

**B2C Arbitration:
Consumer Protection in Arbitration**

Alexander J. Bělohlávek

JURIS

Questions About This Publication

For assistance with shipments, billing or other customer service matters,
please call our Customer Services Department at:
1-631-350-2100

To obtain a copy of this book, call our Sales Department:
1-631-351-5430
Fax: 1-631-351-5712

Toll Free Order Line:
1-800-887-4064 (United States & Canada)
See our web page about this book:
www.arbitrationlaw.com

COPYRIGHT © 2012
by JurisNet, LLC

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced in any form
or by any electronic or mechanical means including information storage
and retrieval systems without permission in writing from the publisher.

Printed in the United States of America.
ISBN 978-1-937518-12-7

Juris Net, LLC
71 New Street
Huntington, New York 11743
USA
www.arbitrationlaw.com

The first edition of this book was published in the Czech language in 2012
by C.H. Beck, Prague, (Czech Republic).

CONTENTS

Gratiarum – Acknowledgments	xix
Auctorium – About the Author	xxi
Index Compendiorum – List of Abbreviations	xxiii
I. Introduction	1
I.1. Subject of this publication	1
I.2. Different national approaches and experience in the resolution of consumer disputes	1
I.3. Positive and negative aspects of litigation and arbitration in consumer disputes, fair trial, and efficiency of dispute resolution.....	2
I.4. Importance of consumer protection against the background of the dispute resolution mechanism	4
I.5. Risk of abuse of the special protection by the consumer.....	7
II. Terminological and Conceptual Delimitation of Consumer Disputes with respect to Arbitration	9
II.1. Consumer protection laws.....	9
II.2. Arbitration	9
II.3. <i>Public policy</i> and <i>public interest</i>	10
II.3.1. Inconsistent application of two materially different categories	10
II.3.2. <i>Public policy (ordre public)</i>	11
(a) <i>Domestic and international public policy</i> (<i>ordre public</i>) in legal cultures	11
(b) <i>Public policy</i> in arbitration.....	13
II.3.3. <i>Public interest</i>	14
(a) National basis for the historical delimitation of <i>public interest</i>	14
(b) Genesis and the constitution of <i>public interest</i>	16
(c) Delimitation of <i>public interest</i>	18
II.3.4. <i>Public policy</i> and <i>public interest</i> in EU law	21
(a) Autonomous interpretation of <i>public policy</i> and <i>public interest</i> ; an exception to the freedoms guaranteed by primary EU law.....	21
(b) Free movement of persons and protection of security	22
(c) Access to information.....	29
(d) Consumer protection.....	31
II.3.5 <i>Public interest</i> , overriding mandatory rules and comparison with <i>public policy</i>	33

Contents

II.4.	Issues common to consumer protection and arbitration.....	37
II.5.	Scope of consumer protection issues	38
II.5.1.	Weaker contracting party and the average consumer.....	38
II.5.2.	Claims made in consumer disputes.....	40
II.5.3.	Consumer contract.....	41
(a)	Consumer contract (contract concluded by a consumer)	41
(b)	Consumer and professional.....	45
(c)	The average consumer (connection with the essential and other terms of the contract and with arbitration agreements).....	46
(d)	Multilateral criteria defining a consumer contract.....	48
II.6.	Resolution of consumer issues.....	50
II.7.	Consumer arbitration	53
II.8.	Right to legal protection versus right to judicial protection and the importance of autonomy	58
II.9.	Role of courts with respect to consumer arbitration.....	65
II.9.1.	Appeals (review)	65
II.9.2.	Court intervention at the stage of enforcement of arbitral awards.....	66
II.9.3.	Control and support exercised by the courts (<i>juge d'appui</i>)	67
III.	Consumer Protection and Consumer Disputes under EU Law.....	69
III.1.	Restrictive model under EU law	69
III.2.	Fundamental consumer rights.....	70
III.3.	Directive 93/13/EEC on unfair terms in consumer contracts (the " <i>Directive</i> ").....	71
III.3.1.	Identification of unfair terms in court rulings	71
III.3.2.	Annex to the <i>Directive</i>	72
III.3.3.	Application of the Annex to the <i>Directive</i> to consumer arbitration.....	75
III.3.4.	Obligation of the [arbitral] tribunal to decide according to the applicable laws and regulations.....	76
III.4.	EC Recommendation No. 98/257/EC of 30 March 1998, regarding the resolution of consumer disputes.....	81
III.5.	Other sources of EU law.....	84
III.5.1.	Directive 98/27/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 19 May 1998	84
III.5.2.	Liability for defective products.....	85
III.5.3.	EU laws with no impact on consumer arbitration	87

III.6.	Concept of single European Contract Law (contractual framework)	88
III.6.1.	Genesis and development	88
III.6.2.	The <i>Green Paper</i>	89
III.6.3.	EP <i>Resolution</i> of 8 June 2011 (the “ <i>Resolution</i> ”)	90
	(a) Contents of the EP <i>Resolution</i>	90
	(b) Legal nature of the instrument of European Contract Law	91
	(c) Scope of application of the instrument	93
	(d) Application of the instrument in practice	95
	(e) Importance of the <i>Resolution</i>	96
III.6.4.	Influence of the EP’s <i>Resolution</i> on arbitration	98
III.7.	Proposals of the European Commission regarding the alternative resolution of consumer disputes and regarding online consumer disputes of 29 November 2011.....	99
III.7.1.	Legal context of the <i>Commission’s</i> legislative proposals.....	99
III.7.2.	Proposed legislative procedure	101
III.7.3.	<i>Commission</i> Communication on alternative dispute resolution for consumer disputes in the Single Market.....	101
III.7.4.	Proposal for a Directive on alternative dispute resolution for consumer disputes (“ <i>ADR Directive</i> ”).....	105
III.7.5.	Legal elements of the Proposal.....	105
III.8.	Proposal for a Regulation on online dispute resolution for consumer disputes (“ <i>ODR Regulation</i> ”).....	107
III.8.1.	Premises of the proposed Regulation.....	107
III.8.2.	Legal elements of the Proposal.....	108
III.8.3.	Implications of the proposed EU legislation for arbitration	109
III.8.4.	Assessment of the proposals presented by the EU <i>Commission</i>	111
III.9.	Case law of the ECJ concerning arbitration and interpretation of the <i>Directive</i> and other consumer protection instruments.....	112
III.9.1.	Case law of the ECJ in mutual comparison	112
III.9.2.	ECJ Judgment, Case C-168/05 of 26 October 2006 (<i>Elisa María Mostaza Claro v. Centro Móvil Milenium SL</i>)	119
	(a) Conclusions of the ECJ	119
	(b) Facts of the case	120
	(c) The ECJ’s reasoning and conclusions.....	121
	(d) Notes on the judgment	123

Contents

III.9.3. ECJ Judgment, Cases C-240/98 through C-244/98 of 27 June 2000 (<i>Oceáno Grupo Editorial SA</i>).....	124
(a) Conclusions of the ECJ	124
(b) Facts of the case	124
(c) The ECJ's reasoning and conclusions	125
III.9.4. ECJ Judgment, Case C-473/00 of 21 November 2002 (<i>Cofidis SA v. Jean-Louis Fredout [Cofidis]</i>)	128
(a) Conclusions of the ECJ	128
(b) Facts of the case	128
(c) The ECJ's reasoning and conclusions	129
(d) Assessment of the merits	130
III.9.5. ECJ Judgment, Case C-40/08 of 6 October 2009 (<i>Telecomunicaciones SL v. Cristina Rodríguez Nogueira [Asturcom]</i>)	133
(a) Conclusions of the ECJ	133
(b) Facts of the case	134
(c) The ECJ's reasoning and conclusions	135
III.9.6. ECJ Judgment, Case C-243/08 of 4 June 2009 (<i>Pannon GSM Zrt v. Sustikné Gyórfi Erzsébet [Pannon GSM]</i>).....	139
(a) Conclusions of the ECJ	139
(b) Facts of the case	140
(c) Arguments of the ECJ	141
(aa) The first question: Examination of unfairness <i>ex officio</i> (of the court's own motion)	141
(bb) The second question: Examination of unfairness where the court has sufficient factual and legal information	142
(cc) The third question: Circumstances decisive or the assessment of (un)fairness and (im)balance.....	143
(d) Obligation of the arbitrators to instruct the parties about the unfairness of a contractual term	145
III.9.7. ECJ Order, Case C-76/10 of 16 November 2010 (<i>Pohotovost's.r.o. v. Iveta Korčkovská [Pohotovost]</i>).....	146
(a) Summary.....	146
(b) Factual and legal findings.....	147
(c) Form of the ECJ's ruling	149
(d) Permissibility of the preliminary reference procedure	149
(e) Arguments of the ECJ	150

	(aa) The first question: Information in the agreement about total annual costs of the credit.....	150
	(bb) The second question: Sub-par. (a)	152
	(cc) The second question: Sub-pars. (b) and (c)	153
	(dd) The third question: Assessment of the unfairness of the contract in proceedings on enforcement of a foreign arbitral award	154
III.9.8.	ECJ Judgment, Case C-137/08 of 9 November 2010 (<i>VB Pénzügyi Lízing Zrt. v. Ferenc Schneider</i>): Unfairness of a choice-of-court clause	154
	(a) Conclusions of the ECJ	154
	(b) Factual and legal findings.....	155
	(c) Preliminary reference procedure.....	155
	(d) The ECJ’s reasoning and conclusions.....	157
	(aa) Conclusions regarding the third question originally referred: Informing the Minister of Justice	157
	(bb) The first and the second additional questions: The jurisdiction of the ECJ to interpret the concept of “unfair term” and the obligation of the national court to apply the interpretation to the facts of a particular case.....	157
	(cc) The third additional question: The obligation of the court to undertake an <i>ex officio</i> review	158
III.10.	Requirements imposed on EU Member States.....	159
IV.	Consumer Arbitration in Selected Countries	161
IV.1.	[AUT] [AUSTRIA].....	161
	IV.1.1. Principles and sources of consumer protection (substantive-law basis)	161
	IV.1.2. Consumer arbitration.....	162
IV.2.	[BEL] [BELGIUM]	166
	IV.2.1. Principles and sources.....	166
	IV.2.2. Arbitration.....	166
	IV.2.3. Mediation.....	167
IV.3.	[BGR] [BULGARIA].....	167
	IV.3.1. Arbitration.....	167
	IV.3.2. Mediation.....	169
IV.4.	[CAN] [CANADA].....	170
	IV.4.1. The approach adopted by certain provinces	170
	IV.4.2. The federal approach.....	171
	IV.4.3. Case law – Decision of the Supreme Court of Canada, Case No. 31067 of 13 July 2007 (<i>Dumoulin et Union des consommateurs v. Dell Computer Corp.</i>).....	171

Contents

	(a) Basic conclusions.....	171
	(b) Factual and legal findings.....	173
	(c) Arguments of the parties and the Court's legal conclusions.....	174
IV.5.	[CZE] [CZECH REPUBLIC].....	179
IV.5.1.	Arbitration laws.....	179
	(a) Legal basis and importance of arbitration in the Czech Republic	179
	(b) Amendment to the Arbitration Act (Czech arbitration law in effect since 1 April 2012)	181
	(aa) Concept of the Amendment to the ArbAct [CZE].....	181
	(bb) Arbitration agreement concluded by a consumer (arbitration agreement for consumer disputes).....	184
	(cc) Independence and impartiality of arbitrators	185
IV.5.2.	Protection of consumers under substantive law.....	186
	(a) Sources and principles of consumer protection in contemporary legislation (CC [CZE])	186
	(b) Consequences of unfair terms in consumer contracts	188
	(c) Proposal for a new Civil Code (NCC [CZE])	193
IV.5.3.	Case law.....	201
	(a) Judgment of the Constitutional Court of the Czech Republic [CZE], Case No. IV. ÚS 2157/08 of 24 September 2008	201
	(aa) Legal opinion of the Constitutional Court.....	201
	(bb) Factual and legal findings	202
	(cc) Commentary on the judgment of the Constitutional Court	203
	(b) Judgment of the ConCourt CR, Case No. I ÚS 3227/07 of 8 March 2011	203
	(aa) Conclusions and importance of the decision	203
	(bb) Factual and legal circumstances of the case and court decisions regarding setting aside an arbitral award.....	210
	(cc) Decision of the Constitutional Court on merits	214
	(dd) Correlation between decision and consumer protection.....	215
	(c) Resolution of the Constitutional Court of the Czech Republic, Case No. II. ÚS 3057/10 of 5 October 2011	217
	(aa) Conclusions of the Constitutional Court	217

(bb)	From the facts of the case; decisions of the lower courts.....	221
(cc)	Constitutional complaint and conclusions adopted by the Constitutional Court in its ruling, including the reasons.....	224
(d)	Judgment of the Constitutional Court of the Czech Republic, Case No. II. ÚS 2164/10 of 1 November 2011: Correlation between the protection of autonomy in negotiating arbitration agreements and the protection of the weaker contracting party (consumer protection)	229
(aa)	Conclusions of the Constitutional Court	229
(bb)	Factual and legal findings	231
(cc)	Constitutional complaint and conclusions adopted by the Constitutional Court in its ruling, including reasons.....	232
1.	Result of the proceedings	232
2.	Contractual autonomy and consumer protection	232
3.	EU-compliant interpretation of consumer protection law	233
4.	Internal inconsistencies in the reasons for the judgment regarding the nature of arbitration and manifest conflict with other rulings of the Constitutional Court.....	234
5.	Proportionality of fundamental rights in consumer contracts and the requirement of transparency.....	237
6.	Requirement of appeal.....	238
7.	Right to a lawful judge and rules concerning the appointment of arbitrator	240
(e)	Judgment of the Supreme Court CR [CZE], Case No. 23 Cdo 1201/2009 of 29 June 2010	243
(aa)	Conclusions of the Supreme Court	243
(bb)	Factual and legal findings	247
(cc)	Decisions of the trial court and the court of appeals.....	248
(dd)	Conclusions of the court of appeals and the subsequent decision of the Supreme Court, including reasons.....	250
(f)	Resolution of the SC CR [CZE], Case No. 31 Cdo 1945/2010 of 11 May 2011: Arbitration clause which impairs the position of the “weaker” contracting party; permanent arbitral institutions versus “ <i>ad hoc</i> ” arbitrators	252

Contents

	(aa) Conclusions of the Supreme Court	252
	(bb) Factual and legal findings and decisions of the trial court and the court of appeals.....	254
	(cc) Cassation appeal.....	255
	(dd) Decision of the cassation court and the cassation court's arguments.....	256
	(g) Resolution of the Supreme Court of the Czech Republic [CZE], Case No. 30 Cdo 4415/2010 of 27 July 2011	258
	(aa) Conclusions of the Supreme Court	258
	(bb) Factual and legal findings	258
	(cc) Subject matter of the proceedings before the SC CR [CZE].....	260
	(dd) Reasons for the decision	260
IV.6.	[DEU] [GERMANY]: Friendly approach to consumer arbitration	261
IV.6.1.	Legal control of contractual terms	261
IV.6.2.	Tolerant application of the <i>Directive</i> and national arbitration laws.....	263
IV.6.3.	Case law.....	265
	(a) Judgment of the Federal Court of Justice (Supreme Court [DEU]), Case No. III ZR 265/03 of 13 January 2005.....	265
	(aa) Conclusions of the court.....	265
	(bb) Factual and legal findings	267
	(cc) Reasons for the decision	268
	(b) Judgment of the Federal Court of Justice (Supreme Court [DEU]), Case No. III. ZR 164/06 of 1 March 2007: Limitation of the right to appoint an arbitrator does not render the arbitration agreement invalid	272
	(aa) Conclusions of the court.....	272
	(bb) Factual and legal findings	273
	(cc) Reasons for the decision	274
	(c) Judgment of the Federal Court of Justice (Supreme Court [DEU]), Case No. XI 349/08 of 8 June 2010 (<i>M v. N</i>): Law applicable to arbitration agreement and form of arbitration agreement.....	275
	(aa) Conclusion of the court	275
	(bb) Factual and legal findings	276
	(cc) Arguments of the court.....	276
	(d) Decision of Krefeld District Court, Case No. 6 O 186/95 of 29 April 1996 (<i>Richard Zellner v. Phillip Alexandre Securities and Futures Ltd.</i>).....	276

	(e) Judgment of the BGH [DEU], Case No. XI ZR 349/08 of 8 June 2010: Arbitration clauses in contracts between a consumer and a foreign securities broker	278
	(aa) Conclusions of the court.....	278
	(bb) Factual and legal findings and decisions of the trial court and the court of appeals.....	279
	(cc) Decision and arguments of the Federal Court of Justice (BGH [DEU])	280
	(f) Judgment of the BGH [DEU], Case No. III ZR 16/11 of 19 May 2011 (<i>C. v. D.</i>): Non-performance of formal requirements and impossibility of subsequent validation of the arbitration agreement	282
	(aa) Conclusion of the court	282
	(bb) Factual and legal findings	282
	(cc) Arguments of the court.....	282
IV.7.	[DNK] [DENMARK]	283
IV.8.	[ESP] [SPAIN]	284
	IV.8.1. Spanish approach to consumer arbitration	284
	IV.8.2. Case law – Decision of the Madrid Court of Appeals [ESP], Case No. 28079370102010100498, 12 November 2010 (<i>Juan Pedro v. Metrovacesa S.A.</i>): The principle of good faith protects both the consumer and the professional.....	287
	(a) Legal opinion of the Court.....	287
	(b) Factual and legal findings and other conclusions of the Court.....	287
	(c) Notes on the judgment	288
IV.9.	[EST] [ESTONIA].....	289
IV.10.	[FRA] [FRANCE]	289
	IV.10.1. Legal control of contractual terms	289
	IV.10.2. Consumer arbitration	291
IV.11.	[GBR] [UNITED KINGDOM]	294
	IV.11.1. Sources and principles of consumer protection	294
	IV.11.2. Consumer arbitration	294
	IV.11.3. Case law	296
	(a) Decision in <i>Zealander & Zealander v. Laing Homes Limited</i> of 1999.....	296
	(b) Decision in <i>Picardi v. Cuniberti</i> of 2002, <i>Westminster Building Company Limited v. Beckingham</i> of 2004 and <i>Allen Wilson Shopfitters and Builders v. Buckingham</i> of 2005: Importance of the information provided to consumer	296
IV.12.	[HRV] [CROATIA]	297
IV.13.	[HUN] [HUNGARY]	298

Contents

IV.14.	[CHE] [SWITZERLAND]	300
IV.15.	[ITA] [ITALY]	301
IV.16.	[LTU] [LITHUANIA]	302
IV.17.	[LVA] [LATVIA].....	302
	IV.17.1. Latvian arbitration laws	302
	IV.17.2. Case law – Judgment of the Latvian Constitutional Court, Case No. 2004-10-01 of 17 January 2005	303
IV.18.	[MEX] [MEXICO]	304
IV.19.	[NLD] [NETHERLANDS]	304
IV.20.	[NOR] [NORWAY].....	306
	IV.20.1. Arbitration.....	306
	IV.20.2. Mediation	306
IV.21.	[POL] [POLAND].....	307
	IV.21.1. Tradition of consumer protection in Poland	307
	IV.21.2. Conditions for consumer arbitration.....	308
IV.22	[PRT] [PORTUGAL]	311
IV.23	[RUS] [RUSSIA]	311
IV.24.	[SVK] [SLOVAKIA]	312
	IV.24.1. Sources and principles of consumer protection	312
	IV.24.2. Consumer arbitration	312
	IV.24.3. Case law – Resolution of the Regional Court in Prešov [SVK], Case No. 17CoE/99/2010 of 15 February 2011	315
	(a) Conclusions of the court	315
	(b) Factual and legal findings and reasons for the trial court’s decision	317
	(c) Decision and arguments of the court of appeals.....	321
	(d) Notes on the judgment	322
IV.25.	[SWE] [SWEDEN].....	324
	III.25.1. Sources and principles of consumer protection	324
	III.25.2. Consumer arbitration	324
IV.26.	[USA] [UNITED STATES OF AMERICA]	325
	IV.26.1. Support for consumer arbitration.....	325
	IV.26.2. Limitation of consumer arbitration	332
	IV.26.3. Proposal for a new federal law in the United States (draft Arbitration Fairness Act, AFA [USA])	334
	IV.26.4. Enforcement of arbitral awards rendered in the United States in consumer disputes abroad.....	336
	IV.26.5. Case law	336
	(a) Decision of the Supreme Court of the United States of America, No. 82-500 of 23 January 1984 (<i>Southland Corp. et al. v. Keating et al.</i>); 465 U.S. 1 (1984): Special protection afforded to <i>franchisees</i>	336
	(aa) Main conclusions of the decision.....	336

(bb)	Factual and legal findings	338
(cc)	Arguments of the parties and the Court's legal conclusions.....	339
(dd)	Notes on the judgment.....	341
(b)	Decision of the Supreme Court of the United States of America, No. 93-1001 of 18 January 1995 (<i>Terminix v. Dobson</i>).....	343
(aa)	Main conclusions of the Court.....	343
(bb)	Factual and legal findings	344
(cc)	Arguments of the parties and the Court's legal conclusions	345
(c)	Judgment of the Court of Appeal of California, No. A068753 of 3 November 1998 (<i>Badie v. Bank of America</i>)	348
(aa)	Conclusions of the Court.....	348
(bb)	Factual and legal findings	349
(cc)	Arguments of the parties and the Court's legal conclusions.....	350
(d)	Judgment of the United States Court of Appeals, Sixth Circuit, No. 98-028 30 / 99-3854 of 8 September 2000 (<i>James D. Stout; Shirley A. Brown v. J.D. Byrider, a/k/a Docherty Motors, Inc.; T & J Acceptance Corporation, d/b/a Carnow Acceptance Company</i>).....	353
(aa)	Conclusions of the Court.....	353
(bb)	Factual and legal findings	354
(cc)	Arguments of the courts.....	354
(e)	Judgment of the Supreme Court of Arkansas, No. 1981594 of 15 September 2000 (<i>Alabama Catalog Sales v. Harris</i>): Jurisdiction over the validity of arbitration agreements	355
(aa)	Conclusion of the Court	355
(bb)	Factual and legal findings	356
(cc)	Arguments of the courts.....	356
(f)	Judgment of the Supreme Court of Arkansas, No. 99-1398 of 21 September 2000 (<i>ShowMe TheMoney v. Williams</i>): Requirement of mutuality of arbitration clauses.....	356
(aa)	Conclusion of the Court	356
(bb)	Factual and legal findings	356
(cc)	Arguments of the courts.....	357
(g)	Decision of the Supreme Court of the United States, Case No. 09-893 of 11 April 2011 (<i>Vincent Concepcion & others v. AT&T Mobility LLC</i>): Prevalence of the purpose of arbitration over special consumer protection	357

Contents

	(aa) Conclusions of the Court.....	357
	(bb) Factual and legal findings	358
	(cc) Arguments of the courts.....	358
	(dd) Commentary on the decision.....	359
V.	Consumer Arbitration as the Subject Matter of Proceedings in Court.....	361
V.1.	Validity of arbitration agreements in consumer contracts	361
V.1.1.	Importance of the validity of arbitration agreements.....	361
V.1.2.	Scope of the concept of “validity of an arbitration agreement” in consumer relations.....	362
V.1.3.	Law applicable to the validity of the arbitration agreement	364
V.1.4.	Special arbitration laws and EU courts.....	370
V.1.5.	Decision in <i>Philip Alexander Securities Futures Ltd v. Bamberger</i> [GBR-ENG] / [DEU]	371
V.1.6.	Decision in <i>Heifer International Inc. v. Helge Christiansen et Christiansen Arkitekter KS MAA PAR et al.</i> [GBR-ENG]	372
V.2.	Application of various legal systems to the definition of consumer and the validity of an arbitration agreement	373
V.2.1.	Importance of the definition of consumer.....	373
V.2.2.	Decision in <i>Heifer International Inc. v. Helge Christiansen et Christiansen Arkitekter KS MAA PAR et al.</i> [GBR-ENG]	373
V.2.3.	International practice.....	376
V.2.4.	Consequences of the decision on the invalidity of an arbitration agreement	377
V.3.	Procedural issues and stages of proceedings.....	378
V.3.1.	Confirmation or refusal of jurisdiction by the arbitral tribunal / Application of the “Kompetenz-Kompetenz” principle	378
V.3.2.	Consumers’ arguments against arbitration agreements.....	381
V.3.3.	Costs of proceedings and availability of legal protection	381
V.3.4.	Consequences of the decision on the invalidity of an arbitration agreement in a consumer contract.....	383
V.3.5.	Challenging arbitral awards concerning consumer contracts	384
	(a) Courts in proceedings which are connected with and follow arbitration and the scope of judicial review	384

	(b) Arguments important for courts in proceedings which are connected with and follow arbitration	386
V.4.	Enforcement of arbitral awards rendered in consumer disputes	387
	V.4.1. Jurisdiction	387
	V.4.2. Laws applicable to enforcement of arbitral awards	387
	V.4.3. Enforcement of arbitral awards rendered in states with a lower degree of consumer protection.....	393
	V.4.4. Decision of the Austrian Supreme Court (OGH [AUT]), Case No. 3 Ob 144/09m of 22 July 2009: Breach of consumer protection laws does not form part of international or <i>EU public policy</i>	394
	(a) Importance of the decision	394
	(b) Facts of the case	395
	(c) Conclusions of the Austrian court	396
	(d) Reasons for the decision.....	396
	(e) Commentary on the decision	400
V.5.	Courts as “ <i>juge d’appui</i> ” in consumer disputes: Auxiliary role of courts with respect to arbitration	402
V.6.	Appointment of arbitrators	402
	V.6.1. Appointment and replacement of arbitrators.....	402
	V.6.2. Challenging arbitrators.....	403
V.7.	Applications for interim measures, including anti-arbitration injunctions.....	405
Bibliography		407
I.	Monographs.....	407
	I.A. Literature directly related to the topic.....	407
	I.B. Literature closely related to the topic	421
II.	Articles in Periodicals and Anthologies	427
	II.A. Literature directly related to the topic.....	427
	II.B. Literature closely related to the topic	451
III.	Literature Available Online	458
IV.	Master’s, Doctoral, and Dissertation Theses as well as Other Qualification, Scientific, and Scientific-Pedagogical Papers	467
Index-Registers		471
I.	Introductory Notes to the Index.....	471
II.	Keyword Index	471
III.	Table of Cited Rules (Normative Acts and Other Sources).....	491
	III.1 Rules of national origin	491
	III.2. Rules of international (other than national) origin, including EU rules and rules of international (supranational) courts	497

Contents

III.3.	Internationally applied / recognized rules of other than state (public) origin	500
IV.	Table of References to Decisions Rendered in Arbitration or Litigation (Overview According to Parties, <i>Fora</i> , Designation and Date of Decision)	501
IV.1.	Decisions of national courts and constitutional courts arranged by individual state	501
IV.2.	Table of cases – Decisions rendered by international <i>fora</i> (courts, tribunals) arranged by individual institution	507
IV.3.	Decisions arranged by designation of parties (alphabetically).....	510
V.	Table of References to States	522