

Stockholm Arbitration Report

2003:2

Contents:

Articles

Risk of Conflict Between the New York Convention and Newer Arbitration-Friendly National Legislation? • *Giuditta Cordero Moss*


Awards of Punitive Damages • *Jessica Jia Fei*

Enforcement of SCC and Russian Arbitration Awards in the United States Courts: An Overview • *Alexander S.Vesselinovitch*

Arbitral Awards


Court Decisions on Arbitration

Notes & Information



ARBITRATION INSTITUTE
OF THE STOCKHOLM CHAMBER OF COMMERCE

JP JURIS PUBLISHING, INC.



ARBITRATION INSTITUTE
OF THE STOCKHOLM CHAMBER OF COMMERCE

P.O.Box 16050, SE-103 21 Stockholm
Visiting address: Jakobs Torg 3
Tel: +46 8 555 100 50, Fax: +46 8 566 316 50
E-mail: arbitration@chamber.se
<http://www.sccinstitute.com>

JURIS PUBLISHING, INC.

71 New Street, Huntington, New York 11743 USA
Phone: +1 631 350 0200 Fax: +1 631 351 5712
E-mail: info@jurispub.com
<http://www.jurispub.com>

STOCKHOLM ARBITRATION REPORT 2003:2



STOCKHOLM ARBITRATION REPORT

Volume 2003:2

Table of Contents

EDITORIAL

By Sigvard Jarvin, General Editor

ARTICLES

Risk of Conflict Between the New York Convention and Newer Arbitration-Friendly National Legislation? <i>Giuditta Cordero Moss</i>	1
Awards of Punitive Damages <i>Jessica Jia Fei</i>	19
Enforcement of SCC and Russian Arbitration Awards in the United States Courts: An Overview <i>Alexander S. Vesselinovich</i>	37

ARBITRAL AWARDS

Order for Interim Measures and Arbitral Award rendered in 2002 in SCC case 96/2001 <i>Subject-matters:</i> (1) Power of the Arbitral Tribunal to grant interim measures under the SCC Rules. (2) Applicable law to the merits and to a retention of title clause. (3) Enforcement of retention of title clause under Uzbek law. (4) Right to restitution of delivered goods under Uzbek law. <i>Observations by Fernando Pombo</i>	47
--	----

COURT DECISIONS ON ARBITRATION

England

Decision of England’s Court of Appeal (Civil Division) rendered in 2000 in case [2000] EWCA Civ 154 – the “Saudi Cable” case 65

Subject-matters:

- (1) What constitutes bias on the part of an arbitrator?
- (2) What constitutes misconduct on the part of an arbitrator?
- (3) Whether an arbitral institution’s decision on a challenge of an arbitrator is final.
- (4) Whether the arbitrator should be removed and awards set aside on ground of bias.

Observations by William K. Slate II, David P. Roney & Viviane Frossard and Stefano Azzali & Benedetta Coppo

Russian Federation

Judgment of the Federal Commercial Court of the Western Siberian Okrug, the Russian Federation rendered in 1999 in case F04/1402-291/A70-99 and Judgment of the Presidium of the Higher Commercial Court of the Russian Federation rendered in 2003 in case 2853/00 129

Subject matters:

- (1) Dissolution of a joint venture – the relevance of mandatory rules according to Russian law.
- (2) Whether the arbitral tribunal went beyond the scope of the arbitration clause.

Observations by Dominic Pellet

Spain

Judgment of the Supreme Court of Spain rendered in 2001 in *Kern Electrónica SA v. Goldstar Company Ltd* – the “Kern” case

151

Subject matters:

- (1) Whether an arbitration clause is not binding since the parties’ choice of law did not have any connection with the contract or the dispute.
- (2) Whether an arbitration clause is null and void because it does not include an explicit will of the parties to comply with the award.

Observations by Juan Fernández-Armesto and José Rosell



Sweden

Judgment by the Svea Court of Appeal, Stockholm rendered in 2003 in case T 8735-01 – the “CME v. Czech Republic” case

167

Subject-matters:

- (1) Whether an arbitrator was excluded from the deliberations.
- (2) Whether the tribunal failed to take into consideration applicable law.
- (3) Whether the tribunal lacked jurisdiction due to *lis pendens* and *res judicata*.
- (4) Whether the tribunal exceeded its mandate – the concept of joint tortfeasors.
- (5) Whether the tribunal exceeded its mandate – decision concerning determination of the damage.
- (6) Whether the tribunal exceeded its mandate – previous investors and prior breaches.
- (7) Whether the judgment of the Court of Appeal may be appealed.

Observations by Noah Rubins, Stanisław Soltysiński & Marcin Olechowski, Hans Bagner and Tore Wivén-Nilsson



Sweden and Denmark

The “SwemBalt” case - Decision of the Svea Court of Appeal,
Stockholm rendered in 2002 in case Ö 7192-01 261

Subject-matters:

- (1) Enforcement of an award rendered under the Agreement between Sweden and Latvia on the Promotion and Reciprocal Protection of Investments (SÖ 1992:93 (“the BIT”)); question whether the re was an investment in the meaning of the BIT.
- (2) Should enforcement be refused on the ground that the arbitral tribunal lacked jurisdiction for reasons of *lis pendens*?

Decision of the Maritime and Commercial Court, Copenhagen rendered in 2003 in case S-22-01

Subject matters:

- (1) Challenge of an arbitral award rendered under the Agreement between Sweden and Latvia on the Promotion and Reciprocal of Investments, SÖ 1992:93 (the “BIT”); question whether there was an investment in the meaning of the BIT.
- (2) *Ne bis in idem* where two requests for arbitration made.
- (3) Whether a Swedish court judgment declaring the award valid is also valid in Denmark pursuant to Article 26 of the European Court Convention.

Observations by Christoph Liebscher

NOTES & INFORMATION

Book review: Erik Schäfer, Herman Verbist and Christophe Imhoos: L’Arbitrage de la Chambre de Commerce International (CCI) en Pratique	287
Book review: Simpson Thacher & Bartlett: Comparison of International Arbitration Rules	291
Book review: Menno Aden: Internationale Handelsschiedsgerichtsbarkeit Kommentar zu den Schiedsverfahrensordnungen ICC – DIS – Wiener Regeln UNCITRAL – LCIA	295

In Memoriam – Michel Gaudet	299
In Memoriam – Michael Hoellering	305
Chinese translation of the Swedish Arbitration Act 1999	307
The 1958 New York Convention, list of Contracting States	323
How to subscribe to the Stockholm Arbitration Report	329
2003 Index	337

