

**POST-HEARING ISSUES IN
INTERNATIONAL ARBITRATION**

Devin Bray
Heather L. Bray

Editors

JURIS

Questions About This Publication

For assistance with shipments, billing or other customer service matters, please call our Customer Services Department at:

1-631-350-2100

To obtain a copy of this book, call our Sales Department:

1-631-351-5430

Fax: 1-631-351-5712

Toll Free Order Line:

1-800-887-4064 (United States & Canada)

See our webpage about this book:

www.arbitrationlaw.com

COPYRIGHT 2013

JurisNet, LLC

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced in any form or by any electronic or mechanical means including information storage and retrieval systems without permission in writing from the publisher.

Printed in the United States of America.

ISBN 978-1-937518-27-1

JurisNet, LLC
71 New Street
Huntington, New York 11743
USA
www.arbitrationlaw.com

Summary Table of Contents

About the Editors	xv
About the Authors.....	xvii
Foreword.....	xxvii

Part I: The Arbitral Award

Chapter 1

Deliberations of the Arbitral Tribunal – Analysis of Reasoned Awards from a Swedish Perspective

Finn Madsen and Peter Krikström 3

Chapter 2

Awards of Punitive Damages

Jessica Jia Fei, Damien McDonald and Eunice Bai 49

Chapter 3

Post-award Interest: The Position of the French Courts in *Banque Arabe et Internationale d'Investissement v. The Inter-Arab Investment Guarantee Corporation*

Christopher R. Seppälä..... 77

Part II: Annulment, Appeal and Standard of Review

Chapter 4

Exclusion of Annulment Action in Switzerland

Laurent Hirsch..... 87

Chapter 5

The Swedish Rules Limiting the Right to Appeal Against a Court Judgment in a Challenge or Avoidance Action - A Comparative Study

Martin Wallin and Katarina Mild..... 157

Chapter 6	
The “Minimalist” and “Maximalist” Approach to Reviewing Competition Law Awards: A Never-Ending Saga Revisited or the Middle Way at Last?	
<i>Gordon Blanke</i>	169
Chapter 7	
The Rise in Judicial Hostility to Arbitration: Revisiting <i>Hall Street Associates</i>	
<i>Thomas E. Carbonneau</i>	229
Chapter 8	
The Future of Manifest Disregard	
<i>Christopher R. Drahozal</i>	261
Chapter 9	
“Revision” of “Swiss” International Arbitral Awards: An Interesting Rarity	
<i>Charles Poncet</i>	277
Part III: Recognition and Enforcement	
Chapter 10	
Enforcement by U.S. Courts of International Arbitration Interim Orders and Awards under the New York Convention: <i>Publicis Communication v. True North Communications Inc.</i>	
<i>Robert H. Smit and Emma Lindsay</i>	297
Chapter 11	
Enforcement of SCC and Russian Arbitration Awards in the United States Courts: An Overview	
<i>Alexander S. Vesselinovitch</i>	309
Chapter 12	
Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards in the Russian Federation	
<i>Vladimir Khvalei and Jonas Benedictsson</i>	323
INDEX	387

Table of Contents

Part I: The Arbitral Award

Chapter 1

Deliberations of the Arbitral Tribunal – Analysis of Reasoned Awards from a Swedish Perspective

<i>Finn Madsen and Peter Krikström</i>	3
I. Introduction	3
A. General Remarks	3
B. Scope and Aim	6
II. General Provisions Regarding Deliberations	9
A. Provisions of National Arbitration Laws	9
B. Rules of the Arbitration Institutes	11
C. Case Law and Legal Literature	12
1. General Remarks	12
2. Failure of an Arbitrator to Participate in the Deliberations	12
3. Exclusion of an Arbitrator from the Deliberations	13
III. A Systematic Approach	15
IV. Reasoned Awards	16
A. Provisions of National Arbitration Laws	16
B. Arbitration Institute Rules	16
C. Legal Literature	17
D. Position of National Courts	18
E. Lack of Reasons and Public Policy	20
1. General Remarks	20
2. International Public Policy	21
F. ICSID Annulment Proceedings	24
1. <i>Klöckner v. Cameroon</i>	24
2. <i>Amco v. Indonesia and Guinea v. MINE</i>	27
3. <i>Wena v. Egypt</i>	29

V.	Absence of Reply to the Arguments of the Parties	30
	A. Introductory Remarks	30
	B. Case Law	31
VI.	Dissenting Opinions.....	35
	A. General Remarks	35
	B. Selection of Material	35
	C. Implications of Dissenting Opinions	38
VII.	Conclusions.....	40
	A. General	40
	B. How does the requirement of reasoned awards and the necessity to respond to certain arguments affect the deliberations and decision-making?	42
	C. The Case For Formulating Other Voting/Decision Issues than the claims <i>per se</i>	45

Chapter 2

Awards of Punitive Damages

	<i>Jessica J. Fei, Damien McDonald and Eunice Bai</i>	49
I.	Introduction.....	49
II.	The Functions of Punitive Damages	50
III.	Whether Arbitrators have the Power to Award Punitive Damages?.....	52
	A. Party Autonomy and the Availability of Punitive Damages	52
	B. Party Autonomy and the Applicable Law	56
IV.	Should Arbitrators Award Punitive Damages?.....	61
	A. Risk of Non-Enforcement by National Courts	61
	B. Public Policy.....	63
	1. Brief Introduction.....	63
	2. Application to Punitive Damages	64
	3. Interpretation of Public Policy	65
	C. Enforceability of a Punitive Damage Award.....	66
V.	United Kingdom Approach.....	70
	A. Power of Courts and Arbitrators to Award Punitive Damages	70

B. The Applicable Law and the Availability of Punitive Damages	71
C. Enforcement	74
VI. Conclusion	75

Chapter 3

Post-Award Interest: The Position of the French Courts in *Banque Arabe et Internationale d'Investissement v. The Inter-Arab Investment Guarantee Corporation*

<i>Christopher R. Seppälä</i>	77
-------------------------------------	----

Part II: Annulment, Appeal and Standard of Review

Chapter 4

Exclusion of Annulment Action in Switzerland

<i>Laurent Hirsch</i>	87
I. Introduction	87
II. Scope of Appeal Exclusion Allowed by Swiss Law	87
A. Annulment Action in Switzerland: Article 190 Swiss PIL Act	87
B. Exclusion of Annulment Action: Article 192 Swiss PIL Act	88
1. Foreign Parties	89
2. Full Exclusion of Partial Exclusion	90
3. Express Statement	90
4. Time of the Exclusion Agreement	92
C. Jurisdiction	92
D. Consequences	93
E. Other Options Open	94
F. Appeal Exclusion in Litigation before Court Cases	95
G. Other National Laws	96
III. Cases Addressing Possible Exclusion	98
A. Earlier Cases Between 1989 and 2004	98
1. First Case in 1990	98
2. Further Cases Between 1991 and 2004	99
3. Interim Conclusion of those Earlier Cases	100

B.	The First Application in the Landmark	
	February 2005 Case	101
	1. Facts of the Case	101
	2. Decision of the Arbitral Tribunal	102
	3. Annulment Action Before the Swiss Supreme Court	103
C.	Subsequent Cases (2005-2012)	105
	1. Cases Where the Exclusion was Effective	105
	2. Cases Where the Exclusion was Denied	111
	3. Other Cases	117
D.	Interim Conclusion	119
IV.	Construction of Exclusion Agreements	120
A.	Law Applicable to the Exclusion Agreement	120
B.	No Need to be Specific	121
C.	Clear Wording will bring the Desired Result	122
D.	Non-Signatory Parties	124
	1. Appellant Signatory Challenging Extension to Non-Signatory	124
	2. Appellant Non-Signatory Challenging Extension to Itself	126
E.	Reference to Arbitration Rules cannot Constitute an Effective Exclusion Agreement	127
F.	Seat of the Arbitral Tribunal Fixed After the Alleged Exclusion	130
V.	Scope and Effects of Exclusion	131
A.	Full Exclusion	131
B.	Waiver of Exclusion	132
C.	Partial Exclusion	135
D.	Unilateral Exclusion	137
E.	Exclusion of Revision?	138
F.	Scope of Exclusion in Connection with Correction ..	141
G.	Enforcement in Switzerland	142
H.	European Convention on Human Rights	143
I.	Sports Arbitration	145
J.	Legislative Changes	146

VI. Proceedings before the Swiss Supreme Court	146
A. Exchange of Memorials on the Issue.....	147
B. Factual Inquiry About the Intent of the Parties	149
C. Costs	151
D. Confidentiality	152
VII. Consequences for Practitioners.....	153
A. Drafting the Arbitration Clause	153
B. Initiating Arbitration Proceedings	153
C. Filing an Annulment Action.....	154
D. Should Annulment Action be Excluded or Not?	154

Chapter 5

The Swedish Rules Limiting the Right to Appeal Against a Court Judgment in a Challenge or Avoidance Action - A Comparative Study

<i>Martin Wallin and Katarina Mild</i>	157
I. Introduction.....	157
II. The Swedish Rules on Fora and Appeal in a Challenge or Avoidance Action	158
III. Comparison with other Jurisdictions.....	160
A. Belgium	160
B. Denmark	160
C. England.....	161
D. Finland.....	162
E. France	162
F. The Russian Federation	163
G. The United States	164
H. Summary	164
IV. Is There Call for a Change of the Swedish Rules?.....	165

Chapter 6**The “Minimalist” and “Maximalist” Approach to Reviewing Competition Law Awards: A Never-Ending Saga Revisited or the Middle Way at Last?**

<i>Gordon Blanke</i>	169
I. Introduction.....	170
II. The Factual Background to the Brussels Court’s Judgment in <i>La Snf C/ La Cytec Industrie</i>	172
A. The Tribunal’s Findings	173
B. The French Exequatur Proceedings.....	174
III. The Brussels Court’s Judgment In <i>La Snf C/ La Cytec Industrie</i>	177
A. Preliminary Considerations On Public Policy	177
B. The Brussels Court’s Role in the Review Process	179
1. The Brussels Court’s review of the Tribunal’s application of Arts. 81 and 82 EC.....	180
IV. The Brussels Court’s Approach In Light of the ECJ’s Judgment in <i>Eco Swiss</i>	181
A. The Brussels Court’s Mandate	181
B. A Literal Reading of <i>Eco Swiss</i> in Context	183
V. Further Support for the Substantive Review of Competition Law Awards	190
A. From French Legal Doctrine	190
B. From other Member State Courts	192
C. From Across the Atlantic.....	196
D. Consensus on the Need for Proper Reasoning of Competition Law Awards.....	197
E. The Compelling Case for a Substantive Review by Member State Courts	200
VI. Conclusion	202
VII. Post-Scriptum: the Supervisory Court Review of EU Competition Awards Revisited	204
A. The Middle Way as the Way Forward in the Review of EU Competition Law Awards.....	204

B. The Brussels Court of Appeal’s Judgment in <i>Cytec v. SNF</i>	209
C. The Continuing Significance of a Literal Reading of <i>Eco Swiss</i>	211
D. The Continuing Support for the Substantive Review of EU Competition Law Awards	213
1. From Various Legal Doctrine	213
2. From Member State Supervisory Court Practice across the EU	217
E. The Isolated Position of the French Supervisory Courts	225
F. Conclusion: Convergence Towards the Middle Way	227

Chapter 7

The Rise in Judicial Hostility to Arbitration: Revisiting *Hall Street Associates*

<i>Thomas E. Carbonneau</i>	229
I. Introduction.....	229
II. The Agreement to Arbitrate in <i>Hall Street Associates</i>	236
III. The <i>Hall Street</i> Court’s Perception of Arbitral Doctrine under the FAA.....	237
IV. The Circuit Split on ‘Opt-In’ Agreements	239
V. Manifest Disregard in the Majority Opinion.....	241
VI. The Immutable Jurisdiction of the Statutory Text	243
VII. The Amici	245
VIII. Mystifying Dicta	246
IX. The Dissents.....	248
X. The New Appraisal	250
XI. Conclusion	257

Chapter 8

The Future of Manifest Disregard

Christopher R. Drahozal..... 261

I. *Hall Street* and Manifest Disregard 262

II. *Stolt-Nielsen* and Manifest Disregard 264

III. Manifest Disregard in the Circuits: Varying Approaches 265

 A. Manifest Disregard as a Non-Statutory Ground 265

 B. Manifest Disregard as Excess of Powers..... 266

 C. No Review for Manifest Disregard of the Law 270

IV. The Future of Manifest Disregard in the Supreme Court 272

Chapter 9

“Revision” of “Swiss” International Arbitral Awards: An Interesting Rarity

Charles Poncet..... 277

I. Material Requirements for Revision of a “Swiss” International Award 279

II. Swiss cases subsequent to the March 11, 1992 judgment of the Federal Tribunal..... 285

III. The Leading Case: Alfred Sirven’s Criminal Plot in the Thales Arbitration 286

IV. “New” and “Pertinent” Facts 289

 A. “New” and “Conclusive” Evidence..... 292

V. Decision “Influenced by a Crime” 293

Part III: Recognition and Enforcement

Chapter 10

Enforcement by U.S. Courts of International Arbitration Interim Orders and Awards under the New York Convention: Publicis Communication v. True North Communications Inc.

<i>Robert H. Smit and Emma Lindsay</i>	297
I. The Facts and Holding of the <i>Publicis</i> Case	298
II. Analysis and Implications of the <i>Publicis</i> Case	300
III. Is It an “Order” or “Award”?	301
IV. Is It “Interim” or “Final”?	303
V. Is It Remandable?	307
VI. Conclusion: Is It Enforceable?	308

Chapter 11

Enforcement of SCC and Russian Arbitration Awards in the United States Courts: An Overview

<i>Alexander S. Vesselinovitch</i>	309
I. Policy of New York Convention Favors Enforcement	309
II. Minimal Legal Requirements can be met to Invoke the New York Convention	310
III. A U.S. District Court has Jurisdiction to Enforce a Foreign Arbitral Award.....	311
IV. The U.S. Reservations to the Convention would usually not Preclude Enforcement of the Award.....	312
V. Russian Arbitration	312
VI. Swedish Arbitration	315
VII. Defenses to Enforcement of a Foreign Arbitral Award ...	318
VIII. Conclusion	320

Chapter 12

**Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards
in the Russian Federation**

<i>Vladimir Khvalei & Jonas Benedictsson</i>	323
I. Background	323
II. Procedures	325
III. Case Law	325
IV. Invalidation of Foreign Arbitral Awards in the Russian Federation	383
V. Conclusion	384
INDEX	387