

MISSION STATEMENT

The Stockholm International Arbitration Review reflects the development of the international arbitral process, the enforcement of arbitral awards, and the use of legal norms in the settlement of primarily commercial transnational, disputes. By contributing to the scholarly debate on international arbitration, the Stockholm International Arbitration Review seeks to promote an exchange of ideas that will improve the knowledge and functioning of the international arbitral process.

Emphasis is placed on the publication of extracts of arbitral awards and court decisions with substantive commentary by scholars and practitioners. A comparative approach shall be employed and articles and commentary shall be supported by reason and explanation, accessible also to non-specialists.

Contributions in the Stockholm International Arbitration Review shall be published in the languages currently used in international arbitration.

Цели сборника

Сборник Stockholm International Arbitration Review содержит материалы о развитии международного арбитражного процесса, исполнении арбитражных решений и использовании правовых норм в урегулировании, в первую очередь, международных коммерческих споров. Сборник Stockholm International Arbitration Review, способствуя развитию научной дискуссии о международном арбитраже, направлен на распространение идей, повышающих уровень знаний и совершенствующих функционирование международного арбитражного процесса.

Акцент делается на публикации отрывков арбитражных и судебных решений в сопровождении подробных комментариев ученых и практикующих юристов. Материалы сборника предполагают использование сравнительного метода, статьи и комментарии должны быть аргументированными, содержать развернутые пояснения, доступные для понимания неспециалистов.

Материалы сборника Stockholm International Arbitration Review должны быть составлены на языках, используемых в настоящее время в международных арбитражных разбирательствах.

前言

斯德哥尔摩仲裁报告致力于研究国际仲裁程序的发展,仲裁裁决的强制执行以及如何利用法律规范来解决纠纷,尤其是国际商事纠纷.本报告通过促进对国际仲裁的学术性论争,来努力寻求先进理念,推动国际仲裁理论和实务的发展.

本报告着重摘录了部分仲裁裁决以及法院判决,并附有学者以及法律工作者的专业评论.报告中的文章和评论均采用比较的方法来阐述理由,非专业人士也能清楚明白.

本报告的稿件以国际仲裁通用的语言出版.

Stockholm International Arbitration Review is published by JurisNet, LLC in association with the Arbitration Institute of the Stockholm Chamber of Commerce, P.O. Box 16050, SE-103 21 Stockholm.

Mode of citation: Stockholm Int Arb Rev 2009:2

ISSN Number 1558-271X

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in retrieval system, transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopy, recording or otherwise without the written permission of the publisher.

Cover photography: © Mangofoto

STOCKHOLM INTERNATIONAL ARBITRATION REVIEW

Volume 2009:2
TABLE OF CONTENTS

ARTICLES

<i>Amici Curiae</i> in Investment Treaty Arbitrations: Authority and Procedural Fairness <i>Boris Kasolowsky and Caroline Harvey</i>	1
Navigating in the Archipelago of Swedish Due Process Safeguards: A Sea Chart indicating a Few Treacherous Reefs <i>Finn Madsen</i>	19
Obtaining Revision of “Swiss” International Arbitral Awards: Whence after <i>Thalès</i> ? <i>Dr. Charles Poncet</i>	39
Manifest Lack of Jurisdiction? A Selection of Decisions of the Arbitration Institute of the Stockholm Chamber of Commerce concerning the <i>Prima Facie</i> Existence of an Arbitration Agreement (2005-2009) <i>David Ramsjö and Siri Strömberg</i>	55
Preventing the Multiple and Concurrent Arbitration Proceedings: Waiver Clauses <i>Antoine Romanetti</i>	75
Waiving the Right to Arbitrate by Initiating Court Proceedings <i>Bengt-Åke Johnsson and Ola Nilsson</i>	103

COURT DECISIONS ON ARBITRATION

Sweden

Supreme Court of Sweden Decision in Case No: Ö 13-09 on
April 16, 2010 133

Subject Matter:

Application seeking recognition and enforcement of a foreign award. Service of a request for arbitration at an address mentioned in the parties' contract but which was no longer valid at the time of service. Respondent not otherwise notified of the arbitration proceedings' existence. Whether respondent was duly notified of arbitration proceedings' existence within the meaning of Section 54 Subsection 2 of the Swedish Arbitration Act.

Supreme Court of Sweden Decision in Case No: B 156-09 on
June 9, 2010 "Korsnäs AB vs. AB Fortum Värme Samägt Med
Stockholms Stad" 139

Subject Matter:

Whether one of the arbitrators in the arbitral tribunal did not meet the impartiality requirements imposed by the Swedish Arbitration Act. Whether the arbitrator in question had such ties to the law firm representing the respondent so as to unauthorise him from participating in the proceedings. Question of to which extent an arbitrator can accept appointments as arbitrator by the same law firm without creating the impression of having attachments to the law firm.

Swedish Svea Court of Appeal in Case No: T 4548-08 on December
12, 2009 "Systembolaget AB VS. V&S Vin & Sprit AB" 149

Subject Matter:

Whether the Arbitral Tribunal took a decision based on circumstances that had not been invoked by the parties and, consequently, the Arbitral Tribunal has exceeded its mandate (Section 34, first paragraph, subsection (2) of the Swedish Arbitration Act).