

Vol. 17, No. 12

Covering Dispute Resolution in the United States and Around the World

December 2006

HIGHLIGHTS

The	Whi	ite	&	Ca	se
Arbitrati	on P	ract	ice	Gro	uр
provides a	an ac	coun	t of	rece	nt
changes in	Chi	nese	arbi	itrati	on
law. The	e Suj	prem	e P	eople	e's
Court, in it	s 200	6 Inte	erpr	etatio	n,
has clarifi	ed ce	ertain	asp	ects	of
PRC arbiti	ation	law.	. Tl	ne 20	06
Interpreta	tion	ap	plie	es	to
arbitration	genei	ally a	and o	does 1	not
distinguis	h,	for	the	m	ost

part, between domestic arbitrations and foreign-related and foreign arbitrations. Generally, the interpretation requires the parties to clearly state their intent to arbitrate and the scope of their reference. They must also designate an administering Arbitral institution. (Story begins on page 392.)

Jane Wessel and Peter J. Eyre of Crowell & Moring (London and Washington, D.C.) describe the significance of the recent ruling in *Oxus Gold PLC*. There, a federal district court in New Jersey held that an investment arbitral tribunal could have recourse to 28 U.S.C. § 11782 as a "tribunal" to judicial assistance in aid of discovery. The ruling appears to conflict with prior cases, although the court accommodate the difference with precedent by distinguishing between private commercial arbitration and investment arbitration. The authors conclude: "[T]here is

CONTENTS	
News At Home	383
NAF Case Summaries	384
International News	392
Perspectives	395
Commentary	
Documentary Resources	
Calendar	

no reason to believe that the United States legislature intended to limit the availability of section 1782 discovery to certain types of arbitration. This is especially so where investment arbitration of the type involved in *Oxus Gold...* did not yet exist at the time of the enactment of section 1782... There is no principled reason why the **term 'tribunal'** should

be... inapplicable to private commercial arbitration." (The *Commentary* piece begins on page 397.)

Lawrence W. Newman and David Zaslowsky of the Baker & McKenzie law firm write about the effect of manifest disregard of the law on international arbitration. They conclude that recent case law indicates that that ground for judicial supervision might lead to the merits review of international arbitral awards by U.S. courts. (The *Commentary* piece begins on page 397.)

The **National Arbitration Forum (NAF)** Case Summaries cover the most recent developments in U.S. arbitration law, including the use of *Kaplan*, *kompetenz-kompetenz*, class action waivers, federal preemption, mutuality of the obligation to arbitrate, internet arbitration agreements, nonsignatories and arbitration, manifest disregard of the law, and venue. (The **NAF** *Case Summaries* begin at 384.)



JurisNet LLC, Huntington, NY, USA

Published in conjunction with Penn State University's Institute of Arbitration Law and Practice, Carlisle, PA, USA

IN THIS ISSUE

NEWS AT HOME	
Appeals Court Provides Test for Arbitrability Issue	
Cable Act Does not Preempt Oregon State Law	383
NAF CASE SUMMARIES	
Eighth Circuit Enforces Agreement for Kompetenz-Kompetenz	384
Agreement to Arbitrate Upheld, Even When Arbitral	
Organization Refuses to Administer to Parties	29/
Class Action Waiver Upheld in California	
The Tougher Side of Sears is too Tough	
FAA Preempts Nebraska Notice Statute	
"Clicking" Acceptance Upheld	
Individual Investor Recoups Losses at Arbitration	
Arbitrators have Full Authority to Grant Summary Judgment	
Drilling Company 'Goes Deep' at Baseball Arbitration	
Nonsignatory Receiving Direct Benefits from the	
Underlying Contract Must Arbitrate	387
Arbitration Clause for Future Harvest Bears Fruit for Years	
Indiana Court Refuses to Follow FTC's "Unreasonable"	
Positionon Arbitration of Warranty Claims	388
Thoroughbred Arbitration Award Has Racehorse	
Owner Out of Joint	388
Rational Basis Test Applied to Award	
Agreement Controls Location of Arbitration	
After-the-fact Arbitration Agreement Fails for Lack of Consent	
Mutuality of Obligation not Required in Loan Documents	
Agreement to Arbitrate Does not Support Personal	
Jurisdiction for Lawsuit by Nonsignatory	390
INTERNATIONAL NEWS	
Arbitration Law in China: Further Interpretations by the	
Supreme People's Court	
Provided by White & Case	392
China and Hong Kong Agree on Reciprocal Recognition and	
Enforcement of Judgments	
Provided by White & Case	394
PERSPECTIVES	
Swiss Parliament Removes Lis Pendens as an Obstacle to	
International Arbitrations in Switzerland	
by Dr. Charles Poncet	395
COLD FINE LDV	
COMMENTARY	
"Manifest Disregard" in International Arbitration	207
by Lawrence W. Newman & David Zaslowsky	39 /
U.S. Discovery in Aid of Non-US Arbitration Proceedings:	
In re Matter of the Application of Oxus Gold, PLC	200
by Jane Wessel & Peter J. Eyre	399
DOCUMENTARY RESOURCES	
ICANN Domain Name Decision (Mark Paigen v. Research and	Dogian
Provided by Kristine Dorrain, National Arbitration Forum	
ICANN Domain Name Decision (<i>Tower Laboratories v. Eric Sei</i>	
Provided by Kristine Dorrain, National Arbitration Forum	
CALENDAR	409

EDITORIAL STAFF

Editor-in-Chief:

Thomas E. Carbonneau

Penn State Dickinson School of Law

Executive Editor:

Crystal L. Stryker, Esq.

Penn State Dickinson School of Law

Editors:

Frédéric Bachand, Investment Arbitration, McGill Law Faculty (Montréal)

Welber Barral, Latin American Arbitration, Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina (Brazil)

Richard Chernick, Editor for Arbitration Law & Practice, JAMS (Los Angeles)

James Coben, Domestic Mediation, Dispute Resolution Institute, Hamline University School of Law

Robert Davidson, Editor for Arbitration Law & Practice, JAMS (New York)

Christopher Drahozal, U.S. Arbitration Law, University of Kansas School of Law

Paul Friedland, International Arbitration, White & Case LLP (New York City)

Barry Garfinkel, International Arbitration, Skadden Arps Slate Meagher & Flom

(New York City)

Grant Hanessian, International Arbitration, Baker & McKenzie (New York City)

Gail Partin, Research Editor, Penn State Dickinson School of Law

David Zaslowsky, International Arbitration, Baker & McKenzie (New York City)

Production Manager:

Wendy M. Ser

Editors-At-Large:

William W. Park

Boston University School of Law

Jan Paulsson

Freshfields (Paris)

Editorial Assistants:

Tricia Goldburn David Herman

Publication and Subscription Information

The World Arbitration and Mediation Report is published monthly by JurisNet LLC, 71 New Street, Huntington, New York 11743; telephone: (631) 673-3330; fax: (631) 673-9117; (800) 887-4064; e-mail: subscriptions@jurispub.com. Visit our website at http://www.jurispub.com. The Report is distributed worldwide by JurisNet LLC. Subscription price: In the United States: \$650.00 yearly; International: \$710.00 yearly. Prices include shipping & handling and complete online access to the full archive of all WAMR issues. ISSN # 0960-0949.

Submission Information

Editorial correspondence should be directed to Professor Thomas Carbonneau, Editor, World Arbitration and Mediation Report, 71 New Street, Huntington, New York 11743 (USA), or Penn State Dickinson School of Law, 150 South College Street, Carlisle, PA 17013-2899. You may call him at (717) 240-5153; email: tec10@psu.edu.