

Vol. 15, No. 8

Covering Dispute Resolution in the United States and Around the World

August 2004

California appellate court finds an employment arbitration agreement unconscionable and unenforceable. Moreover, it held that the choice of arbitral forum was a material term in the contract

JAMS, The Resolution Experts, recently elected a new Chairman of the Board. The Hon.

and could not be adjusted post

facto by a court. (Story on p. 220.)

William L. Bettinelli (ret.) replaced the outgoing chair, the Hon. Coleman F. Fannin (ret.), who served as Chairman for five years. (Story on p. 221.)

In *Compagnia Noga*, the U.S. **Second Circuit** ruled that, under international law and federal common law, there is no meaningful legal **distinction** between a **sovereign** and one of its **political organs**. Therefore, for purposes of confirming and enforcing an arbitral award, they are not separate parties. (Story on p. 223.)

Two landmark English court decisions challenge the right of **confidentiality** in **lawyer-client communications**. The **Court of Appeal** held that, where no adversarial proceedings are pending or anticipated, a communication between lawyer and client is privileged only if its dominant purpose relates to advising clients about their rights and obligations. Also, the court held that there could be no claim to privilege if litigation was not a reasonable likelihood at the time of the advice. (Story on p. 226.)

HIGHLIGHTS

CONTENTS	
Around the States	219
International News	223
News Abroad	226
Judicial Decisions	230
State Judicial Decisions	234
Commentary	239
Perspectives	
Calendar	

Pursuant to the ruling in *Volt Information Sciences*, the U.S. **Second Circuit** has held that the **FAA** does not **preempt** a rule of California procedure that provides for the **stay of arbitral proceedings**. (Case summary on p. 230.)

In a significant decision, the U.S. **Third Circuit** has held that **FAA** § 7 does not permit

arbitrators to **subpoena** the production of documentary evidence by **non-arbitrating parties** without first summoning the non-arbitrating parties to appear before a court. (Case summary on p. 231.)

In a *Perspectives* piece, Professor Gabriel Moens of the University of Notre Dame in Perth, Australia supplies an assessment of a well-known volume on ADR and reflects upon the ideology and practicality that underlies ADR and arbitration. He concludes that: "The ultimate usefulness of this book, however, may be measured by its ability to alert practicing lawyers to the fact that the making of every possible legal point and the advancement of every possible argument—characteristics usually associated with litigation and adjudication—are not necessarily in the best interests of their clients. Alternative Dispute Resolution methods, especially mediation, when properly and expeditiously employed, may commercially benefit a lawyer's clients." (The *Perspectives* section begins on page 242.)



Juris Publishing, Inc., Huntington, NY, USA

Published in conjunction with Penn State University The Dickinson School of Law, Carlisle, PA, USA

IN THIS ISSUE

IN THIS ISSUE	
NEWS AT HOME	210
Fifth Circuit Requires Contractor to Exhaust ADR Remedies Before Litigation	
SEC Approves NASD's Rule for Higher Fees	219
California Appellate Court Holds Employment Arbitration Agreement	220
Unenforceable	
U.S. Senate Approves Mediation Requirement in Special Education Disputes	
JAMS Names New Chairman	
Federal Transportation Agency Issues Guidance for Binding Arbitration	
Utah Statute Requires Insurers to Arbitrate PIP Reimbursement Claims Connecticut Governor Vetoes Bill Requiring Mediation of Personal Injury and	222
Wrongful Death Claims	222
New Jersey Governor Signs Legislation for Court-Annexed Mediation and	222
Arbitration in Medical Malpractice Cases	223
Attolitation in Medical Marphaetice Cases	223
INTERNATIONAL NEWS	
Second Circuit Finds That a Sovereign Nation and its Government are the Same	2
Legal Person for Purposes of Enforcing Arbitral Awards	
U.S. District Court Holds That an Arbitral Tribunal has no Jurisdiction	
U.S. District Court Refuses to Compel Mexican Company to Arbitrate	
NEWS ABROAD	
U.K. Government Orders Review of Dispute Resolution Mechanisms in	
Construction	226
Incursions Into the English Doctrine of Legal Professional Privilege	226
English Court Finds That Fairness—not Technical Rules—Should	
Determine Awards' Precedential Effect	227
English Court Holds That Statutory Rights are not Ousted by Arbitration	
Agreement	228
English High Court Finds That an Arbitral Award Relying on Group of	
Companies Doctrine is Contrary to Substantive Law of the	
Agreement	229
ICSID Tribunal Holds Exclusive Jurisdiction Clause in Private Agreement	
Overrides Forum Selection Provision in BIT	229
JUDICIAL DECISIONS	
Second Circuit Holds That the FAA Does not Preempt California Procedural	•••
Rule	
Third Circuit Holds That FAA § 7 has Limited Impact on Third Parties	
Fourth Circuit Refuses to Vacate Award	232
Eleventh Circuit Stays Litigation Pending Outcome of Appeal to Compel	222
Arbitration	
U.S. District Court Refuses to Stay Arbitration	233
STATE JUDICIAL DECISIONS	
Ohio Supreme Court Refuses to Vacate Award	23/
Supreme Court of Nevada Upholds the Arbitration of Public Works Contracts.	
Tennessee Appellate Court Refuses to Compel Wrongful Death Action to	233
Arbitration	235
Louisiana Appellate Court Compels Franchisees to Arbitrate	
Ohio Appellate Court Holds That Arbitrator Exceeded Authority	
South Carolina Court Compels Contractor to Arbitrate Claims Against	25 /
Subcontractor	237
New Jersey Appellate Court Finds Arbitration Agreement in Insurance Contact	
Unenforceable	
COMMENTARY	
Exporting Western Conflict Resolution: A Perspective on Training in the	
Solomon Islands (By Morgan Brigg)	239
() C (C)	-
PERSPECTIVES	
An Assessment of Arbitration and Mediation as Means of Resolving Comm	ercial
Disputes Compared with Litigation: A Review of Maxwell J. Fulton, Comme	ERCIAL
ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION (By Gabriel Moens)	
CALENDAR	246

EDITORIAL STAFF

Editor-in-Chief:

Thomas E. Carbonneau Penn State Dickinson School of Law

Senior Editor:

Kimberly A. Koko, Research Tulane University School of Law

Editors

Robert Ackerman, Domestic Mediation Penn State Dickinson School of Law

Nadja Alexander, International Mediation Australian Centre for Peace and Conflict Studies, Queensland (Australia)

Frédéric Bachand, Investment Arbitration, McGill Law Faculty (Montréal)

James Coben, Domestic Mediation Dispute Resolution Institute, Hamline University School of Law

Paul Friedland, International Arbitration White & Case LLP (New York)

Grant Hanessian, International Arbitration Baker & McKenzie (New York City)

Jeanette A. Jaeggi, Employment Arbitration Penn State Dickinson School of Law

Nancy Welsh, Domestic Mediation Penn State Dickinson School of Law

Production Manager:

Michael Murphy Juris Publishing, Inc.

Editors-At-Large:

William W. Park

Boston University School of Law

Jan Paulsson Freshfields (Paris)

Editorial Assistants:

Rosa DiBetta Janice Sayas Michael Kaplan Nicholas Woodhouse Margaret Driscoll S. Stephen Yau

Publication and Subscription Information

The World Arbitration and Mediation Report is published monthly by Juris Publishing, Inc., 71 New Street, Huntington, New York 11743; telephone: (631) 673-3330; fax: (631) 673-9117; (800) 887-4064; e-mail: subscriptions@ jurispub.com. Visit our website at http://www.jurispub.com. The Report is distributed worldwide by Juris Publishing, Inc. Subscription price: In the United States: \$650.00 yearly; International: \$710.00 yearly. Prices include shipping & handling and complete online access to the full archive of all WAMR issues. ISSN # 0960-0949.

Submission Information

Editorial correspondence should be directed to Professor Thomas Carbonneau, Editor, World Arbitration and Mediation Report, 71 New Street, Huntington, New York 11743 (USA), or Penn State Dickinson School of Law, 150 South College Street, Carlisle, PA 17013-2899. You may call him at (717) 240-5153; email: tec10@psu.edu.