

**The Baker & McKenzie
International
Arbitration Yearbook
2014-2015**

JURIS

Questions About This Publication

For assistance with shipments, billing or other customer service matters,
please call our Customer Services Department at:
1-631-350-2100.

To obtain a copy of this book, call our Sales Department at: 1-631-351-5430
Fax: 1-631-673-9117

Toll Free Order Line: 1-800-887-4064 (United States and Canada)

See our web page about this book:
www.arbitrationlaw.com

COPYRIGHT © 2015 by JurisNet, LLC

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced in any form or by
any electronic or mechanical means including information storage and retrieval
systems without permission in writing from the publisher.

Printed in the United States of America
ISBN 978-1-937518-72-1

This is the eighth edition of the *Baker & McKenzie International Arbitration Yearbook*, an annual series established by the Firm in 2007. This collection of articles comprises reports in key jurisdictions around the globe on arbitration. Leading lawyers of the Firm's International Arbitration Practice Group, a division of the Firm's Global Dispute Resolution Practice Group, report on recent developments in national laws relating to arbitration and address current arbitral trends and tendencies in the jurisdictions in which they practice.

For this 2014-2015 edition, the topic of Section C of each chapter is local arbitration institutions. Each jurisdiction sets out key features of its local institutions.

The aim of this *Yearbook* is to highlight the more important recent developments in international arbitration, without aspiring to be an exhaustive case reporter or a text-book to arbitration in the broad sense. It is hoped that this volume will prove a useful tool for those contemplating and using arbitration to resolve international business disputes.

JurisNet, LLC
71 New Street
Huntington, New York 11743 USA
www.arbitrationlaw.com

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Foreword	xxv
About the 2014-2015 B&M Yearbook Editors	xxvii
Yearbook Topics	xxxvii
Abbreviations and Acronyms	xxxix

ARGENTINA

Santiago L. Capparelli and Julian Bordacahar	1
A. Legislation, Trends and Tendencies	1
A.1 Legislation	1
A.2 Trends and Tendencies	1
B. Cases	3
B.1 Stipulation to Court with Jurisdiction to Annul an Award	3
B.2 Annulment of Partial Awards	4
C. Local Arbitration Institutions	5
C.1 Buenos Aires Stock Exchange Arbitral Tribunal	5
C.2 Managerial Mediation and Arbitration Centre	8
C.3 Centre of Mediation and Arbitration (“CEMARC”)	9
C.4 Arbitral Chamber of the Buenos Aires Grain Exchange	12

AUSTRALIA

Leigh Duthie, Jo Delaney and Erika Williams	15
A. Legislation, Trends and Tendencies	15
A.1 Legislation	15
A.2 Trends and Tendencies	15
B. Cases	16
B.1 Third Parties in International Arbitration	16
B.2 Public Policy and the Scope of Natural Justice	17

Table of Contents

B.3 Execution of Awards against Assets in Australia.....	17
C. Local Arbitration Institutions.....	18
C.1 Australian Centre for International Commercial Arbitration (“ACICA”).....	18
C.2 Australian Maritime and Transport Arbitration Commission (“AMTAC”).....	22
C.3 The Institute of Arbitrators and Mediators Australia (“IAMA”).....	24
AUSTRIA	
Stefan Riegler and Alexander Zollner	27
A. Legislation, Trends and Tendencies.....	27
A.1 Legislation.....	27
B. Cases.....	28
B.1 The Notion of Consumer in the Context of Austrian Arbitration.....	28
B.2 Challenged Arbitrator Entitled to Aliquot Fees.....	29
B.3 Relevance of Failure to Disclose.....	30
C. Local Arbitration Institutions.....	31
C.1 Vienne International Arbitral Centre.....	31
BELARUS	
Alexander Korobeinikov	37
A. Legislation, Trends and Tendencies.....	37
A.1 Legislation.....	37
A.2 Trends and Tendencies.....	37
B. Cases.....	38
C. Local Arbitration Institutions.....	38
C.1 The IAC.....	39

Table of Contents

BELGIUM

Koen De Winter and Michaël De Vroey 43

- A. Legislation, Trends and Tendencies 43
- B. Cases 43
 - B.1 Provisional or Protective Measures Before or During Arbitration Proceedings 43
- C. Local Arbitration Institutions 44
 - C.1 CEPANI 44
 - C.2 Others 49

BRAZIL

Joaquim T. de Paiva Muniz, João Marçal R. Martins da Silva, Luis Alberto Salton Peretti and Giovanny Ferreira Russo 51

- A. Legislation, Trends and Tendencies 51
 - A.1 New Civil Procedure Code to Favor Arbitration in Brazil 51
 - A.2 Reform Bill for the Brazilian Arbitration Act Pending 52
 - A.3 CISG Officially In Force in Brazil 53
 - A.4 Special ADR Courts to Be Created in Brazil 53
- B. Cases 53
 - B.1 Recognition of an Arbitration Award Not Enforced at the Seat 53
 - B.2 Recognition of Unreasoned Arbitration Awards 54
- C. Local Arbitration Institutions 55
 - C.1 São Paulo 56
 - C.2 Rio de Janeiro 58
 - C.3 Minas Gerais 59
 - C.4 Other States 59

Table of Contents

CANADA

Matthew J. Latella and Matt Saunders63

- A. Legislation, Trends and Tendencies.....63
 - A.1 Legislation.....63
 - A.2 Trends and Tendencies.....64
- B. Cases65
 - B.1 Limits on Review of Arbitral Decisions Involving Contractual Interpretation.....65
 - B.2 Use of Mareva Injunction to Secure an International Arbitration Award67
 - B.3 Enforceability of an Award Subject to Review by the SEC.....69
- C. Local Arbitration Institutions.....71
 - C.1 International Centre for Dispute Resolution (“ICDR”)71
 - C.2 Canadian Commercial Arbitration Centre (“CCAC”).....73
 - C.3 British Columbia International Commercial Arbitration Centre (“BCICAC”).....74

CHILE

Antonio Ortúzar, Sr., and Rodrigo Díaz de Valdés77

- A. Legislation, Trends and Tendencies.....77
 - A.1 Legislative Framework.....77
 - A.2 Trends and Tendencies.....78
- B. Cases78
 - B.1 Enforcement of Award Issued in Barcelona, Spain.....78
 - B.2 Expert Reports within Tribunal Discretion; Foreign Lawyers Permitted.....79
 - B.3 Nullity Motion Is the Only Procedure for Challenging an Award80
- C. Local Arbitration Institutions.....81

Table of Contents

C.1	Arbitration and Mediation Center of the Santiago Chamber of Commerce (“AMC”)	81
C.2	National Center of Arbitration of Chile (“NCA”)	83
CHINA		
	James Kwan, Peng Shen and Ying Wu	87
A.	Legislation, Trends and Tendencies	87
A.1	Legislation	87
A.2	Trends and Tendencies	87
B.	Cases	88
B.1	CIETAC/UNCITRAL Hybrid Arbitration Clause Valid	88
B.2	KCAB Arbitration Clause Invalid	89
B.3	SHIAC Has Jurisdiction Where “CIETAC Shanghai Sub-Commission” Chosen	90
C.	Local Arbitration Institutions	91
C.1	China International Economic and Trade Arbitration Commission (“CIETAC”)	92
COLOMBIA		
	Claudia Benavides, Cristina Mejia and Catalina Brando	99
A.	Legislation, Trends and Tendencies	99
A.1	Legislation	99
A.2	Trends and Tendencies	99
B.	Cases	100
B.1	The Constitutional Court Elucidates the Congruence Principle	100
B.2	Only Claimant and Defendant Must Expressly Consent to Arbitration	102
B.3	Sanctions for Frivolous Claim	103

Table of Contents

C. Local Arbitration Institutions	104
C.1 Arbitration and Conciliation Center of the Chamber of Commerce of Bogotá	104
CZECH REPUBLIC	
Martin Hrodek and Kristína Bartošková	109
A. Legislation, Trends and Tendencies	109
A.1 Legislation	109
A.2 Trends and Tendencies	109
B. Cases	110
B.1 Arbitration Agreements for Domain Disputes Found Invalid	110
B.2 Failed Enforcement of <i>Diag Human</i> Arbitral Award	112
B.3 Award Unenforceable Due to Invalidity of Arbitration Clause	113
C. Local Arbitration Institutions	115
FRANCE	
Jean-Dominique Touraille, Eric Borysewicz and Karim Boulmelh	117
A. Legislation, Trends and Tendencies	117
B. Cases	118
B.1 Award May Be Set Aside for Non-Disclosure by an Arbitrator	118
B.2 Contrasting Approaches to Consistency of Awards with International Public Policy	121
C. Local Arbitration Institutions	124
C.1 The ICC International Court of Arbitration	124
C.2 Other Local Arbitration Institutions	128

Table of Contents

GERMANY

Ragnar Harbst, Heiko Plassmeier and Jürgen Mark.....129

- A. Legislation, Trends and Tendencies.....129
 - A.1 Legislation.....129
 - A.2 Trends and Tendencies.....129
- B. Cases.....131
 - B.1 Challenge to Arbitrator Admissible Even if Award Is Rendered in the Meantime.....131
 - B.2 Waiver of Right to Challenge an Allegedly Biased Arbitrator.....132
 - B.3 Sports Arbitration Based on Unconscionable Agreement? —The Pechstein Case.....134
 - B.4 “*Ne Ultra Petita*” in Arbitration.....137
 - B.5 Arbitrability to Be Determined by the Law of the Forum138
- C. Local Arbitration Institutions.....139
 - C.1 The DIS.....140
 - C.2 Other Arbitration Institutions.....143

HONG KONG

James Kwan and Annabella Chu.....145

- A. Legislation, Trends and Tendencies.....145
 - A.1 Legislation.....145
 - A.2 Trends and Tendencies.....145
- B. Cases.....146
 - B.1 Court of First Instance Upholds Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards.....146
 - B.2 Challenge to the Tribunal’s Jurisdiction and Challenge to Set Aside an Award.....148
 - B.3 Court Upholds Mainland Arbitration Award.....150

Table of Contents

C. Local Arbitration Institutions	152
C.1 The Hong Kong International Arbitration Centre ("HKIAC")	152

HUNGARY

József Antal, Zoltán Szür and Bálint Varga	157
A. Legislation, Trends and Tendencies	157
A.1 Legislation	157
A.2 Trends and Tendencies	158
B. Cases	159
B.1 Arbitration Clauses Are Generally Unfair in Consumer Contracts	159
B.2 Arbitration Court's Liability for Damages	161
C. Local Arbitration Institutions	161
C.1 HCCI Arbitration Court and Other Permanent Arbitration Courts	161

INDIA

Zia Mody and Aditya Vikram Bhat	169
A. Legislation, Trends and Tendencies	169
A.1 Legislation	169
A.2 Trends and Tendencies	169
B. Cases	171
B.1 The Duty of Courts to Make Arbitration Clauses Work	171
B.2 Fraud and Misrepresentation Are Not a Bar to Arbitrability	172
B.3 Did the Court Regress on the Scope of Public Policy?	174
C. Local Arbitration Institutions	175
C.1 LCIA India	176

Table of Contents

INDONESIA

Andi Yusuf Kadir and Putri Arnita Rahmaniar	179
A. Legislation, Trends and Tendencies.....	179
B. Cases	179
B.1 Setting Aside Domestic Arbitration Awards	179
C. Local Arbitration Institutions	182

ITALY

Gianfranco Di Garbo	187
A. Legislation, Trends and Tendencies.....	187
A.1 Legislation.....	187
A.2 Trends and Tendencies	187
B. Cases	188
B.1 Recourse to <i>Arbitrato Rituale</i>	188
B.2 No Appeal before the Court of Appeals in Cases of Informal Arbitration	188
B.3 Parties Bound by Arbitral Award	189
B.4 Binding Timetable for Delivering the Award in Informal Arbitration	189
B.5 Shareholder Disputes Not Governed by Arbitration Clause	189
C. Local Arbitration Institutions	190
C.1 The Chamber of Arbitration Milan.....	190
C.2 Associazione Italiana per l'Arbitrato ("AIA").....	193

JAPAN

Tetsuo Kurita, Takeshi Yoshida, Yuichiro Omori, Hinako Sugiyama, Michael Dunmore and Rieko Yamauchi	195
A. Legislation, Trends and Tendencies.....	195
A.1 Legislation.....	195
A.2 Trends and Tendencies	195

Table of Contents

B. Cases	196
C. Local Arbitration Institutions	196
C.1 Japan Commercial Arbitration Association (“JCAA”).....	196
KAZAKHSTAN	
Alexander Korobeinikov	203
A. Legislation, Trends and Tendencies.....	203
A.1 Trends and Tendencies	203
B. Cases	204
B.1 Investment Disputes	204
B.2 Restrictions on Local Companies Settling Disputes in Foreign Institutions.....	204
C. Local Arbitration Institutions	205
C.1 The Center of Arbitration of the NCE	205
C.2 International Arbitration Court.....	208
C.3 Kazakhstani International Arbitrage.....	210
KOREA	
June Yeum, Andreea Micklis, Saemee Kim and Julie Kim	213
A. Legislation, Trends and Tendencies.....	213
A.1 Legislation	213
A.2 Trends and Tendencies	213
B. Cases	217
B.1 Enforcement of Awards That Lack Specificity	217
B.2 Use of “May” in an Arbitration Agreement Does Not Render It Optional	218
B.3 Recovery of Arbitration Costs and Expenses	219
C. Local Arbitration Institutions	221
C.1 The Korean Commercial Arbitration Board (“KCAB”).....	221

Table of Contents

KYRGYZSTAN

Alexander Korobeinikov	225
A. Legislation, Trends and Tendencies.....	225
A.1 Legislation.....	225
A.2 Trends and Tendencies.....	225
B. Cases	226
B.1 Narrow Interpretation of the Arbitration Clause.....	227
C. Local Arbitration Institutions.....	228

MALAYSIA

Elaine Yap and Shiyamala Devi Manokaran	231
A. Legislation, Trends and Tendencies.....	231
A.1 Legislation.....	231
A.2 Trends and Tendencies.....	231
B. Cases	232
B.1 Arbitration Award Not Enforceable Without Registration	232
B.2 Discretion of Court to Bridge the Limitation Period	233
C. Local Arbitration Institutions.....	235
C.1 Kuala Lumpur Regional Arbitration Centre (“KLRCA”).....	235

MEXICO

Salvador Fonseca-González and Juan Carlos Zamora-Müller ..	241
A. Legislation Trends and Tendencies.....	241
A.1 Legislation.....	241
A.2 Trends and Tendencies.....	241
B. Cases	242
C. Local Arbitration Institutions.....	242
C.1 Centro de Arbitraje de Mexico (“CAM”).....	242
C.2 Centro de Mediación y Arbitraje de la Cámara Nacional de Comercio	244

Table of Contents

MYANMAR

Leng Sun Chan and Jo Delaney	247
A. Legislation Trends and Tendencies	247
A.1 Legislation	247
A.2 Trends and Tendencies	251
A.3 Draft Law	252
B. Cases	257
C. Local Arbitration Institutions	257

THE NETHERLANDS

Frank Kroes and Esther Croonen	259
A. Legislation, Trends and Tendencies	259
A.1 Legislation	259
B. Cases	262
B.1 Arbitral Award under a BIT	262
B.2 Arbitral Agreement Unreasonably Onerous	263
B.3 Arbitration Agreement Not Applicable	264
C. Local Arbitration Institutions	266
C.1 The Netherlands Arbitration Institute (“NAI”)	266

PERU

Ana María Arrarte and Sebastián Basombrío	271
A. Legislation, Trends and Tendencies	271
A.1 Legislation	271
B. Cases	271
C. Local Arbitration Institutions	272
C.1 Arbitration Center of the Lima Chamber of Commerce (“ACLCC”)	272
C.2 Center of Analysis and Conflict Resolution of the PUCP	274

Table of Contents

PHILIPPINES

Donemark J.L. Calimon and Grace Ann C. Lazaro277

- A. Legislation, Trends and Tendencies277
 - A.1 Legislation277
 - A.2 Trends and Tendencies278
- B. Cases279
 - B.1 Can Non-Signatories Invoke Arbitration Clauses?279
 - B.2 Corporate Representatives of a Corporate Party
Required to Submit to Arbitration280
- C. Local Arbitration Institutions282
 - C.1 Philippine Dispute Resolution Center (“PDRCI”)282

POLAND

Marcin Aslanowicz and Sylwia Piotrowska 287

- A. Legislation, Trends and Tendencies287
 - A.1 Legislation287
 - A.2 Trends and Tendencies287
- B. Cases287
 - B.1 Grounds for Setting Aside an Arbitral Award287
 - B.2 Arbitration Provisions and the Scope of the Court’s
Powers to Examine Them288
- C. Local Arbitration Institutions290
 - C.1 SAKiG290

RUSSIAN FEDERATION

Vladimir Khvalei and Irina Varyushina293

- A. Legislation, Trends and Tendencies293
 - A.1 Legislation293
 - A.2 Trends and Tendencies293

Table of Contents

B. Cases	294
B.1 Public Procurement Contract Disputes Are Not Arbitrable	294
B.2 Wide Construction of Waiver of the Right to Object to State Court Jurisdiction	295
B.3 Recovery of Contractual Penalties Not a Violation of Public Policy	296
B.4 Moscow Convention No Basis for International Arbitration Proceedings	298
C. Local Arbitration Institutions	299
C.1 ICAC (Moscow)	299
C.2 Russian Arbitration Association (“RAA”)	302

SINGAPORE

Chan Leng Sun and Jennifer Fong	305
A. Legislation, Trends and Tendencies	305
A.1 Legislation	305
A.2 Trends and Tendencies	305
B. Cases	306
B.1 Minority Oppression Claims Are Generally Not Arbitrable	306
B.2 Rejection of the “Group of Companies” Doctrine	306
B.3 Test Where There Are Competing Arbitration and Jurisdiction Clauses	306
B.4 Permanent Anti-Suit Injunctions Available for Singapore-Seated Arbitrations	307
B.5 Interaction between Principle of “Temporary Finality” and Right to Arbitrate	308
B.6 Clarification of the Test for the Removal of an Arbitrator ...	309
B.7 Refusal to Re-Open Proceedings to Admit Potentially Relevant Evidence Upheld	309

Table of Contents

C. Local Arbitration Institutions	310
C.1 SIAC	310
C.2 Singapore Chamber of Maritime Arbitration (“SCMA”)	313

SOUTH AFRICA

Gerhard Rudolph and Darryl Bernstein

A. Legislation, Trends and Tendencies	317
A.1 Legislation	317
A.2 Proposed Changes to the Arbitration Act	319
A.3 Trends and Tendencies	320
B. Cases	321
B.1 Clause Induced by Fraud Not Enforceable	321
B.2 Foreign Arbitration Clause Does Not Oust Jurisdiction	323
B.3 Tribunal Committed No Irregularity	326
B.4 Application for Declaratory Order Was Premature	327
C. Local Arbitration Institutions	329
C.1 Arbitration Foundation of Southern Africa (“AFSA”)	329
C.2 Association of Arbitrators (“ASA”)	332
C.3 Africa ADR (“AADR”)	334
C.4 Commission for Conciliation, Mediation and Arbitration (“CCMA”)	336

SPAIN

José María Alonso, Alfonso Gómez-Acebo, José Ramón

Casado, Víctor Mercedes and Fernando de la Mata

A. Legislation, Trends and Tendencies	341
A.1 Legislation	341
B. Cases	343
B.1 Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards	343

Table of Contents

B.2	Issues Related to Evidence	344
B.3	Decision on Issues Not Submitted to Arbitration	345
B.4	Reasoning of Awards	346
B.5	Arbitration of Corporate Disputes and Extension of Arbitration Agreement.....	347
B.6	<i>Res Judicata</i> of Arbitral Awards	347
C.	Local Arbitration Institutions	348
C.1	Court of Arbitration of Madrid (“CAM”).....	348
C.2	Barcelona Arbitration Court (“TAB”).....	351
C.3	Civil and Mercantile Court of Arbitration (“CIMA”)	353
C.4	Spanish Court of Arbitration (“CEA”).....	356

SWEDEN

Jonas Benedictsson, Stefan Bessman and Anina Liebkind	359
A. Legislation, Trends and Tendencies.....	359
A.1 Legislation	359
A.2 Trends and tendencies	359
B. Cases	360
B.1 Violation of Public Policy	360
B.2 Right to Claim Compensation for Costs After the Award Was Rendered.....	361
B.3 Signature of Agreement; Existence of an Arbitration Clause	362
B.4 No Enforcement of Foreign Award against Company Not Named on Award.....	363
B.5 Guidance on the Proceedings	364
B.6 Enforcement of Foreign Award.....	364
C. Local Arbitration Institutions	365

Table of Contents

C.1 The Arbitration Institute of the Stockholm Chamber of Commerce (“SCC”)	365
---	-----

SWITZERLAND

Joachim Frick, Anne-Catherine Hahn, Urs Zenhäusern

and Luca Beffa	371
A. Legislation, Trends and Tendencies.....	371
A.1 Guidelines for Arbitrators.....	371
A.2 Statistical Data.....	372
B. Cases	373
B.1 Lack of Jurisdiction <i>Ratione Temporis</i>	373
B.2 Severability of the Arbitration Agreement	375
B.3 <i>Res Judicata</i>	376
B.4 Lack of Jurisdiction <i>Ratione Personae</i>	376
B.5 Grounds for Setting Aside Interim Awards.....	377
C. Local Arbitration Institutions.....	378
C.1 The Swiss Chambers’ Arbitration Institution (“SCAI”).....	379

TAIWAN

Tiffany Huang, Amber Hwang and Jonathan Ho.....

A. Legislation, Trends and Tendencies.....	383
A.1 Legislation.....	383
A.2 Trends and Tendencies.....	383
B. Cases	384
B.1 Requirement to Provide the Parties with Opportunities to State Their Opinions.....	384
C. Local Arbitration Institutions.....	385
C.1 The CAA.....	385

Table of Contents

THAILAND

Chirachai Okanurak, Pisut Attakamol and Timothy Breier	389
A. Legislation, Trends and Tendencies	389
B. Cases	389
B.1 Enforcement of Arbitral Awards	390
B.2 Scope of Parties' Right to Seek Court's Assistance during Arbitration	392
B.3 Challenge to Tribunal's Discretion in Order to Set Aside Arbitral Award	393
B.4 Stats of Judgment of the Court of First Instance in Arbitral Proceedings	394
C. Local Arbitration Institutions	395
C.1 TAI	395

TURKEY

Ismail G. Esin, Ozgun Celebi and Dogan Gultutan	399
A. Legislation, Trends and Tendencies	399
A.1 Legislation	399
B. Cases	402
B.1 Contrasting Decisions on Hybrid Jurisdiction Clauses	402
B.2 Cost Award against Successful Party Not Contrary to Turkish Public Policy	404
C. Local Arbitration Institutions	405
C.1 TICC Arbitration Center	405
C.2 Turkish Union of Chambers and Commodity Exchanges' Court of Arbitration ("TUCCE")	408

Table of Contents

UKRAINE

Igor Siusel, Olga Shenk, Kseniia Pogruzhalska and

Vladyslav Kurylko	411
A. Legislation, Trends and Tendencies	411
A.1 Legislation	411
A.2 Trends and Tendencies	411
B. Cases	412
B.1 Burden of Proving Grounds for Refusal of Recognition of Arbitral Award	412
B.2 Arbitration Clause in Main Agreement Retains Its Binding Force for the Assignee	413
B.3 Ukrainian Debtor Seeks to Avoid Enforcement Based on Lack of Authority of Counsel	413
C. Local Arbitration Institutions	414
C.1 The ICAC and the UCCI	414
C.2 The MAC and the UCCI	417

UNITED ARAB EMIRATES

Gordon Blanke and Soraya Corm-Bakhos	419
A. Legislation, Trends and Tendencies	419
A.1 Legislation	419
A.2 Trends and Tendencies	419
B. Cases	421
B.1 Extensions of Time in DIAC Arbitrations	421
B.2 Recognition and Enforcement of Domestic and Foreign Awards	421
C. Local Arbitration Institutions	422
C.1 Dubai International Arbitration Centre (“DIAC”)	422
C.2 DIFC-LCIA Arbitration Centre	425
C.3 The Abu Dhabi Commercial Conciliation & Arbitration Centre (“ADCCAC”)	428

Table of Contents

UNITED KINGDOM

Ed Poulton, Ekaterina Finkel and Louise Oakley431

- A. Legislation, Trends and Tendencies.....431
- B. Cases432
 - B.1 Friendly Discussion as a Condition Precedent to Commencing Arbitration.....432
 - B.2 Pathological Arbitration Clause Held to Be Invalid433
 - B.3 A High Threshold in Defining the Parties to an Arbitration Agreement.....434
 - B.4 Courts of the Seat Appropriate Courts to Grant a Worldwide Freezing Order.....435
 - B.5 The Test of a “More Difficult” Enforcement435
 - B.6 Exhausting Arbitral Remedies before Challenging an Award436
 - B.7 Issue Estoppel to Bar Enforcement437
 - B.8 Post-Award Interest Where Award Annulled at the Seat437
- C. Local Arbitration Institutions.....438
 - C.1 London Court of International Arbitration (the “LCIA”).....438

UNITED STATES

Matthew Allison, Justin Marlles and Kyle Richard Olson443

- A. Legislation, Trends and Tendencies.....443
 - A.1 Legislation.....443
 - A.2 Trends and Tendencies.....443
- B. Cases444
 - B.1 Local Litigation Requirement in UK-Argentina BIT is Procedural.....444
 - B.2 Incorporation of Clause by Reference; No Waiver by Co-Defendants’ Conduct.....446
 - B.3 Second Circuit Raises Threshold Required to Show Personal Jurisdiction.....447

Table of Contents

B.4	NY Convention Time Bar Does Not Apply to English Judgment Based on Award.....	448
B.5	Availability of Class Arbitration Must Generally Be Determined by Courts.....	450
C.	Local Arbitration Institutions.....	451
C.1	ICDR.....	451
C.2	JAMS.....	454
C.3	CPR.....	458
 UZBEKISTAN		
	Alexander Korobeinikov	463
A.	Legislation, Trends and Tendencies.....	463
A.1	Legislation.....	463
A.2	Trends and Tendencies.....	463
B.	Cases.....	464
B.1	Investment Arbitration Cases.....	464
B.2	Uzbek Court Practice Relating to Arbitration.....	465
C.	Local Arbitration Institutions.....	465
C.1	The DAC and IAC.....	466
 VENEZUELA		
	Henry Torrealba and Edmundo Martínez	469
A.	Legislation, Trends and Tendencies.....	469
A.1	Legislation.....	469
A.2	Trends and Tendencies.....	470
B.	Cases.....	471
C.	Local Arbitration Institutions.....	471
C.1	Arbitration Center of the Caracas Chamber (“CACC”).....	471
C.2	Business Center for Conciliation and Arbitration (“CEDCA”).....	473

Table of Contents

VIETNAM

Frederick Burke and Tran Chi Anh477

 A. Legislation, Trends and Tendencies477

 A.1 Legislation477

 A.2 Trends and Tendencies478

 B. Cases479

 B.1 VIAC’s Arbitral Award Upheld against a
 State-Owned Shipping Company479

 C. Local Arbitration Institutions481

 C.1 Vietnam International Arbitration Center481