

Vol. 17, No. 4

Covering Dispute Resolution in the United States and Around the World

**April 2006** 

# **HIGHLIGHTS**

In Buckeye Check Cashing,
Inc., the U.S. Supreme Court
reaffirmed one of the basic tenets of
U.S. arbitration law—that courts,
under the separability doctrine, may
enforce a valid arbitration agreement
even though the contract in which
it is contained is later found void.
Buckeye is a significant decision,

not because it creates new principles of arbitration law, but rather because it affirms and reinforces fundamental rules of U.S. arbitration law. In particular, it underscores the vitality of *Prima Paint, Howsam*, and *Bazzle* that established the decisional sovereignty of the arbitrator once an arbitration agreement is recognized. It demonstrates the Court's continuing unequivocal acceptance for arbitration. (Story on page 103.)

A recent opinion by the **U.S. First Circuit** regarding a decision by the U.S. District Court for the District of Puerto Rico contributes to the continuing debate regarding the use of "opt-in" provisions for judicial review. The First Circuit aligned itself with the Third, Fifth, and Sixth Circuits by holding that contacting parties have the right to provide for judicial supervision of the merits of awards in their arbitration agreement. In its ruling, the First Circuit, however, required clear contract language to that effect. The Seventh, Eighth, Ninth, and Tenth Circuits have adopted a contrary position, disallowing contract provisions that alter FAA § 10. For these federal appellate courts, the FAA is not a "default" statutory framework and parties cannot create judicial jurisdiction by contact. (Story begins on page 108.)

CONTENTS	
News At Home10	13
nternational News11	0
NAF Case Summaries11	3
National Reports11	9
Book Review13	1
Calendar13	3

Austria is about to adopt a new arbitration law that is likely to go into effect in mid-summer 2006. The existing law dates back to the end of the 19th Century in its original form and was last amended two years before the UNCITRAL Model Law was published. There is a modern arbitration practice in Austria and the projected legislation will strengthen

Austria's position as a modern and hospitable venue for arbitration. (Story begins on page 110.)

The **National Arbitration Forum (NAF)** provides a group of case summaries of recent decisions on arbitration. The topics addressed are diverse and include: arbitrator impartiality, jurisdiction, class action waivers, enforceability of consumer arbitration agreements, providing reasons for the determination, the employment contract exclusion, and other points of law. (The *NAF Case Summaries* begin on page 113.)

The National Reports section contains a comprehensive description of Canadian arbitration law. The Report identifies the major cases that have been decided since Canada's accession to the New York Arbitration Convention in 1986. It covers and annotates significant developments in the provinces. The author, Ryan Loxam, concludes that "Canada has made significant strides in reversing hostility to arbitration." He is critical, however, of the "arb-med" provision in the British Columbia statute because it contains no guidance as to its application. Canada's embrace of arbitration, he says, has led to a minimization of basic distinctions, in some respects. (The National Reports begins on page 119.)

PENNSTATE
The Dickinson
School of Law

JurisNet LLC, Huntington, NY, USA

Published in conjunction with Penn State University's Institute for Arbitration Law and Practice, Carlisle, PA, USA

# IN THIS ISSUE

NEWS AT HOME	
U.S. Supreme Court Upholds Doctrine of Separability	103
Third Circuit Holds That a Bankruptcy Court has no Discretion to Deny Enforcement of Arbitral Clause	
CPR Institute Creates a New Commission for the Resolution	104
of Mass Claims	105
Res Judicata Prevents an Arbitrated Matter From Being Litigated	100
Choice of Arbitral Venue Clause in an Insurance Policy Creates Federal Court Jurisdiction	
Ambiguous Choice-of-Law Provision Leads to a Denial of a Reques	
for Injunctive Relief in Aid of Arbitration	107
Deepening Federal Circuit Split Over Opt-in Provisions for	
Judicial Review	108
INTERNATIONAL NEWIC	
INTERNATIONAL NEWS	110
Austria—A New State-Of-The-Art Arbitration Law	110
ICSID Tribunal Rejects All Claims Against Romania Arising	
Under the US-Romania Bilateral Investment Treaty	111
NATIONAL ARBITRATION FORUM (NAF) CASE SUMMARIES	
Court Retains Jurisdiction Because of Parties' Failure	
to Properly Initiate Arbitration	113
Appearances and Impressions of Bias Do Not Result in	113
Arbitrator Partiality	113
Arbitrator Acted Within Scope of Arbitration Agreement	
Court Upholds Class Action Waiver in Blockbuster	117
Customer Agreement	114
Arbitrator Retains Jurisdiction to Make Subsequent Order	
Failure to Follow Rules Gets Confirmation Application	117
Dismissed, But Without Prejudice	115
Enforcing an Arbitration Agreement—It's Elementary	115
Ninth Circuit Upholds Power of Arbitrators and Pro-Arbitration	113
Public Policy	115
Failure to Produce Documents in Arbitration Does Not	113
Require Dismissal	116
If You Want to Arbitrate, You Must Also Participate	
Arbitrators not Required to Provide Statements of	110
Reasoning for Decisions	117
Failure to Follow Appellate Rules Prevents Arbitration Appeal	117
Lack of Mutuality Makes Arbitration Agreement Unenforceable	
Customer Service Representative not Within FAA's	117
Transportation Worker Exception	118
Court Declines to Address Gateway Issue Properly	
Before Arbitrator	118
Court Enforces Misplaced Arbitration Agreement	
Party Must Confirm Arbitral Award Within One Year	
Arbitration Award Withstands Claims of Fraud	
and Manifest Mistake	119
NATIONAL REPORTS	
The Chinese Judicial System	
by Professor Yao Ling	119
Canadian Arbitration Law	
by Ryan Loxam	124
BOOK REVIEW	
by Lucy V. Katz	131
CALENDAD	122

## EDITORIAL STAFF

## Editor-in-Chief:

Thomas E. Carbonneau

Penn State Dickinson School of Law

#### **Executive Editor:**

Jeanette A. Jaeggi, Esq.

Penn State Dickinson School of Law

#### **Editors:**

Nadja Alexander, International Mediation, Australian Centre for Peace and Conflict Studies.

Queensland (Australia)

Frédéric Bachand, Investment Arbitration, McGill Law Faculty (Montréal)

Welber Barral, Latin American Arbitration, Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina (Brazil)

Richard Chernick, Editor for Arbitration Law & Practice, JAMS (Los Angeles)

James Coben, Domestic Mediation, Dispute Resolution Institute, Hamline University School of Law

Robert Davidson, Editor for Arbitration Law & Practice, JAMS (New York)

Christopher Drahozal, U.S. Arbitration Law, University of Kansas School of Law

Paul Friedland, International Arbitration, White & Case LLP (New York City)

Barry Garfinkel, International Arbitration, Skadden Arps Slate Meagher & Flom

(New York City)

Grant Hanessian, International Arbitration, Baker & McKenzie (New York City)

Gail Partin, Research Editor, Penn State Dickinson School of Law

David Zaslowsky, International Arbitration, Baker & McKenzie (New York City)

## **Production Manager:**

Wendy M. Ser

# Editors-At-Large:

William W. Park

Boston University School of Law

Jan Paulsson

Freshfields (Paris)

## **Editorial Assistants:**

Brian Carter Emily Reece Alain Fernandez Jason Reimer Sandra Partridge

## **Publication and Subscription Information**

The World Arbitration and Mediation Report is published monthly by JurisNet LLC, 71 New Street, Huntington, New York 11743; telephone: (631) 673-3330; fax: (631) 673-9117; (800) 887-4064; e-mail: subscriptions@ jurispub.com. Visit our website at <a href="http://www.jurispub.com">http://www.jurispub.com</a>. The Report is distributed worldwide by JurisNet LLC. Subscription price: In the United States: \$650.00 yearly; International: \$710.00 yearly. Prices include shipping & handling and complete online access to the full archive of all WAMR issues. ISSN # 0960-0949.

# **Submission Information**

Editorial correspondence should be directed to Professor Thomas Carbonneau, Editor, World Arbitration and Mediation Report, 71 New Street, Huntington, New York 11743 (USA), or Penn State Dickinson School of Law, 150 South College Street, Carlisle, PA 17013-2899. You may call him at (717) 240-5153; email: tec10@psu.edu.