

MISSION STATEMENT

Stockholm International Arbitration Review illustrates the development of the international arbitral process, the enforcement of arbitral awards and the use of legal norms in the settlement of, primarily commercial transnational, disputes. By contributing to the scholarly debate on international arbitration, Stockholm International Arbitration Review seeks to advance ideas which will improve the knowledge and the functioning of the international arbitral process.

Emphasis is placed on the publication of extracts of arbitral awards and court decisions with in-depth comments by scholars and practitioners. The approach shall be comparative, articles and comments be reasoned and explanatory, accessible also to non-specialists.

Contributions in the Stockholm International Arbitration Review shall be published in the languages currently used in international arbitration.

Цели сборника

Сборник Stockholm International Arbitration Review содержит материалы о развитии международного арбитражного процесса, исполнении арбитражных решений и использовании правовых норм в урегулировании, в первую очередь, международных коммерческих споров. Сборник Stockholm International Arbitration Review, способствуя развитию научной дискуссии о международном арбитраже, направлен на распространение идей, повышающих уровень знаний и совершенствующих функционирование международного арбитражного процесса.

Акцент делается на публикации отрывков арбитражных и судебных решений в сопровождении подробных комментариев ученых и практикующих юристов. Материалы сборника предполагают использование сравнительного метода, статьи и комментарии должны быть аргументированны, содержать развернутые пояснения, доступные для понимания неспециалистов.

Материалы сборника Stockholm International Arbitration Review должны быть составлены на языках, используемых в настоящее время в международных арбитражных разбирательствах.

前言

斯德哥尔摩仲裁报告致力于研究国际仲裁程序的发展,仲裁裁决的强制执行以及如何利用法律规范来解决纠纷,尤其是国际商事纠纷.本报告通过促进对国际仲裁的学术性论争,来努力寻求先进理念,推动国际仲裁理论和实务的发展.

本报告着重摘录了部分仲裁裁决以及法院判决,并附有学者以及法律工作者的专业评论.报告中的文章和评论均采用比较的方法来阐述理由,非专业人士也能清楚明白.

本报告的稿件以国际仲裁通用的语言出版.

Stockholm International Arbitration Review is published by Juris Publishing Inc., in association with the Arbitration Institute of the Stockholm Chamber of Commerce, P.O. Box 16050, SE-103 21 Stockholm.

Mode of citation: Stockholm Int Arb Rev 2005:3

ISSN Number 1558-271X

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in retrieval system, transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopy, recording or otherwise without the written permission of the publisher.

STOCKHOLM INTERNATIONAL ARBITRATION REVIEW

Volume 2005:3
TABLE OF CONTENTS

EDITORIAL

By Sigvard Jarvin, General Editor vii

ARTICLES

- The Guarantee of “Full Protection and Security” in Investment
Treaties Regarding Harm Caused by Private Actors 1
Helge Elisabeth Zeidler
- The New CIETAC Arbitration Rules: Two Steps Forward,
Still More to Go 35
Michael J. Moser
-

INVESTMENT DISPUTES

Final Arbitral Award Rendered in 2005 in SCC Case 126/2003
Petrobart Ltd v. The Kyrgyz Republic 45

Subject Matters:

- (1) Is the Energy Charter Treaty (ECT) applicable to a company registered in Gibraltar?
- (2) Are the conditions for application of Article 17(1) of the ECT present?
- (3) The potential *res judicata* effect after the domestic litigation and the UNCITRAL Arbitration.
- (4) Doctrine of collateral estoppel.
- (5) Whether Petrobart qualifies as an investor under the ECT?
- (6) Did Petrobart make an investment in the Kyrgyz Republic?
- (7) Whether the Kyrgyz Republic violated its obligations under Articles 10(1), 10(12), 13(1) and 22(1) of the ECT.

Observations by Georgios Petrochilos & Noah Rubins 100

COURT DECISIONS ON ARBITRATION

China

Judgments on Jurisdiction by Beijing Second Intermediate People's Court Rendered on 13 September 2001 and Beijing High People's Court Rendered on 12 December 2001 129

Subject Matter:

Jurisdiction—Whether a contract between a joint venture and one party to the joint venture, which has no arbitration clause, shall be subject to the arbitration clause of the joint venture contract.

Observations by Liu Ping 134

Egypt

Judgment of the Supreme Constitutional Court of Egypt Rendered on 9 May, 2004 in Case No. 15 of the Judicial Year 24 (The “El Motahidah v. Shell Case”) 139

Subject Matters:

- (1) Whether the Egyptian Arbitration Law violates the constitutional principle that a party is entitled to litigate on two levels by giving the court of appeal exclusive jurisdiction over actions for setting aside arbitral awards.
- (2) Whether the Egyptian Arbitration Law violates the constitutional principle of equality by providing for disparate treatment of parties resorting to arbitration and those resorting to litigation.

Observations by M.I.M. Aboul-Enein 148

TABLE OF CONTENTS

iii

France

Decision by the Paris Court of Appeal on 29 September 2005 in Direction Générale de l'Aviation Civile de l'Emirat de Dubaï v. International Bechtel Co., LLP (The "DAC Dubai v. Bechtel" case)	151
--	-----

Subject Matters:

- (1) Enforcement of an arbitral award set aside in the
country of origin.
- (2) Law applicable to the effectiveness of an arbitral award.

<i>Observations by Philippe Pinsolle</i>	159
--	-----

<i>Observations by Alexis Mourre</i>	172
--------------------------------------	-----

Switzerland

Decision by the Geneva Court of First Instance Rendered on 2 May 2005 in Case C/1043/2005-15SP	191
---	-----

Subject Matter:

Anti-arbitration injunction

<i>Observations by Matthias Scherer & Teresa Giovannini</i>	201
---	-----

NOTES & INFORMATION

BOOK REVIEW – Dr. Stefan Rützel, Prof. Dr. Gerhard Wegen and Dr. Stephan Wilske, <i>Commercial Dispute Resolution in Germany; Litigation, Arbitration, Mediation</i>	219
---	-----

