

MISSION STATEMENT

Stockholm International Arbitration Review illustrates the development of the international arbitral process, the enforcement of arbitral awards and the use of legal norms in the settlement of, primarily commercial transnational, disputes. By contributing to the scholarly debate on international arbitration, Stockholm International Arbitration Review seeks to advance ideas which will improve the knowledge and the functioning of the international arbitral process.

Emphasis is placed on the publication of extracts of arbitral awards and court decisions with in-depth comments by scholars and practitioners. The approach shall be comparative, articles and comments be reasoned and explanatory, accessible also to non-specialists.

Contributions in the Stockholm International Arbitration Review shall be published in the languages currently used in international arbitration.

Цели сборника

Сборник Stockholm International Arbitration Review содержит материалы о развитии международного арбитражного процесса, исполнении арбитражных решений и использовании правовых норм в урегулировании, в первую очередь, международных коммерческих споров. Сборник Stockholm International Arbitration Review, способствуя развитию научной дискуссии о международном арбитраже, направлен на распространение идей, повышающих уровень знаний и совершенствующих функционирование международного арбитражного процесса.

Акцент делается на публикации отрывков арбитражных и судебных решений в сопровождении подробных комментариев ученых и практикующих юристов. Материалы сборника предполагают использование сравнительного метода, статьи и комментарии должны быть аргументированны, содержать развернутые пояснения, доступные для понимания неспециалистов.

Материалы сборника Stockholm International Arbitration Review должны быть составлены на языках, используемых в настоящее время в международных арбитражных разбирательствах.

前言

斯德哥尔摩仲裁报告致力于研究国际仲裁程序的发展,仲裁裁决的强制执行以及如何利用法律规范来解决纠纷,尤其是国际商事纠纷.本报告通过促进对国际仲裁的学术性论争,来努力寻求先进理念,推动国际仲裁理论和实务的发展.

本报告着重摘录了部分仲裁裁决以及法院判决,并附有学者以及法律工作者的专业评论.报告中的文章和评论均采用比较的方法来阐述理由,非专业人士也能清楚明白.

本报告的稿件以国际仲裁通用的语言出版.

Stockholm International Arbitration Review is published by Juris Publishing Inc., in association with the Arbitration Institute of the Stockholm Chamber of Commerce, P.O. Box 16050, SE-103 21 Stockholm.

Mode of citation: Stockholm Int Arb Rev 2006:2

ISSN Number 1558-271X

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in retrieval system, transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopy, recording or otherwise without the written permission of the publisher.

STOCKHOLM INTERNATIONAL ARBITRATION REVIEW

Volume 2006:2
TABLE OF CONTENTS

EDITORIAL

Sigvard Jarvin, General Editor vii

ARTICLES

- Deliberations of the Arbitral Tribunal — Analysis of Reasoned Awards From a Swedish Perspective 1
Finn Madsen & Peter Eriksson
- Contractual Exclusion of Annulment Actions Against International Arbitral Awards Made in Switzerland 43
Laurent Hirsch
- The Missing Bits — To Be Substituted by BITS 97
Max Gutbrod & Steffen Hindelang
- Overview of the Revised Rules of the International Commercial Arbitration Court at the Chamber of Commerce and Industry of the Russian Federation (the ICAC) 117
A.S. Komarov
- The New Russian International Arbitration Rules (The Rules of the International Commercial Arbitration Court at the Chamber of Commerce and Industry of the Russian Federation) 125
Ivan Marisin & Timur Aitkulov
-

INVESTMENT DISPUTES

Mr William Nagel (United Kingdom) v. the Czech Republic 139
Decision by the Svea Court of Appeal (Sweden), rendered on
26 August 2005

Subject Matters:

- (1) Did the Arbitral Tribunal rule on all of the issues that were submitted to it?
- (2) Was the decision taken by the Arbitral Tribunal a jurisdictional decision, or a decision on the substance of the parties' dispute?

Observations by Michael Polkinghorne & Matthew Secomb

ARBITRAL AWARDS

Arbitral Award rendered in 2005 in SCC case 143/2003 157

Subject Matters:

- (1) Whether an entity that was not named as a respondent in the Request for Arbitration and therefore did not take part in the formation of the arbitral tribunal may be counted as a respondent in the arbitration.
- (2) Whether, by application of the alter ego doctrine to "pierce the corporate veil" of one entity, an arbitral tribunal may exercise jurisdiction over another entity that is not a party to the arbitration.
- (3) Whether an assignment merely of the proceeds from a cause of action, or rather an assignment of the cause of action itself, is effected by the following language: "The Assignor assigns to Assignee the right title of interest and sums of money recovered of the Defendant in the proposed action [Assignor] -v- [Defendants]."
- (4) Whether an aggrieved entity's cause of action against a state and against a corporation designated by the state to administer the outstanding debts of a company liquidated by state decree is a dispute that would fall within the scope of an arbitration clause in a contract between the aggrieved entity and the company liquidated by state decree.
- (5) Allocation of costs.

Observations by David Goldberg & Gordon Blanke

COURT DECISIONS ON ARBITRATION***Latvia***

Judgment by the Riga Regional Court, Civil Cases Board Rendered on 19 August 2004 in case: CA-4208/20.2004 (Forscan Timber Export AB v. Interwood) 181

Subject Matters:

- (1) Determination of the jurisdiction in case of similar names of arbitral institutions.
- (2) Arbitrator's knowledge of the language agreed in the arbitration agreement
- (3) Competence – competence
- (4) Issuance of the writ of execution

Observations by Ziedonis Ūdris & Inga Kačevska

The Netherlands

Judgments rendered by the District Court of The Hague on 27 May 2004 in (1) case KG/RK 2002-979 and 2003-1617 and by the Court of Appeal of the Hague on 24 March 2005 in (2) case 04/694 and 04/695 (Marketing Displays International Inc. v. VR Van Raalte Reclame B.V.) 201

Subject Matters:

- (1) Leave for enforcement under the New York Convention
- (2) Art. 81 EC
- (3) (International) public policy

Observations by Diederik de Groot

Switzerland

I. Judgments by the Swiss Supreme Court rendered 4 February 2005 in case 4P.236/2004 and II. 7 September 2006 in case 4P.114/2006 231

I. Subject Matter:

- (1) Exclusion of annulment action in arbitration clause

II. Subject Matters:

- (1) Exclusion of annulment action in Bilateral Investment Treaty
- (2) Scope of jurisdiction of an arbitral tribunal

DOCUMENTS

Amended Rules of the International Commercial Arbitration Court at the Chamber of Commerce and Industry of the Russian Federation (ICAC) in English and Russian	277
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----

NOTES & INFORMATION

SCC Institute Adopts New Arbitration Rules	341
CONFERENCE REPORT: International Conference on ICSID: “Taking Stock after 40 Years” (Frankfurt am Main, Germany, 26–28 April, 2006) – Dr. Alfred Escher and Dr. Götz Reichert	345
IN MEMORIAM: Professor Lars Hjernner – Ulf Franke	353
NEW ACCESSION TO THE 1958 NEW YORK CONVENTION: United Arab Emirates	355
BOOK REVIEW – O. P. Malhotra and Indu Malhotra <i>The Law and Practice of Arbitration and Conciliation, 2nd Edition</i>	357
BOOK REVIEW – Andreas Reiner <i>Das neue österreichische Schiedsrecht 2006/The New Austrian Arbitration Law 2006</i>	361
BOOK REVIEW – Alexis Mourre <i>Les Cahiers de l'arbitrage, Volume III</i>	363
BOOK REVIEW – Lars Heuman & Sigvard Jarvin <i>The Swedish Arbitration Act of 1999, Five Years On: A Critical Review of Strengths and Weaknesses</i>	367
LIST OF BOOKS RECEIVED	371