

Vol. 17, No. 9

Covering Dispute Resolution in the United States and Around the World

September 2006

# **HIGHLIGHTS**

ICSID has amended its Rules and Regulations. After conducting extensive consultations with governments, arbitration experts, and other parties, the ICSID Secretariat proposed a number of updating changes. The Administrative Council, ICSID's governing body, approved the recommended reforms earlier this

year. Among the significant changes are: hastening the preliminary phase of arbitral proceedings by allowing parties to seek **provisional measures** before the arbitral tribunal is constituted and advising them to file the equivalent of a motion for **summary judgment**; the **publication** of award **excerpts**; allowing greater access to arbitral proceedings by **non-parties**; allowing submissions by *amicus* parties; enhanced **disclosure** requirements for arbitrators, and limiting increases in arbitrator **fees**. (Story supplied by **White & Case Arbitration Group** begins on page 294.)

The violation of EU Competition law has been recognized as a basis for the **denial of enforcement** of international arbitral awards. The Court of Appeal of the Hague upheld a lower court decision denying enforcement of three U.S. arbitral awards because they were incompatible with Article 81 of the EC Treaty. Previously, the **Paris Court of Appeal** in *SA Thalés* had rendered a characteristically tolerant result, stating that—although EU competition law is a matter of public policy—an international arbitral award's violation of competition public policy

CONTENTS		
News At Home	283	
NAF Case Summaries	286	
International News	293	
Comments	296	
Selected Bibliography		
Perspectives		
Talandar		

must be "flagrant, effective, and concrete" to lead to a denial of enforcement. The Dutch court decision is seen as a setback. (Story supplied by White & Case Arbitration Group begins on page 293.)

Christopher R. Drahozal, John M. Rounds Professor of Law

at the University of Kansas and a contributing editor to **WAMR**, provides a thorough and well-reasoned essay on a recent Kansas state Supreme Court case. In its opinion, the court addresses the issue of **arbitral jurisdiction** and authority to rule on jurisdictional challenges and reaches a conclusion far out-of-keeping with standard views of *compétence-compétence*. (The *Comment* begins on page 296.)

The National Arbitration Forum (NAF) Case Summaries canvas recent U.S. decisional law on arbitration. The Case Summaries provide easy and accurate access to a great volume of case law. Their range is comprehensive, addressing matters like waiver, arbitral collateral estoppel and res judicata, the requirement of mutuality of obligation to arbitrate, service of process in arbitration, the arbitration agreement's range of application, and arbitrator misconduct, among other issues. In particular, the NAF Case Summaries include a reference to Kristian v. Comcast Corp., 446 F.3d 25 (1st Cir. 2006), involving the enforceability of class action waivers in antitrust claims. (The NAF Case Summaries begin on page 286.)



JurisNet LLC, Huntington, NY, USA

Published in conjunction with Penn State University's Institute of Arbitration Law and Practice, Carlisle, PA, USA

# IN THIS ISSUE

NEWS AT HOME	
James L. Warren Joins JAMS283	i
No Time Limit for Objections to Arbitrator's Subject Matter	
Jurisdiction	
Court Vacates Arbitration Award Due to Arbitrator's Misconduct284	ŀ
Mutuality of Obligation not Required284	
Arbitration is a Proper Forum for Employment Disputes Involving	
Military Personnel	ì
NATIONAL ARBITRATION FORUM CASE SUMMARIES	
Waiver Can be Partial and Relate Only to Some Issues	,
Arbitral Agreement and Proceeding can be Completely Self-Contained286	
Seeking an Interlocutory Mechanics' Lien Does not Amount	
to a Waiver of Arbitration	,
Parties can Agree That an Arbitrator Will Follow State Law287	
"Apples to Oranges" Comparison Will not Bear Fruit for Consumer287	
Arbitration Clause in Title Insurance Policy not Binding Prior to Closing288	
Opt-Out Provision for Third-Party Beneficiary Does not Violate	
Mutuality Requirement of Arbitration Agreement in Texas288	,
Arbitral Award is Admissible in Domain Name Litigation289	
Parties can Agree to a Non-Neutral Arbitrator289	)
Preclusion of Statutory Remedy Leads to Invalidation of	
Class Action Waiver	)
Second Circuit Holds That FAA Does not Authorize Nationwide	
Service of Process	)
Limited Arbitration Clause in Partnership Agreement Cannot be	
Enforced Against Partnership's Receive290	)
Fourth Circuit Requires "Significant" Relationship Between	
Arbitration Clause and Claim291	
Sixth Circuit Upholds Broad Application of Arbitration Clause291	
Seventh Circuit Rules That FAA Permits Only Limited Judicial	
Review of Arbitrator Misjudgment292	
Seventh Circuit Declares That Arbitrator Decides Whether to Conduct	
Consolidated Arbitration292	,
INTERNATIONAL NEWS	
Dutch Court Denies Enforcement to U.S. Awards Because of Violations	
of EU Competition Law. 293	į
Amendments to ICSID Arbitration Rules and Regulations294	
COMMENTS	
COMMENTS  Jurisdiction of Arbitrators to Decide Their Own Jurisdiction:	
Compétence-Compétence in Kansas and MBNA America Bank v. Credit	
by Christopher R. Drahozal296	
Comments on Indian Arbitration Law	'
by Sunil Reddy Bokka296	
SELECTED BIBLIOGRAPHY	
Indian Arbitration Law: a Selected Bibliography	
by Mark Podvia307	1
PERSPECTIVES	
Implications of North American Conciliation and Arbitration for the	
Developing World	
by Arnold M. Zack	
CALENDAR313	,

#### EDITORIAL STAFF

## Editor-in-Chief:

Thomas E. Carbonneau

Penn State Dickinson School of Law

#### **Executive Editor:**

Crystal L. Stryker, Esq.

Penn State Dickinson School of Law

#### Editors

Frédéric Bachand, Investment Arbitration, McGill Law Faculty (Montréal)

Welber Barral, Latin American Arbitration, Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina (Brazil)

Richard Chernick, Editor for Arbitration Law & Practice, JAMS (Los Angeles)

James Coben, Domestic Mediation, Dispute Resolution Institute, Hamline University School of Law

Robert Davidson, Editor for Arbitration Law & Practice, JAMS (New York)

Christopher Drahozal, U.S. Arbitration Law, University of Kansas School of Law

Paul Friedland, International Arbitration, White & Case LLP (New York City)

Barry Garfinkel, International Arbitration, Skadden Arps Slate Meagher & Flom

(New York City)

Grant Hanessian, International Arbitration, Baker & McKenzie (New York City)

Gail Partin, Research Editor, Penn State Dickinson School of Law

David Zaslowsky, International Arbitration, Baker & McKenzie (New York City)

## **Production Manager:**

Wendy M. Ser

### Editors-At-Large:

William W. Park

Boston University School of Law

Jan Paulsson

Freshfields (Paris)

## **Editorial Assistants:**

Shailey Gupta-Brietzke Nicole Jones Michelle Wirth

## **Publication and Subscription Information**

The World Arbitration and Mediation Report is published monthly by JurisNet LLC, 71 New Street, Huntington, New York 11743; telephone: (631) 673-3330; fax: (631) 673-9117; (800) 887-4064; e-mail: subscriptions@jurispub.com. Visit our website at http://www.jurispub.com. The Report is distributed worldwide by JurisNet LLC. Subscription price: In the United States: \$650.00 yearly; International: \$710.00 yearly. Prices include shipping & handling and complete online access to the full archive of all WAMR issues. ISSN # 0960-0949.

### **Submission Information**

Editorial correspondence should be directed to Professor Thomas Carbonneau, Editor, World Arbitration and Mediation Report, 71 New Street, Huntington, New York 11743 (USA), or Penn State Dickinson School of Law, 150 South College Street, Carlisle, PA 17013-2899. You may call him at (717) 240-5153; email: tec10@psu.edu.