

MISSION STATEMENT

Stockholm International Arbitration Review illustrates the development of the international arbitral process, the enforcement of arbitral awards and the use of legal norms in the settlement of, primarily commercial transnational, disputes. By contributing to the scholarly debate on international arbitration, Stockholm International Arbitration Review seeks to advance ideas which will improve the knowledge and the functioning of the international arbitral process.

Emphasis is placed on the publication of extracts of arbitral awards and court decisions with in-depth comments by scholars and practitioners. The approach shall be comparative, articles and comments be reasoned and explanatory, accessible also to non-specialists.

Contributions in the Stockholm International Arbitration Review shall be published in the languages currently used in international arbitration.

Цели сборника

Сборник Stockholm International Arbitration Review содержит материалы о развитии международного арбитражного процесса, исполнении арбитражных решений и использовании правовых норм в урегулировании, в первую очередь, международных коммерческих споров. Сборник Stockholm International Arbitration Review, способствуя развитию научной дискуссии о международном арбитраже, направлен на распространение идей, повышающих уровень знаний и совершенствующих функционирование международного арбитражного процесса.

Акцент делается на публикации отрывков арбитражных и судебных решений в сопровождении подробных комментариев ученых и практикующих юристов. Материалы сборника предполагают использование сравнительного метода, статьи и комментарии должны быть аргументированны, содержать развернутые пояснения, доступные для понимания неспециалистов.

Материалы сборника Stockholm International Arbitration Review должны быть составлены на языках, используемых в настоящее время в международных арбитражных разбирательствах.

前言

斯德哥尔摩仲裁报告致力于研究国际仲裁程序的发展,仲裁裁决的强制执行以及如何利用法律规范来解决纠纷,尤其是国际商事纠纷.本报告通过促进对国际仲裁的学术性论争,来努力寻求先进理念,推动国际仲裁理论和实务的发展.

本报告着重摘录了部分仲裁裁决以及法院判决,并附有学者以及法律工作者的专业评论.报告中的文章和评论均采用比较的方法来阐述理由,非专业人士也能清楚明白.

本报告的稿件以国际仲裁通用的语言出版.

Stockholm International Arbitration Review is published by Juris Publishing Inc., in association with the Arbitration Institute of the Stockholm Chamber of Commerce, P.O. Box 16050, SE-103 21 Stockholm.

Mode of citation: Stockholm Int Arb Rev 2005:2

ISSN Number 1558-271X

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in retrieval system, transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopy, recording or otherwise without the written permission of the publisher.

STOCKHOLM INTERNATIONAL ARBITRATION REVIEW

Volume 2005:2
TABLE OF CONTENTS

EDITORIAL

By Sigvard Jarvin, General Editor vii

ARTICLES

- Estonia Has Taken a New Step in (International) Commercial Arbitration 1
Pirkka-Marja Pöldvere
- Comparative Analysis of the New Estonian Arbitration Law Provisions
on the Choice of Law Applicable to the International Commercial
Arbitration Dispute 15
Kirill Lezheiko
-

INVESTMENT DISPUTES

- Mr. Franz Sedelmayer v. The Russian Federation 37
- I. Final Arbitral Award Rendered in 1998 in an *Ad Hoc*
Arbitration in Stockholm, Sweden 37
Observations by Walid Ben Hamida 76
Observations by Stefan Kröll and Jörn Griebel 93
- II. Judgment by the Stockholm District Court Rendered on
18 December 2002 115
- III. Decision by the Svea Court of Appeal Rendered on
15 June 2005 132
Observations by Domenico di Pietro 136

Subject Matters:

- (1) Definition of “investor.”
- (2) Scope of the definition of “investment.”
- (3) The scope of expropriation provisions.
- (4) Application of the principle of *lis pendens*.

- (5) Propriety of State as respondent.
- (6) Compliance with pre-arbitration procedures.
- (7) Identification and valuation of investments subject to expropriation.

COURT DECISIONS ON ARBITRATION

China

Decision by the Guangzhou Maritime Court, P.R. China,
rendered in 1998 147

Subject Matters:

- (1) Law applicable to decide properness of notice of the arbitration proceedings under Article V.1 (b) of the New York Convention
- (2) Authority to conclude the contract containing the arbitration clause (public policy)
- (3) Party to the arbitral award to be recognized and enforced, English name of Chinese party

Observations by Liu Ping 155

England

Judgment by the Supreme Court of Judicature Court of Appeal
(Civil Division), England rendered in 2004 in case [2004]
EWCA Civ 1660 159

Subject Matter:

Issue estoppel (estoppel by *res judicata*) created by an arbitral award in another arbitration between different parties in a related dispute.

Observations by Salim A. H. Moollan 188

France

Decision by the Paris Court of Appeal rendered on
18 November 2004 in case No. 2002/60932 193

TABLE OF CONTENTS

iii

Subject Matter:

Whether an arbitral award should be set aside on the ground that the award violated public policy consisting of EC Competition Law (Art 81 of the EC Treaty) which should have been applied by the arbitral tribunal *ex officio*.

Observations by Diederik de Groot 209

Judgment of the French Cour de Cassation First Civil Chamber rendered on 1 February 2005 in case No. 01–13.742/02–15.237 217

Subject Matter:

Whether a French court can appoint an arbitrator on behalf of an obstructing party at the request of the other party in an arbitration that is not taking place in France or subject to French procedural law.

Observations by Guillaume Tattevin 221

Observations by François-Xavier Train 230

Germany

Judgment by the Federal Supreme Court of Germany rendered in 2004 in case II ZR 65/03 241

Subject Matter:

Whether a dispute regarding the payment of the initial contribution to a limited liability corporation is arbitrable.

Observations by Roman Jordans 247

Sweden

Decision by the Svea Court of Appeal in Sweden rendered in 2005 in case No. T 1038–05. 259

Subject Matter:

When is an award deemed to have been rendered in Sweden?

Observations by Patricia Shaughnessy 264

Observations by Christer Söderlund 275

Switzerland

Decision by the Swiss Supreme Court rendered on
6 October 2004 in case 4P117–2004 281

Subject Matter:

Admissibility of appeals filed against partial awards *stricto sensu*.

Observations by Pierre-Yves Gunter 297

United States of America

Judgment of the Appellate Court of Illinois, Third District,
rendered in 2004 in case No. 3–03–0563 303

Subject Matter:

Gross error of law and manifest disregard of law as grounds to
set aside arbitral awards in the United States.

Observations by Grant Hanessian 319

Observations by Ihsan Dogramaci 326

NOTES & INFORMATION

BIBLIOGRAPHICAL NOTE – Nathalie Najjar
L'arbitrage dans les pays arabes face aux exigences du commerce international 341

BOOK REVIEW – ARBITRATION IN CHINA: A PRACTICAL GUIDE 345
Advisory editors: Jerome A. Cohen, Neil Kaplan and Peter Malanczuk;
General editors: Daniel R. Fung and Wang Sheng Chang

LIST OF BOOKS RECEIVED 349

NEW ACCESSIONS TO THE 1958 NEW YORK CONVENTION 351