B2C Arbitration:
Consumer Protection in Arbitration

Alexander J. Bělohlávek

JURIS
CONTENTS

Gratiarum – Acknowledgments ................................................................. xix
Auctorium – About the Author .................................................................xxi
Index Compendiorum – List of Abbreviations ........................................ xxiii

I. Introduction ............................................................................................... 1
   I.1. Subject of this publication ................................................................. 1
   I.2. Different national approaches and experience in the resolution of consumer disputes ........................................................................ 1
   I.3. Positive and negative aspects of litigation and arbitration in consumer disputes, fair trial, and efficiency of dispute resolution ........................................................................ 2
   I.4. Importance of consumer protection against the background of the dispute resolution mechanism .......................................................... 4
   I.5. Risk of abuse of the special protection by the consumer................. 7

II. Terminological and Conceptual Delimitation of Consumer Disputes with respect to Arbitration ................................................................. 9
   II.1. Consumer protection laws ................................................................. 9
   II.2. Arbitration ............................................................................................. 9
   II.3. Public policy and public interest ......................................................... 10
         II.3.1. Inconsistent application of two materially different categories ............................................................................................. 10
         II.3.2. Public policy (ordre public) ......................................................... 11
                 (a) Domestic and international public policy (ordre public) in legal cultures .................................................................................. 11
                 (b) Public policy in arbitration ......................................................... 13
         II.3.3. Public interest ................................................................................. 14
                 (a) National basis for the historical delimitation of public interest ............................................................................................. 14
                 (b) Genesis and the constitution of public interest ........................................ 16
                 (c) Delimitation of public interest ......................................................... 18
         II.3.4. Public policy and public interest in EU law ................................... 21
                 (a) Autonomous interpretation of public policy and public interest; an exception to the freedoms guaranteed by primary EU law ........................................................................ 21
                 (b) Free movement of persons and protection of security ............................................................................................. 22
                 (c) Access to information .................................................................... 29
                 (d) Consumer protection .................................................................... 31
         II.3.5 Public interest, overriding mandatory rules and comparison with public policy ............................................................................................. 33
II.4. Issues common to consumer protection and arbitration ............... 37
II.5. Scope of consumer protection issues .............................................. 38
   II.5.1. Weaker contracting party and the average consumer .......... 38
   II.5.2. Claims made in consumer disputes ...................................... 40
II.5.3. Consumer contract ................................................................. 41
   (a) Consumer contract (contract concluded by a consumer) ........ 41
   (b) Consumer and professional .................................................... 45
   (c) The average consumer (connection with the essential and other terms of the contract and with arbitration agreements) ............ 46
   (d) Multilateral criteria defining a consumer contract ................. 48
II.6. Resolution of consumer issues ...................................................... 50
II.7. Consumer arbitration ................................................................. 53
II.8. Right to legal protection versus right to judicial protection and the importance of autonomy ....................................................... 58
II.9. Role of courts with respect to consumer arbitration ................. 65
   II.9.1. Appeals (review) ................................................................. 65
   II.9.2. Court intervention at the stage of enforcement of arbitral awards ................................................................. 66
   II.9.3. Control and support exercised by the courts (juge d'appui) ................................................................. 67

III. Consumer Protection and Consumer Disputes under EU Law .............. 69
III.1. Restrictive model under EU law ................................................. 69
III.2. Fundamental consumer rights ..................................................... 70
III.3. Directive 93/13/EEC on unfair terms in consumer contracts (the "Directive") ..................................................... 71
   III.3.1. Identification of unfair terms in court rulings ................. 71
   III.3.2. Annex to the Directive ..................................................... 72
   III.3.3. Application of the Annex to the Directive to consumer arbitration ..................................................... 75
   III.3.4. Obligation of the [arbitral] tribunal to decide according to the applicable laws and regulations ......................... 76
III.4. EC Recommendation No. 98/257/EC of 30 March 1998, regarding the resolution of consumer disputes ......................... 81
III.5. Other sources of EU law ............................................................ 84
   III.5.2. Liability for defective products ............................................ 85
   III.5.3. EU laws with no impact on consumer arbitration .......... 87
III.6. Concept of single European Contract Law
(Contractual framework) ................................................................. 88
III.6.1. Genesis and development ...................................................... 88
III.6.2. The Green Paper ................................................................. 89
III.6.3. EP Resolution of 8 June 2011 (the “Resolution”) .............. 90
   (a) Contents of the EP Resolution .............................................. 90
   (b) Legal nature of the instrument of European
       Contract Law ........................................................................ 91
   (c) Scope of application of the instrument ................................ 93
   (d) Application of the instrument in practice ......................... 95
   (e) Importance of the Resolution ............................................. 96
III.6.4. Influence of the EP’s Resolution on arbitration ............... 98

III.7. Proposals of the European Commission regarding the
alternative resolution of consumer disputes and regarding
online consumer disputes of 29 November 2011 ..................... 99
III.7.1. Legal context of the Commission’s legislative
proposals ..................................................................................... 99
III.7.2. Proposed legislative procedure ........................................... 101
III.7.3. Commission Communication on alternative
dispute resolution for consumer disputes in the
Single Market ............................................................................. 101
III.7.4. Proposal for a Directive on alternative dispute
resolution for consumer disputes (“ADR Directive”) ............ 105
III.7.5. Legal elements of the Proposal.......................................... 105

III.8. Proposal for a Regulation on online dispute resolution for
consumer disputes (“ODR Regulation”) ...................................... 107
III.8.1. Premises of the proposed Regulation ................................ 107
III.8.2. Legal elements of the Proposal ........................................... 108
III.8.3. Implications of the proposed EU legislation
for arbitration ............................................................................. 109
III.8.4. Assessment of the proposals presented by the
EU Commission ........................................................................... 111

III.9. Case law of the ECJ concerning arbitration and interpretation
of the Directive and other consumer protection instruments ...... 112
III.9.1. Case law of the ECJ in mutual comparison ....................... 112
III.9.2. ECJ Judgment, Case C-168/05 of 26 October 2006
(Elisa María Mostaza Claro v. Centro Móvil
Millennium SL) ...................................................................... 119
   (a) Conclusions of the ECJ ..................................................... 119
   (b) Facts of the case ................................................................ 120
   (c) The ECJ’s reasoning and conclusions ......................... 121
   (d) Notes on the judgment .................................................. 123
III.9.3. ECJ Judgment, Cases C-240/98 through C-244/98 of 27 June 2000 (Océano Grupo Editorial SA) ................................................................. 124
(a) Conclusions of the ECJ .......................................................... 124
(b) Facts of the case .................................................................... 124
(c) The ECJ’s reasoning and conclusions ............................... 125

III.9.4. ECJ Judgment, Case C-473/00 of 21 November 2002 (Cofidis SA v. Jean-Louis Fredout [Cofidis]) ........................................... 128
(a) Conclusions of the ECJ .......................................................... 128
(b) Facts of the case .................................................................... 128
(c) The ECJ’s reasoning and conclusions ............................... 129
(d) Assessment of the merits .................................................... 130

III.9.5. ECJ Judgment, Case C-40/08 of 6 October 2009 (Telecomunicaciones SL v. Cristina Rodríguez Nogueira [Asturcom]) ....................... 133
(a) Conclusions of the ECJ .......................................................... 133
(b) Facts of the case .................................................................... 134
(c) The ECJ’s reasoning and conclusions ............................... 135

III.9.6. ECJ Judgment, Case C-243/08 of 4 June 2009 (Pannon GSM Zrt v. Sustikné Győrfi Erzsébet [Pannon GSM]) ......................................................... 139
(a) Conclusions of the ECJ .......................................................... 139
(b) Facts of the case ..................................................................... 140
(c) Arguments of the ECJ ........................................................... 141
   (aa) The first question: Examination of unfairness ex officio (of the court’s own motion) ................................................................. 141
   (bb) The second question: Examination of unfairness where the court has sufficient factual and legal information .......... 142
   (cc) The third question: Circumstances decisive or the assessment of (un)fairness and (im)balance ..................................... 143
   (d) Obligation of the arbitrators to instruct the parties about the unfairness of a contractual term ..................................................... 145

III.9.7. ECJ Order, Case C-76/10 of 16 November 2010 (Pohotovosť s. r. o. v. Iveta Korčkovská [Pohotovosť]) ............................................ 146
(a) Summary ................................................................................. 146
(b) Factual and legal findings......................................................... 147
(c) Form of the ECJ’s ruling ......................................................... 149
   (d) Permissibility of the preliminary reference procedure ................................................................. 149
   (e) Arguments of the ECJ ........................................................... 150
Contents

(aa) The first question: Information in the agreement about total annual costs of the credit ............................................. 150
(bb) The second question: Sub-par. (a) .................................... 152
(cc) The second question: Sub-pars. (b) and (c) ....................... 153
(dd) The third question: Assessment of the unfairness of the contract in proceedings on enforcement of a foreign arbitral award ........... 154

III.9.8. ECJ Judgment, Case C-137/08 of 9 November 2010
(VE Pénzügyi Lízing Zrt. v. Ferenc Schneider):
Unfairness of a choice-of-court clause ..................................... 154
(a) Conclusions of the ECJ .......................................................... 154
(b) Factual and legal findings ...................................................... 155
(c) Preliminary reference procedure ........................................... 155
(d) The ECJ’s reasoning and conclusions ................................... 157
(aa) Conclusions regarding the third question originally referred: Informing the Minister of Justice ............................................... 157
(bb) The first and the second additional questions: The jurisdiction of the ECJ to interpret the concept of "unfair term" and the obligation of the national court to apply the interpretation to the facts of a particular case ......................................................... 157
(cc) The third additional question: The obligation of the court to undertake an ex officio review ...................................................... 158

III.10. Requirements imposed on EU Member States .................. 159

IV. Consumer Arbitration in Selected Countries ...................... 161

IV.1. [AUT] [AUSTRIA] ................................................................. 161
IV.1.1. Principles and sources of consumer protection
(substantive-law basis) .............................................................. 161
IV.1.2. Consumer arbitration ....................................................... 162

IV.2. [BEL] [BELGIUM] ............................................................... 166
IV.2.1. Principles and sources ...................................................... 166
IV.2.2. Arbitration ................................................................. 166
IV.2.3. Mediation ................................................................. 167

IV.3. [BGR] [BULGARIA] ............................................................. 167
IV.3.1. Arbitration ................................................................. 167
IV.3.2. Mediation ................................................................. 169

IV.4. [CAN] [CANADA] .............................................................. 170
IV.4.1. The approach adopted by certain provinces ..................... 170
IV.4.2. The federal approach ................................................... 171
IV.4.3. Case law – Decision of the Supreme Court of Canada, Case No. 31067 of 13 July 2007 (Dumoulin et Union des consommateurs v. Dell Computer Corp.) ...................................... 171
Contents

(a) Basic conclusions ................................................................. 171
(b) Factual and legal findings .................................................... 173
(c) Arguments of the parties and the Court's legal conclusions .......... 174

IV.5. [CZE] [CZECH REPUBLIC] .................................................. 179

IV.5.1. Arbitration laws ............................................................... 179
(a) Legal basis and importance of arbitration in the Czech Republic ......................................................... 179
(b) Amendment to the Arbitration Act (Czech arbitration law in effect since 1 April 2012) ................. 181
   (aa) Concept of the Amendment to the ArbAct [CZE] ................................................................. 181
   (bb) Arbitration agreement concluded by a consumer (arbitration agreement for consumer disputes) ...... 184
   (cc) Independence and impartiality of arbitrators ........................................................................ 185

IV.5.2. Protection of consumers under substantive law ...................... 186
(a) Sources and principles of consumer protection in contemporary legislation (CC [CZE]) ..................... 186
(b) Consequences of unfair terms in consumer contracts .................................................................. 188
(c) Proposal for a new Civil Code (NCC [CZE]) ........................................................................ 193

IV.5.3. Case law ................................................................................. 201
(a) Judgment of the Constitutional Court of the Czech Republic [CZE], Case No. IV. ÚS 2157/08 of 24 September 2008 ................................................. 201
   (aa) Legal opinion of the Constitutional Court ............................................. 201
   (bb) Factual and legal findings ................................................. 202
   (cc) Commentary on the judgment of the Constitutional Court ..................... 203
(b) Judgment of the ConCourt CR, Case No. I ÚS 3227/07 of 8 March 2011 ................................. 203
   (aa) Conclusions and importance of the decision ............................................ 203
   (bb) Factual and legal circumstances of the case and court decisions regarding setting aside an arbitral award .................................................. 210
   (cc) Decision of the Constitutional Court on merits ........................................ 214
   (dd) Correlation between decision and consumer protection .......................... 215
(c) Resolution of the Constitutional Court of the Czech Republic, Case No. II. ÚS 3057/10 of 5 October 2011 ........................................................................ 217
   (aa) Conclusions of the Constitutional Court ................................................................... 217
(bb) From the facts of the case; decisions of the lower courts ................................................................. 221

(cc) Constitutional complaint and conclusions adopted by the Constitutional Court in its ruling, including the reasons........................................ 224

(d) Judgment of the Constitutional Court of the Czech Republic, Case No. II. ÚS 2164/10 of 1 November 2011: Correlation between the protection of autonomy in negotiating arbitration agreements and the protection of the weaker contracting party (consumer protection) ........................................ 229

(aa) Conclusions of the Constitutional Court ............. 229

(bb) Factual and legal findings ........................................ 231

(cc) Constitutional complaint and conclusions adopted by the Constitutional Court in its ruling, including reasons........................................ 232
1. Result of the proceedings ........................................ 232
2. Contractual autonomy and consumer protection .................................................. 232
3. EU-compliant interpretation of consumer protection law ........................................ 233
4. Internal inconsistencies in the reasons for the judgment regarding the nature of arbitration and manifest conflict with other rulings of the Constitutional Court ........................................ 234
5. Proportionality of fundamental rights in consumer contracts and the requirement of transparency .................................................. 237
6. Requirement of appeal ........................................ 238
7. Right to a lawful judge and rules concerning the appointment of arbitrator .................................................. 240

(e) Judgment of the Supreme Court CR [CZE], Case No. 23 Cdo 1201/2009 of 29 June 2010 ........................................ 243

(aa) Conclusions of the Supreme Court ......................... 243

(bb) Factual and legal findings ........................................ 247

(cc) Decisions of the trial court and the court of appeals .................................................. 248

(dd) Conclusions of the court of appeals and the subsequent decision of the Supreme Court, including reasons .................................................. 250

(f) Resolution of the SC CR [CZE], Case No. 31 Cdo 1945/2010 of 11 May 2011: Arbitration clause which impairs the position of the “weaker” contracting party; permanent arbitral institutions versus “ad hoc” arbitrators .................................................. 252
IV.6. [DEU] [GERMANY]: Friendly approach to consumer arbitration

IV.6.1. Legal control of contractual terms .................................................... 261

IV.6.2. Tolerant application of the Directive and national arbitration laws ................................................................. 263

IV.6.3. Case law ................................................................................................ 265

(a) Judgment of the Federal Court of Justice (Supreme Court [DEU]), Case No. III ZR 265/03 of 13 January 2005 .................................................................................................. 265

(aa) Conclusions of the court ........................................................................ 265

(bb) Factual and legal findings ...................................................................... 267

(cc) Reasons for the decision ....................................................................... 268

(b) Judgment of the Federal Court of Justice (Supreme Court [DEU]), Case No. III ZR 164/06 of 1 March 2007: Limitation of the right to appoint an arbitrator does not render the arbitration agreement invalid ........................................... 272

(aa) Conclusions of the court ........................................................................ 272

(bb) Factual and legal findings ...................................................................... 273

(cc) Reasons for the decision ....................................................................... 274

(c) Judgment of the Federal Court of Justice (Supreme Court [DEU]), Case No. XI 349/08 of 8 June 2010 (M v. N): Law applicable to arbitration agreement and form of arbitration agreement .............................................. 275

(aa) Conclusion of the court .......................................................................... 275

(bb) Factual and legal findings ...................................................................... 276

(cc) Arguments of the court ...................................................................... 276

(d) Decision of Krefeld District Court, Case No. 6 O 186/95 of 29 April 1996 (Richard Zellner v. Phillip Alexandre Securities and Futures Ltd.) ......................................................... 276
Contents

(c) Judgment of the BGH [DEU], Case No. XI ZR 349/08 of 8 June 2010: Arbitration clauses in contracts between a consumer and a foreign securities broker ................................................................. 278
(aa) Conclusions of the court ........................................ 278
(bb) Factual and legal findings and decisions of the trial court and the court of appeals .......... 279
(cc) Decision and arguments of the Federal Court of Justice (BGH [DEU]) ....................... 280

(aa) Conclusion of the court ..................................... 282
(bb) Factual and legal findings ................................... 282
(cc) Arguments of the court ..................................... 282

IV.7. [DNK] [DENMARK] ................................................................. 283
IV.8. [ESP] [SPAIN] ............................................................... 284
IV.8.1. Spanish approach to consumer arbitration .......... 284
IV.8.2. Case law – Decision of the Madrid Court of Appeals [ESP], Case No. 28079370102010100498, 12 November 2010 (Juan Pedro v. Metrovacesa S.A.): The principle of good faith protects both the consumer and the professional .................. 287
(a) Legal opinion of the Court .................................... 287
(b) Factual and legal findings and other conclusions of the Court ........................................ 287
(c) Notes on the judgment ........................................ 288

IV.9. [EST] [ESTONIA] ............................................................. 289
IV.10. [FRA] [FRANCE] .......................................................... 289
IV.10.1. Legal control of contractual terms ....................... 289
IV.10.2. Consumer arbitration ........................................ 291
IV.11. [GBR] [UNITED KINGDOM] ........................................ 294
IV.11.1. Sources and principles of consumer protection 294
IV.11.2. Consumer arbitration ........................................ 294
IV.11.3. Case law ............................................................. 296
(a) Decision in Zealander & Zealander v. Laing Homes Limited of 1999 ......................... 296

IV.12. [HRV] [CROATIA] ......................................................... 297
IV.13. [HUN] [HUNGARY] ....................................................... 298
## Contents

| IV.14. [CHE] [SWITZERLAND] | ................................................................................................. 300 |
| IV.15. [ITA] [ITALY] | ................................................................................................. 301 |
| IV.16. [LTU] [LITHUANIA] | ................................................................................................. 302 |
| IV.17. [LVA] [LATVIA] | ................................................................................................. 302 |
| IV.17.1. Latvian arbitration laws | ................................................................................................. 302 |
| IV.18. [MEX] [MEXICO] | ................................................................................................. 304 |
| IV.19. [NLD] [NETHERLANDS] | ................................................................................................. 304 |
| IV.20. [NOR] [NORWAY] | ................................................................................................. 306 |
| IV.20.1. Arbitration | ................................................................................................. 306 |
| IV.20.2. Mediation | ................................................................................................. 306 |
| IV.21. [POL] [POLAND] | ................................................................................................. 307 |
| IV.21.1. Tradition of consumer protection in Poland | ................................................................................................. 307 |
| IV.21.2. Conditions for consumer arbitration | ................................................................................................. 308 |
| IV.22 [PRT] [PORTUGAL] | ................................................................................................. 311 |
| IV.23 [RUS] [RUSSIA] | ................................................................................................. 311 |
| IV.24. [SVK] [SLOVAKIA] | ................................................................................................. 312 |
| IV.24.1. Sources and principles of consumer protection | ................................................................................................. 312 |
| IV.24.2. Consumer arbitration | ................................................................................................. 312 |
| (a) Conclusions of the court | ................................................................................................. 315 |
| (b) Factual and legal findings and reasons for the trial court’s decision | ................................................................................................. 317 |
| (c) Decision and arguments of the court of appeals | ................................................................................................. 321 |
| (d) Notes on the judgment | ................................................................................................. 322 |
| IV.25. [SWE] [SWEDEN] | ................................................................................................. 324 |
| III.25.1. Sources and principles of consumer protection | ................................................................................................. 324 |
| III.25.2. Consumer arbitration | ................................................................................................. 324 |
| IV.26. [USA] [UNITED STATES OF AMERICA] | ................................................................................................. 325 |
| IV.26.1. Support for consumer arbitration | ................................................................................................. 325 |
| IV.26.2. Limitation of consumer arbitration | ................................................................................................. 332 |
| IV.26.3. Proposal for a new federal law in the United States (draft Arbitration Fairness Act, AFA [USA]) | ................................................................................................. 334 |
| IV.26.4. Enforcement of arbitral awards rendered in the United States in consumer disputes abroad | ................................................................................................. 336 |
| IV.26.5. Case law | ................................................................................................. 336 |
| (a) Decision of the Supreme Court of the United States of America, No. 82-500 of 23 January 1984 (Southland Corp. et al. v. Keating et al.; 465 U.S. 1 (1984); Special protection afforded to franchisees) | ................................................................................................. 336 |
| (aa) Main conclusions of the decision | ................................................................................................. 336 |
(bb) Factual and legal findings ........................................ 338
(cc) Arguments of the parties and the Court’s legal conclusions ........................................................ 339
(dd) Notes on the judgment............................................. 341
(b) Decision of the Supreme Court of the United States of America, No. 93-1001 of 18 January 1995 (Terminix v. Dobson) ................................................. 343
(aa) Main conclusions of the Court ....................................... 343
(bb) Factual and legal findings ........................................ 344
(cc) Arguments of the parties and the Court’s legal conclusions ........................................................ 344
(c) Judgment of the Court of Appeal of California, No. A068753 of 3 November 1998 (Badie v. Bank of America) ................................................................. 348
(aa) Conclusions of the Court ......................................... 348
(bb) Factual and legal findings ........................................ 349
(cc) Arguments of the parties and the Court’s legal conclusions ........................................................ 350
(d) Judgment of the United States Court of Appeals, Sixth Circuit, No. 98-028 30 / 99-3854 of 8 September 2000 (James D. Stout; Shirley A. Brown v. J.D. Byrider, a/k/a Docherty Motors, Inc.; T & J Acceptance Corporation, d/b/a Carnow Acceptance Company) ........................................................................ 353
(aa) Conclusions of the Court ......................................... 353
(bb) Factual and legal findings ........................................ 354
(cc) Arguments of the courts .......................................... 354
(e) Judgment of the Supreme Court of Arkansas, No. 1981594 of 15 September 2000 (Alabama Catalog Sales v. Harris): Jurisdiction over the validity of arbitration agreements ............................................. 355
(aa) Conclusion of the Court ........................................... 355
(bb) Factual and legal findings ........................................ 356
(cc) Arguments of the courts .......................................... 356
(aa) Conclusion of the Court ........................................... 356
(bb) Factual and legal findings ........................................ 356
(cc) Arguments of the courts .......................................... 357
(g) Decision of the Supreme Court of the United States, Case No. 09-893 of 11 April 2011 (Vincent Concepcion & others v. AT&T Mobility LLC): Prevalence of the purpose of arbitration over special consumer protection ...................................... 357
V. Consumer Arbitration as the Subject Matter of Proceedings in Court

V.1. Validity of arbitration agreements in consumer contracts
   V.1.1. Importance of the validity of arbitration agreements
   V.1.2. Scope of the concept of “validity of an arbitration agreement” in consumer relations
   V.1.3. Law applicable to the validity of the arbitration agreement
   V.1.4. Special arbitration laws and EU courts
   V.1.6. Decision in Heifer International Inc. v. Helge Christiansen et Christiansen Arkitekter KS MAA PAR et al. [GBR-ENG]

V.2. Application of various legal systems to the definition of consumer and the validity of an arbitration agreement
   V.2.1. Importance of the definition of consumer
   V.2.2. Decision in Heifer International Inc. v. Helge Christiansen et Christiansen Arkitekter KS MAA PAR et al. [GBR-ENG]
   V.2.3. International practice
   V.2.4. Consequences of the decision on the invalidity of an arbitration agreement

V.3. Procedural issues and stages of proceedings
   V.3.1. Confirmation or refusal of jurisdiction by the arbitral tribunal / Application of the “Kompetenz-Kompetenz” principle
   V.3.2. Consumers’ arguments against arbitration agreements
   V.3.3. Costs of proceedings and availability of legal protection
   V.3.4. Consequences of the decision on the invalidity of an arbitration agreement in a consumer contract
   V.3.5. Challenging arbitral awards concerning consumer contracts
      (a) Courts in proceedings which are connected with and follow arbitration and the scope of judicial review

(148x724) (aa) Conclusions of the Court
(148x695) (bb) Factual and legal findings
(148x672) (cc) Arguments of the courts
(148x638) (dd) Commentary on the decision

V. Consumer Arbitration as the Subject Matter of Proceedings in Court

V.1. Validity of arbitration agreements in consumer contracts

V.1.1. Importance of the validity of arbitration agreements

V.1.2. Scope of the concept of “validity of an arbitration agreement” in consumer relations

V.1.3. Law applicable to the validity of the arbitration agreement

V.1.4. Special arbitration laws and EU courts


V.1.6. Decision in Heifer International Inc. v. Helge Christiansen et Christiansen Arkitekter KS MAA PAR et al. [GBR-ENG]

V.2. Application of various legal systems to the definition of consumer and the validity of an arbitration agreement

V.2.1. Importance of the definition of consumer

V.2.2. Decision in Heifer International Inc. v. Helge Christiansen et Christiansen Arkitekter KS MAA PAR et al. [GBR-ENG]

V.2.3. International practice

V.2.4. Consequences of the decision on the invalidity of an arbitration agreement

V.3. Procedural issues and stages of proceedings

V.3.1. Confirmation or refusal of jurisdiction by the arbitral tribunal / Application of the “Kompetenz-Kompetenz” principle

V.3.2. Consumers’ arguments against arbitration agreements

V.3.3. Costs of proceedings and availability of legal protection

V.3.4. Consequences of the decision on the invalidity of an arbitration agreement in a consumer contract

V.3.5. Challenging arbitral awards concerning consumer contracts
      (a) Courts in proceedings which are connected with and follow arbitration and the scope of judicial review

xvi
(b) Arguments important for courts in proceedings which are connected with and follow arbitration ........... 386

V.4. Enforcement of arbitral awards rendered in consumer disputes ........................................................................................................... 387
  V.4.1. Jurisdiction ...................................................................................... 387
  V.4.2. Laws applicable to enforcement of arbitral awards ................ 387
  V.4.3. Enforcement of arbitral awards rendered in states with a lower degree of consumer protection ............................ 393
  V.4.4. Decision of the Austrian Supreme Court (OGH [AUT]), Case No. 3 Ob 144/09m of 22 July 2009: Breach of consumer protection laws does not form part of international or EU public policy ................................................ 394
    (a) Importance of the decision .................................................. 394
    (b) Facts of the case ..................................................................... 395
    (c) Conclusions of the Austrian court ..................................... 396
    (d) Reasons for the decision ....................................................... 396
    (e) Commentary on the decision .............................................. 400

V.5. Courts as "juge d'appui" in consumer disputes: Auxiliary role of courts with respect to arbitration ......................................................... 402
V.6. Appointment of arbitrators ........................................................................ 402
  V.6.1. Appointment and replacement of arbitrators ............................ 402
  V.6.2. Challenging arbitrators ................................................................... 403

V.7. Applications for interim measures, including anti-arbitration injunctions ...................................................................................................... 405

Bibliography .................................................................................................................. 407

I. Monographs ................................................................................................... 407
  I.A. Literature directly related to the topic ......................................... 407
  I.B. Literature closely related to the topic ........................................... 421
II. Articles in Periodicals and Anthologies ................................................... 427
  II.A. Literature directly related to the topic ......................................... 427
  II.B. Literature closely related to the topic ........................................... 451
III. Literature Available Online ........................................................................ 458
IV. Master's, Doctoral, and Dissertation Theses as well as Other Qualification, Scientific, and Scientific-Pedagogical Papers .............................................................................................................. 467

Index-Registers ............................................................................................................ 471

I. Introductory Notes to the Index................................................................ 471
II. Keyword Index .............................................................................................. 471
III. Table of Cited Rules (Normative Acts and Other Sources) .......... 491
  III.1 Rules of national origin ................................................................. 491
  III.2. Rules of international (other than national) origin, including EU rules and rules of international (supranational) courts ........................................................................ 497
III.3. Internationally applied / recognized rules of other than state (public) origin ..............................................................500

IV. Table of References to Decisions Rendered in Arbitration or Litigation (Overview According to Parties, *Fora*, Designation and Date of Decision) ........................................................................................................501

IV.1. Decisions of national courts and constitutional courts arranged by individual state ..............................................501

IV.2. Table of cases – Decisions rendered by international *fora* (courts, tribunals) arranged by individual institution ..........................................................................................................................507

IV.3. Decisions arranged by designation of parties (alphabetically).........................................................................................510

V. Table of References to States .............................................................................................................................................522