

MISSION STATEMENT

Stockholm International Arbitration Review illustrates the development of the international arbitral process, the enforcement of arbitral awards and the use of legal norms in the settlement of, primarily commercial transnational, disputes. By contributing to the scholarly debate on international arbitration, Stockholm International Arbitration Review seeks to advance ideas which will improve the knowledge and the functioning of the international arbitral process.

Emphasis is placed on the publication of extracts of arbitral awards and court decisions with in-depth comments by scholars and practitioners. The approach shall be comparative, articles and comments be reasoned and explanatory, accessible also to non-specialists.

Contributions in the Stockholm International Arbitration Review shall be published in the languages currently used in international arbitration.

Цели сборника

Сборник Stockholm International Arbitration Review содержит материалы о развитии международного арбитражного процесса, исполнении арбитражных решений и использовании правовых норм в урегулировании, в первую очередь, международных коммерческих споров. Сборник Stockholm International Arbitration Review, способствуя развитию научной дискуссии о международном арбитраже, направлен на распространение идей, повышающих уровень знаний и совершенствующих функционирование международного арбитражного процесса.

Акцент делается на публикации отрывков арбитражных и судебных решений в сопровождении подробных комментариев ученых и практикующих юристов. Материалы сборника предполагают использование сравнительного метода, статьи и комментарии должны быть аргументированны, содержать развернутые пояснения, доступные для понимания неспециалистов.

Материалы сборника Stockholm International Arbitration Review должны быть составлены на языках, используемых в настоящее время в международных арбитражных разбирательствах.

前言

斯德哥尔摩仲裁报告致力于研究国际仲裁程序的发展,仲裁裁决的强制执行以及如何利用法律规范来解决纠纷,尤其是国际商事纠纷.本报告通过促进对国际仲裁的学术性论争,来努力寻求先进理念,推动国际仲裁理论和实务的发展.

本报告着重摘录了部分仲裁裁决以及法院判决,并附有学者以及法律工作者的专业评论.报告中的文章和评论均采用比较的方法来阐述理由,非专业人士也能清楚明白.

本报告的稿件以国际仲裁通用的语言出版.

Stockholm International Arbitration Review is published by Juris Publishing Inc., in association with the Arbitration Institute of the Stockholm Chamber of Commerce, P.O. Box 16050, SE-103 21 Stockholm.

Mode of citation: Stockholm Int Arb Rev 2007:3

ISSN Number 1558-271X

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in retrieval system, transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopy, recording or otherwise without the written permission of the publisher.

STOCKHOLM INTERNATIONAL ARBITRATION REVIEW

Volume 2007:3
TABLE OF CONTENTS

EDITORIAL

Sigvard Jarvin, General Editor vii

ARTICLES

Arbitrators: Private Judges, Service Providers, or Both?
CIETAC's Perspective 1
Mr. Yu Jianlong

The Swedish Rules Limiting the Right to Appeal Against a Court Judgment
in a Challenge or Avoidance Action - a Comparative Study 15
Martin Wallin and Katarina Mild

COURT DECISIONS ON ARBITRATION

Australia

Victoria Court of Appeal, Melbourne, Australia in case No. [2007]
VSCA 255, rendered in November 2007
"The Oil Basins v BHP Billiton case" 23

Subject Matters:

Challenge due to:

- a) manifest error on the face of the award
- b) technical misconduct by members of the arbitral tribunal

Observations by Doug Jones 59

Austria

Court Decisions from the Austrian Supreme Court, in case No. 9
Ob 126/04a and case No. 6 Ob 207/06v, rendered in June 2005
and November 2006
"The B-Bank and Manfred S cases" 65

Subject Matter:

The nature of the relationship between the parties of an arbitration and the arbitrators.

<i>Observations by Fatima-Zabra Slaoui</i>	83
<i>Observations by Gerold Zeiler</i>	97

Egypt

Judgment by Egypt's Court of Cassation in the case No. 4729 of Judicial Year 72 rendered in June 2004 "The group of companies doctrine in Egyptian law"	103
--	-----

Subject Matter:

Extension of the arbitration agreement to a non-signatory company, in domestic proceedings, based on criteria of economic unity and identity of economic management; the extent to which theories of extension in groups of companies are in conformity with Egyptian Law.

<i>Observations by Karim Youssef</i>	107
--------------------------------------	-----

England

Judgment by the Supreme Court of Judicature Court of Appeal (Civil Division), London, in case No. [2007] EWCA CIV 20 rendered in January 2007 and Judgment by the House of Lords of Appeal, London, in case No. [2007] UKHL 40, rendered in October 2007	115
--	-----

Subject Matters:

- 1) Interpretation of arbitration agreements
- 2) Scope of separability principle

<i>Observations by Gilles Cuniberti</i>	148
---	-----

TABLE OF CONTENTS

iii

France

Judgment by the Supreme Court in France, in case No. JCP, 2007 I 168, rendered in February 2007 “UOP v BP”	157
--	-----

Subject Matter:

Validity or applicability of an arbitration agreement
simultaneously designating two arbitration institutions and/or rules.

<i>Observations by Emmanuelle Cabrol</i>	160
--	-----

Sweden

Judgment by the Swedish Supreme Court, case No. T 2448-06, rendered in November 2007 “The Lind Case”	167
--	-----

Subject Matter:

Disqualification of arbitrator for appearance of lack of
impartiality/independence.

<i>Observations by John Fellas</i>	175
<i>Observations by Patrik Schöldström</i>	184

INVESTMENT DISPUTES

Arbitral Award in SCC Arbitration V (080/2004), rendered in Stockholm in April 2006 “the Berschader Award under the Luxembourg/ Belgium-Russia BIT”	189
--	-----

Subject Matters:

- a) Do the Claimants (two Belgian physical individuals) who own shares in a company incorporated in Belgium, BI, which owns assets in the territory of the Russian Federation qualify as investors within the meaning of the Luxembourg/Belgium-Russia BIT (the Treaty)?
- b) Have the Claimants carried out an investment within the meaning of the Treaty?
- c) To what extent can the Claimants rely on the most favoured nation (MFN) clause contained in the basic Treaty to import a

more favourable dispute settlement mechanism contained in a third party treaty concluded by the Russian Federation to establish the jurisdiction of the Arbitral Tribunal?

Observations by Domenico di Pietro 257

NOTES & INFORMATION

BOOK REVIEW – Finn Madsen <i>Commercial Arbitration in Sweden</i>	271
BOOK REVIEW – Kreindler, Schäfer and Wolff <i>Schiedsgerichtsbarkeit - Kompendium für Die Praxis</i>	273
BOOK REVIEW – Riegler, Petsche, Fremuth-Wolf, Platte, Liebscher <i>Arbitration Law of Austria: Practice and Procedure</i>	275
LIST OF BOOKS RECEIVED	279