

Vol. 14, No. 2

Covering Dispute Resolution in the United States and Around the World

February 2003

HIGHLIGHTS

The U.S. Supreme Court has rendered its ruling in Howsam v. Dean Witter Reynolds. It ruled that a dispute regarding the NASD six-year eligibility requirement is for the arbitrator to decide, not the courts. In the court's view, the dispute raised a "question of arbitrability" that fell within the arbitrator's jurisdiction. There are different types of "gateway" questions—some of which must be determined by courts and others that pertain to the arbitrator's jurisdictional authority. Invoking the language of the unfortunate RUAA, the Court concluded that the application of the six-year time-limit was a "condition precedent" to arbitrability. (The case is summarized on page 31.)

Professor **Dan Posin**, Securities Arbitration Editor, provides a thorough and insightful commentary on the *Howsam* opinion. (The commentary begins on page 41.)

The **U.S. Supreme Court** also has been active in reviewing other lower court opinions on arbitration. It has granted *certiorari* in two cases and denied it in five other cases. (The account of the Court's activity begins on page 32.)

The ICC has released its **statistics** for the year **2001**. The number of demands have increased, more cases involve multiple parties, and there is a greater diversity in nationality. Half of the ICC cases involve claims of at least \$1 million (U.S.), and 2% of the cases involve more than \$10

million (U.S.). Parties chose the situs of arbitration in 84% of the cases and, in 78% of the cases, they designated an applicable law. National law applied in 99% of the cases. (Story at page 36.)

Audrey Strutinskiy, a member of the Bar of the Ukraine and sometime Counsel for the Kyiv Investment Fund, provides a unique perspective into the status of international commercial arbitration in the Ukraine. He provides a description and analysis of several recent Ukrainian cases on the enforcement of transborder arbitral awards. He notes the enactment of recent legislation meant to foster the enforcement of international arbitral awards in the Ukraine. The current system has a number of serious flaws, but the general disposition of Ukrainian courts to international commercial arbitration is generally favorable and supportive. (The Perspectives section begins on page 45.)

CONTENTS	
AROUND THE STATES	31
AROUND THE WORLD	36
JUDICIAL DECISIONS	38
STATE JUDICIAL DECISIONS	40
COMMENTARY	41
PERSPECTIVES.	45
RESOURCES.	50

Juris Publishing, Inc., Huntington, NY, USA.

Published in conjunction with the Center for Dispute Resolution at Penn State — Dickinson School of Law, Carlisle, PA, USA

EDITORIAL STAFF

Editor-in-Chief:

Thomas E. Carbonneau Pennsylvania State University— The Dickinson School of Law

Senior Editor:

Kimberly A. Koko, Research Tulane University School of Law

Editors:

Nadja Alexander, International Mediation T.C. Beirne School of Law, University of Queensland (Australia)

James Coben, Domestic Mediation Arbitration Dispute Resolution Institute, Hamline University School of Law

Robert Ackerman & Nancy Welsh, Domestic Mediation, Pennsylvania State University— The Dickinson School of Law, Center for Dispute Resolution

Paul Friedland, International Arbitration White & Case LLP (New York)

Daniel Q Posin, Securities Arbitration Tulane University School of Law

Production Manager:

Michael Murphy Juris Publishing

Editors-At-Large:

William W. Park Boston University School of Law

Jan Paulsson Freshfields (Paris)

Editorial Assistants:

Janice Sayas Tao Xu Derek Horne

Publication and Subscription Information

The World Arbitration and Mediation Report is published monthly by Juris Publishing, Inc., 71 New Street, Huntington, New York 11743; telephone: (631) 673-3330; fax: (631) 673-9117; (800) 887-4064; e-mail: subscriptions@jurispub.com. Visit our website at http://www.jurispub.com. The Report is distributed worldwide by Juris Publishing, Inc. Subscription price: In North America: \$535.00 yearly; outside North America: \$595.00 yearly. Prices include shipping and handling. ISSN # 0960-0949.

Submission Information

Editorial correspondence should be directed to Professor Thomas Carbonneau, Editor, *World Arbitration and Mediation Report*, 71 New Street, Huntington, New York 11743 (USA) or you may call him at (717) 240-5000; email: tec10@psu.edu.

IN THIS ISSUE

NEWS AT HOME

U.S. Supreme Court Decides <i>Howsam</i> : Time Limit Rule is for the Arbitrator to Interpret	31
U.S. Supreme Court Grants <i>Certiorari</i> From an Eleventh Circuit Case to Have it Conform With the Disposition in <i>Howsam</i>	
U.S. Supreme Court Grants <i>Certiorari</i> From an Eleventh Circuit Decision Holding that Arbitration Clauses Prohibiting Statutory Punitive	
Damages are Unenforceable U.S. Supreme Court Denies <i>Certiorari</i> From a Ninth Circuit Decision Holding That Permanent Arbitrator Should Decide Whether the	
Disputes Between a General Contractor and the Union are Arbitrable U.S. Supreme Court Denies <i>Certiorari</i> From California Appellate Court Decision Holding the State Statute Regulating Arbitration Clauses in	32
Health Care Service Plans is not Preempted by the FAA	
Other Denials of <i>Certiorari</i>	
CNN Prevails in Largest Domain Name Dispute	
NEWS ABROAD	
ICC 2001 Statistics	36
English Court of Appeal Decision Shows that Parties and Counsel	27
Should Beware of the Consequences of Failing to Consider ADR	3/
Contravene "Ordre Public"	37
JUDICIAL DECISIONS	
Second Circuit Rules That Result of an Arbitral Proceeding had Probative	20
Weight With Respect to Discrimination Claim	
Court of Subject Matter Jurisdiction to Determine Enforceability of Arbitration Agreement	39
Failure of Arbitrators to Apply a Choice-of-Law Provision in the Contract Does not Invalidate the Arbitral Award	
STATE JUDICIAL DECISIONS	
Arbitration Provision Held Substantively Unconscionable	40
COMMENTARY	
Supreme Court Rules That Arbitrators Have Sole Authority for Deciding their Jurisdiction by Daniel Q Posin	41
PERSPECTIVES	
The Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards in the Ukraine?	
by Audrey Strutinskiy	45
DOCUMENTARY RESOURCES	
WIPO Decisions	50