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ARBITRAL AWARDS

Separate Arbitral Award, Final Arbitral Award rendered in 2000 in SCC case 34/1999

Subject-matters:

(1) Introduction of a new party in the arbitration. The seller requested to introduce as party the manufacturer of the goods who had signed a modification to the agreement, but not the original agreement.

(2) Availability of separate award and dissenting opinion under the SCC Rules.

(3) Applicable law. Whether a stipulation in the contract takes over the CISG.

Observations by Alain Prujiner and Christophe Imhoos

Final Arbitral Award rendered in 2002 in SCC case 129/2000

Subject-matters:

(1) Party succession and choice of applicable law to decide who are the parties to the agreement.

(2) Choice of applicable law to decide the costs.
Observations by Carita Wallgren and Helle Lindegaard, and Eric M. Runesson and Mikael Swahn

Final Arbitral Award rendered in 2002 in SCC case 7/2001

Subject-matters:
(1) Letter of credit and unjust enrichment - whether the purchaser had fulfilled its obligations concerning payment under the contract by obtaining the letter of credit.
(2) Invalidity of contract – whether the contract was duly signed and dealt with past events.
(3) Calculation of interest.

Observations by Michael Pryles and Jon Stokholm

COURT DECISIONS ON ARBITRATION

Canada

Subject-matters:
(1) Electronic commerce. Whether a contract might be amended – and an arbitration clause included – through the posting of a website notice.
(2) Whether the arbitration agreement was invalid because it was unconscionable.

Observations by Bradley J. Freedman and Edward C. Chiasson

England
Judgment of the English Court of Appeal rendered in 2001 in case [2001] EWCA Civ 1755

Subject-matter:
Costs incurred in foreign jurisdiction. A party was unable to recover costs in foreign proceedings. Whether party was entitled to recover foreign costs as damages for breach of exclusive jurisdiction clause in subsequent proceedings.

Observations by Otto Sandrock, Jean-Louis Delvolvé and Claes Zettermarck

Sweden
Judgment by the Svea Court of Appeal rendered in 2000 in case 8090-99

Subject-matter:
Whether an award was based on matters not submitted by the parties to the arbitrators.

Observations by Martin Wallin

Subject-matters:
(1) Application, under Swedish law, of an arbitration clause laid down in a business contract, to a third party guarantor.
(2) Applicable law. Whether a choice of “governing law” in a supply contract is applicable when determining whether a guarantor is bound by an arbitration clause in the supply contract.
(3) Is state sovereign immunity a bar to arbitration?

Observations by Hans Smit

---

Switzerland
Judgment of Switzerland’s Supreme Court rendered in 2002 in case 4P.226/2001

Subject-matters:
(1) Request to set aside an arbitral award on the ground that one co-arbitrator failed to attend the deliberation (Swiss PILS -LDIP, Art 190, para 2, lit.a).
(2) Request to set aside an arbitral award on the ground that the parties were not treated equally and a party was refused the opportunity to be heard (PILS, Art. 190, para 2, lit.d).
(3) Alleged failure by the arbitral tribunal to deal with all the claims (PILS, Art. 190, para 2, lit.c).
(4) Alleged violation of public order on the ground that the arbitrators had failed to examine a violation of Greek and European competition law (PILS, Art. 190, para 2, lit.e).

Observations by Konstantin Razumov
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USA
Judgment of the Supreme Court New York County Appellate Division rendered in 2003 in case 754 N.Y.S. 2D 264

Subject-matter:
Punitive damages in arbitral award.

Observations by Steven C. Bennett
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