

Vol. 16, No. 8

Covering Dispute Resolution in the United States and Around the World

August 2005

As various stories and reports in this issue reveal, the **legitimate use of arbitration** in some sectors **remains uncertain** and continues to be debated.

There appears to be a growing consensus that arbitral procedures can be effective in resolving would-be secular **disputes within religious organizations**. Arbitration has been applied to issues of

corporate governance and workplace discrimination in religious congregations. Judicial enforcement of arbitration agreements and awards in this setting, it seems, can take place as long as judicial orders and rulings do not implicate religious doctrine. (Story begins on page 233.)

The use of arbitration in **consumer matters** continues to generate a variety of views and ideas to accommodate competing interests.

In **New Jersey**, acting Governor Richard Codey has ordered the New Jersey Division of Consumer Affairs to revamp the process for **homeowner arbitration**. Arbitrators who served in the process apparently lacked training and sufficient credentials. They also failed to make necessary disclosures. Arbitral procedures must satisfy minimal professional requirements—in this setting as they do elsewhere. (Story begins on page 234.)

Like Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, **CitiFinancial**, one of the world's largest providers of financial services, has announced

HIGHLIGHTS

CONTENTS

Around the States	233
Judicial Decisions	238
State Judicial Decisions	242
Perspectives	243
Bibliographic Resources	
Calendar	

that it will remove or not enforce **arbitration clauses** in its **real estate loans**. (Story begins on page 235.)

Courts in various states have taken variegated positions on the legality of arbitration in consumer transactions. In Illinois, an appellate court held that a **class arbitration waiver provision** in an arbitration clause contained in a contract for cellular phone service was

unconscionable because of its adhesionary character and because it deprived the customer of effective remedial recourse. (Story at page 237.) By (surprising) contrast, in California, an appellate court reached the opposite conclusion: An arbitration clause in cellular phone service contracts that **barred class actions** was not so one-sided as to render the clause unenforceable. (Story on page 237.)

The **Baker & McKenzie** arbitration and international practice group has supplied an account of several recent cases on **international commercial arbitration** for this issue of **WAMR**. The case accounts address a number of topics: for example, the scope of application of the New York Arbitration Convention in federal law, foreign State liability under an arbitral award, and the use of the setting aside procedure against international arbitral awards. (The *Baker & McKenzie* case accounts begin on page 237.)

Finally, **WAMR** editors **Kimberly Koko** and **Gail Partin** provide an extensive and up-to-date **worldwide bibliography** of recent books, articles, and reviews on **arbitration and ADR**. (The *Bibliography* begins on page 252.)



JurisNet LLC, Huntington, NY, USA

Published in conjunction with Penn State University The Dickinson School of Law, Carlisle, PA, USA

Copyright © 2005 JurisNet LLC

WORLD ARBITRATION AND MEDIATION REPORT

IN THIS ISSUE

NEWS AT HOME

DC Court Holds That UAA Applies to Beth Din Provisions	233
Litigation can Lead to a Waiver of Arbitration in Nevada	233
California Law may Require Parties to Petition Courts	
Formally to Compel Arbitration	234
CPR Publishes Model Agreement for Patent and Trade	
Secret Adjudication	234
NJ Governor Orders Overhaul of Homeowner Arbitration System	234
CitiFinancial Announces that it will Remove Arbitration	
Clauses From Real Estate Loans	235
Retired Federal Judge Gary L. Taylor Joins JAMS	235
CPR Changes Its Name	235
Florida Court Considers Changes to Mediator	
Certification Process	237
Illinois Appellate Court Finds Arbitration Agreement in	
a Cellular Phone Agreement Unconscionable	237
California Appellate Court Upholds the Class Action	
Prohibition in a Cellular Phone Contract	237

JUDICIAL DECISIONS

First Circuit Affirms Preliminary Injunction Against	
Union Pursuant to CBA2	238
Second Circuit Holds That, in a New York Convention	
Case, 9 U.S.C. § 207 Preempts 9 U.S.C. § 92	238
"Arising Under" Language Does not Require Arbitration	
of a Claim for Fraudulent Inducement2	239
Court Confirms an Arbitral Award Against the	
Democratic of Congo2	240
Louisiana Statute Preempted by the New York	
Arbitration Convention2	241
Sixth Circuit Holds That "Manifest Disregard of the Law" can	
be Used to Vacate a New York Convention Award2	241

STATE JUDICIAL DECISIONS

Maryland State Court Upholds Arbitration of Employment	
Discrimination Claim	

PERSPECTIVES

The Application of Mandatory Law and Public Policy in	
International Commercial Arbitration	
by Jennifer L. Young	

BIBLIOGRAPHIC RESOURCES

by Kimberly Koko, Senior Editor and Gail A. Partin,	
Research Editor	
CALENDAR	

EDITORIAL STAFF Editor-in-Chief: Thomas E. Carbonneau

Penn State Dickinson School of Law

Senior Editor: Kimberly Koko, Esq. Tulane Law School

Executive Editor: Jeanette A. Jaeggi, Esq. Penn State Dickinson School of Law

Editors:

Nadja Alexander, International Mediation, Australian Centre for Peace and Conflict Studies, Queensland (Australia)

Frédéric Bachand, Investment Arbitration, McGill Law Faculty (Montréal)

Richard Chernick, Editor for Arbitration Law & Practice, JAMS (Los Angeles)

James Coben, Domestic Mediation, Dispute Resolution Institute, Hamline University School of Law

Robert Davidson, Editor for Arbitration Law & Practice, JAMS (New York)

Paul Friedland, International Arbitration, White & Case LLP (New York City)

Barry Garfinkel, International Arbitration Skadden Arps Slate Meagher & Flom (New York City)

Grant Hanessian, International Arbitration, Baker & McKenzie (New York City)

Gail Partin, Research Editor, Penn State Dickinson School of Law

David Zaslowsky, International Arbitration, Baker & McKenzie (New York City)

Production Manager: Wendy M. Ser

Editors-At-Large:

William W. Park Boston University School of Law Jan Paulsson Freshfields (Paris)

Editorial Assistants: Tanya Browne Sandra Partridge Jason Reimer

Publication and Subscription Information

The WORLD ARBITRATION AND MEDIATION REPORT is published monthly by JurisNet LLC, 71 New Street, Huntington, New York 11743; telephone: (631) 673-3330; fax: (631) 673-9117; (800) 887-4064; e-mail: *subscriptions@ jurispub.com*. Visit our website at *http:// www.jurispub.com*. The Report is distributed worldwide by JurisNet LLC. Subscription price: In the United States: \$650.00 yearly; International: \$710.00 yearly. Prices include shipping & handling and complete online access to the full archive of *all* WAMR issues. ISSN # 0960-0949.

Submission Information

Editorial correspondence should be directed to Professor Thomas Carbonneau, Editor, *World Arbitration and Mediation Report*, 71 New Street, Huntington, New York 11743 (USA), or Penn State Dickinson School of Law, 150 South College Street, Carlisle, PA 17013-2899. You may call him at (717) 240-5153; email: tec10@psu.edu.