Arbitrators

Franco Ferrari

Friedrich Rosenfeld

Editors

with a foreword by

Diego P. Fernández Arroyo

NYU

Center for Transnational Litigation,
Arbitration and Commercial Law

JURIS

Questions About This Publication

For assistance with shipments, billing or other customer service matters, please call our Customer Services Department at:

1-631-350-2100

To obtain a copy of this book, call our Sales Department:

1-631-351-5430

Fax: 1-631-673-9117

Toll Free Order Line:

1-800-887-4064 (United States & Canada)

See our webpage about this book:
www.arbitrationlaw.com

COPYRIGHT 2019
JurisNet, LLC

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced in any form or by any electronic or mechanical means including information storage and retrieval systems without permission in writing from the publisher.

Printed in the United States of America
ISBN 978-1-944825-26-3

JurisNet, LLC
www.arbitrationlaw.com

Contents

EDITORS' NOTE	xv
ABOUT THE EDITORS	xvii
ABOUT THE CONTRIBUTORS	xix
FOREWORD	xxv
Chapter 1	1
Sources of Inherent Powers	
<i>Andrea K. Bjorklund and Jonathan Brosseau</i>	
Introduction.....	1
I. Defining Inherent Powers: Exercising, or Exceeding, an Adjudicative Body's Function?.....	4
A. Origin in Domestic and International Law	5
B. Necessary Judicial Powers.....	10
C. Scope of Powers—Private vs. Public Functions.....	17
D. Consent and Its Limits in International Adjudication.....	21
II. Sources and Types of Inherent Powers: The Multiple and the Overlapping.....	33
A. <i>Expressed</i> Inherent Powers.....	34
B. <i>Discretionary</i> Inherent Powers	41
C. <i>Implied</i> Inherent Powers.....	46
D. <i>Rule-Based</i> Inherent Powers.....	53
Conclusion	56
Chapter 2	59
Inherent Powers of Arbitrators and Interim Measures	
<i>Andrea Carlevaris</i>	
I. Introduction.....	59
II. Definitions.....	60
III. The Power of Arbitrators to Issue Interim Measures between the <i>Lex Arbitri</i> and Party Autonomy.....	64
IV. Implied Powers and Interim Relief	69
A. The Power to Order Interim Relief as an Implied Term of the Arbitration Agreement.....	69
B. Implied Powers to Order Interim Relief and the Interpretation of the Arbitration Agreement.....	71

CONTENTS

V.	Inherent Powers and Interim Relief	73
A.	The Power to Order Interim Relief as a Necessary Attribute of the Arbitral Function	73
B.	Inherent Powers to Order Interim Relief in Public International Law	74
C.	Inherent Powers to Order Interim Relief before the Iran-U.S. Claims Tribunal	76
D.	Inherent Powers to Order Interim Relief in ICSID Arbitration	77
E.	Inherent Powers to Order Interim Relief in International Commercial Arbitration	79
F.	The Limited Role of Inherent Powers in Respect of Interim Relief	80
G.	Inherent and Discretionary Powers to Order Interim Relief	82
VI.	Conclusions	82
Chapter 3	85
	Inherent Power of Arbitrators to Exclude Counsel?	
	<i>Monique Sasson</i>	
I.	Introduction	85
II.	ILA Report on Inherent Powers	92
III.	<i>The Rompetrol Group N.V. v. Romania and Hrvatska</i> <i>Elektroprivreda v. Slovenia</i>	94
IV.	IBA Guidelines on Party Representation	97
V.	2014 LCIA Arbitration Rules	101
VI.	Criticisms of the IBA Guidelines on Party Representation and the LCIA Appendix	101
VII.	Conclusion	103
Chapter 4	105
	Inherent Powers to Sanction Party Conduct	
	<i>Maxi Scherer</i>	
I.	Introduction	105
II.	Concept of Inherent Powers to Sanction Party Conduct	109
A.	Inherent Powers	109
B.	Party Conduct	110
C.	Possible Sanctions	112

CONTENTS

III.	Critical Assessment of Inherent Powers to Sanction Party Conduct	115
A.	Limited Space for Truly Inherent Powers.....	116
1.	Use of Express Powers to Sanction Party Conduct.....	116
2.	Use of Implied Powers to Sanction Party Conduct.....	120
B.	Subsidiary Character of Truly Inherent Powers	122
C.	Limitations on Truly Inherent Powers.....	124
1.	Limitations due to the Tribunal’s Jurisdictional Reach	124
2.	Limitation due to the Confidential Character of Arbitration	127
D.	Possible Exclusion of Truly Inherent Powers?	128
IV.	Conclusion.....	131
Chapter 5		133
Inherent Powers of Arbitrators to Deal with “Guerrilla Tactics”		
<i>Daniel Haue Jakobsson</i>		
I.	Introduction	133
II.	“Guerrilla Tactics” in International Arbitration	136
A.	The Concept of “Guerrilla Tactics”	136
B.	How Can the Opposing Counsel Counter “Guerrilla Tactics”?	138
C.	How Can the Arbitrators Deal with “Guerrilla Tactics”?	140
III.	Inherent Powers of Arbitrators – A Framework.....	145
A.	Implied Powers	147
B.	Discretionary Powers.....	147
C.	Truly Inherent Powers	148
IV.	The Framework Applied: Inherent Powers of Arbitrators to Deal with “Guerrilla Tactics”.....	153
A.	Inherent Powers of Arbitrators to Deal with “Guerrilla Tactics” on a General Level	153
B.	Inherent Powers of Arbitrators to Deal with “Guerrilla Tactics” on a Practical Level.....	156
V.	Conclusion.....	165

CONTENTS

Chapter 6	167
Arbitral Tribunals' Inherent Powers in Corruption Matters	
<i>Jeremy K. Sharpe</i>	
I. Introduction	167
II. Arbitral Tribunals' Inherent Powers in Corruption Matters..	174
A. The Inherent Power to Find, Apply, and Interpret the Law	175
B. The Inherent Power to Decide Gateway Issues	177
C. The Inherent Power to Allocate the Evidential Burden....	178
D. The Inherent Power to Set the Standard of Proof	181
E. The Inherent Power to Admit and Weigh Direct and Indirect Evidence	184
F. Power to Investigate Corruption Sua Sponte	189
III. Conclusion.....	192
Chapter 7	195
Inherent Powers: Disclosure of Third Party Funders	
<i>Laurence Shore</i>	
I. Sources Consulted and Abbreviations Used in this Chapter ...	195
A. Cases.....	195
B. Commentary, Statutes, Rules.....	196
II. The Existence of Arbitrators' Inherent Powers	198
III. Disclosure of Funders	200
IV. Is the Existence of a Funder Relevant?	200
A. Transparency	201
B. Cost Allocation at the End of a Case	202
C. Confidentiality	203
V. Given Potential Relevance for Conflict of Interest Purposes, Should Arbitrators Exercise Inherent Powers to Compel Disclosure?.....	203
VI. Case Authorities	205
VII. Conclusion.....	207

CONTENTS

Chapter 8	209
Developing Equipoise between Party-Autonomy and the Inherent Power of Arbitrator Discretion in the Gathering of Evidence in International Arbitration	
<i>Pedro J. Martínez-Fraga</i>	
I. The Unique Nature of Arbitrator Discretion in the Gathering and Presentation of Evidence as an Implicit and Explicit Authority That Has No Peer	215
A. Orthodox Limits on Party-Autonomy	215
B. Factors Distinguishing Arbitrator Authority from Classical Paradigms of Constraint on Party-Autonomy	226
C. The Unique Nature of Arbitrator Discretion is an Inherent Power: An Explicit and Implicit Power that is Peerless	230
D. Arbitrator Discretion Is Intrinsically Different from Orthodox Exercises of Inherent Authority	237
II. Institutional Rules Provide a Textual Basis for Arbitrator Discretion as to the Gathering and Presentation of Evidence but Without Standard or Tempering Qualification.....	237
III. The 2010 IBA Rules on the Taking of Evidence in International Arbitration Help Temper Arbitrator Discretion	242
IV. Conclusion.....	245
Chapter 9	247
Inherent Powers to Streamline the Proceedings	
<i>Inka Hanefeld and Aaron de Jong</i>	
I. Introduction	247
II. Tribunals' Duties to Conduct Proceedings Efficiently.....	248
III. Tribunals' Express Discretion to Conduct International Arbitral Proceedings.....	250
IV. Tribunals' Inherent Powers to Conduct International Arbitral Proceedings.....	252
V. Inherent Powers: Independent or Otherwise?	254
VI. Inherent Powers and Streamlining the Proceedings	255
A. Consolidation of Proceedings and Joinder.....	255
B. Bifurcation of Proceedings	260
C. Summary Disposition	263
VII. Conclusion.....	267

CONTENTS

Chapter 10	269
Applying the Rules Governing the Merits in International Commercial Arbitration	
<i>Luca G. Radicati di Brozolo</i>	
I. Introduction: The Notion and Scope of Inherent Powers of Arbitrators	269
II. The Sources of the Arbitrators' Powers in the Application of Rules for the Decision of the Merits of the Dispute: Preliminary Considerations	271
III. The Respective Roles of Party Autonomy and of the Law in the Determination of the Rules Governing the Merits	274
IV. The Rules Governing the Powers of Arbitrators in Respect of the Rules Applicable to the Merits: "Arbitration-Specific Conflict Rules"	276
V. The Issues Not Addressed By the Sources Which Determine the Rules to Be Applied to the Merits	278
VI. The Powers of Arbitrators to Apply the Rules Applicable to the Merits	281
A. Where the Instruments Governing the Arbitration Are Completely Silent on the Arbitrators' Powers to Decide on the Merits.....	282
B. Where the Instruments Governing the Arbitration Contain Rules on the Arbitrators' Powers to Decide on the Merits.....	283
VII. The Exercise of the Arbitrator's Powers to Apply the Rules Applicable to the Merits.....	286
VIII. The Arbitrators' Power to Disregard the Agreement of the Parties in Deciding the Merits: The Case of Overriding Mandatory Rules	288
IX. Is There Room for Recourse to Inherent Powers of Arbitrators in the Application of the Rules for the Decision on the Merits?	291
X. Conclusions: Arbitral Discretion, <i>a La Carte</i> Solutions or a Quest for Uniformity?	293

CONTENTS

Chapter 11	297
Inherent Powers and Competition Law	
<i>Giuditta Cordero-Moss</i>	
I. Introduction.....	297
II. Competition Law and Arbitration	299
III. The Sources of the Arbitral Tribunals’ Powers.....	302
IV. The Legal Basis for Arbitration	305
V. Competition Law and <i>Ordre Public</i>	306
VI. Competition Law and Arbitrability.....	313
VII. Competition Law and the Arbitral Tribunal’s Power.....	318
VIII. Competition Law and Fair Hearing.....	324
IX. Conclusion.....	324
Chapter 12	327
The Use of Inherent Powers by Arbitrators to Protect the Public at Large	
<i>Hattie Middleditch</i>	
I. Introduction.....	327
II. A Framework for Understanding Inherent Powers	328
A. Definitions	328
1. Implied Powers.....	329
2. Discretionary Powers.....	330
3. Inherent Powers.....	330
B. The Key Source of Inherent Powers: The Functional Justification.....	334
C. The Public Function of Arbitration.....	336
D. Limits on the Use of Inherent Powers	339
III. The Use of Inherent Powers to Protect the Public at Large	340
A. The Use of Inherent Powers to Prevent Fraud and Corruption.....	342
B. The Use of Inherent Powers to Regulate Other Forms of Party Misconduct.....	350
1. Guerrilla Tactics	350
2. “Rough Riding”.....	354
C. The Use of Inherent Powers to Regulate Choice of Counsel	357
IV. Conclusion.....	361

CONTENTS

Chapter 13	363
Inherent and Implied Powers of the Arbitral Tribunal in Connection with Cost-Related Decisions	
<i>Stefan Kröll</i>	
I. Introduction	363
II. Definition of the Concept of Inherent Powers.....	366
III. Possible Objects of Inherent Powers in the Context of Cost Decisions.....	368
IV. Inherent Powers in the Context of “Normal” Cost Decisions	369
A. Express Empowerment for Cost Decisions	369
1. Institutional Arbitration	369
2. Ad Hoc Arbitrations	372
B. Without an Express Empowerment for Cost Decision ...	374
V. Inherent Powers in the Context of Cost Decisions “Sanctioning” the Behavior of the Parties.....	376
VI. Inherent Powers in the Context of Cost Decisions in Awards Denying Jurisdiction?	380
VII. “Pre-Award” Cost-Related Decisions by the Arbitral Tribunal.....	384
A. Requests for Security for Costs	384
1. Explicitly Provided For	385
2. No Explicit Provision	386
B. Orders for the Reimbursement of Advance on Costs Payments for Defaulting Parties	387
1. Explicitly Provided For	388
2. Not Explicitly Provided For	389
VIII. Conclusion.....	391
Chapter 14	393
The Inherent Powers of International Adjudicators to Reconsider and Revise Their Decisions	
<i>Friedrich Rosenfeld</i>	
I. Introduction	393
II. Empirical Assessment of Key Cases on Inherent Powers of Reconsideration and Revision.....	395
A. The Early Case Law of Claims Commissions	395
B. The Case Law of Specialized Courts and Tribunals since the Mid and Late 20th Century.....	400

CONTENTS

1. Adjudicators Showing Hesitance to Acknowledge Inherent Powers of Reconsideration.....	400
2. Adjudicators Acknowledging Inherent Powers of Reconsideration	402
C. The Case Law of Investment Tribunals in the 21st Century.....	404
1. Decisions Rejecting Powers of Reconsideration	405
2. Tribunals Acknowledging Powers of Reconsideration	407
III. Analytical Assessment of Inherent Powers of Reconsideration.....	409
A. International Adjudication Values Finality.....	409
B. There Are Limits to Finality	411
C. The Legal Basis for Decisions on Reconsideration and Revision of Decisions	412
1. The Exercise of Powers of Reconsideration and Revision Presupposes Primary Jurisdiction.....	412
2. Powers of Reconsideration and Revision Must Be Exercised Within the Limits Imposed By the Applicable Procedural Framework.....	414
3. Inherent Powers Have Lost In Importance as a Legal Basis	415
IV. Conclusion.....	417
INDEX	419

