## International Centre for Settlement of Investment Disputes Washington, D.C.

## In the proceedings between

Aguas Provinciales de Santa Fe S.A, Suez, Sociedad General de Aguas de Barcelona, S.A., and InterAguas Servicios Integrales del Agua, S.A. (Claimants)

and

The Argentine Republic (Respondent)

ICSID Case No. ARB/03/17

\_\_\_\_\_

## Procedural Order No. 1 Concerning the Discontinuance of Proceedings with Respect to Aguas Provinciales de Santa Fe S.A.

Whereas the Claimant Aguas Provinciales de Santa Fe S.A. (APSF) by letter of 11 January 2006, informed the Tribunal that APSF "in compliance with a condition imposed by the Province of Santa Fe for its approval of the sale of Claimant Shareholders' shares in APSF to Alberdi Aguas S.A....has decided to withdraw its claim in the above-referenced-arbitration" but that such withdrawal was expressly without prejudice to the Claimant Shareholders' claims in this proceeding;

<u>Whereas</u> the Respondent, in response to the Tribunal's request for its observations on this matter, did not object to APSF's withdrawal but requested by letter of 15 February 2006, that the Claimants provide the Respondent with copies of the minutes of APSF's shareholders' meeting (*Asamblea de Accionistas de APSF*) with respect to the decision authorizing such withdrawal;

Aguas Provinciales de Santa Fe S.A., Suez, Sociedad General de Aguas de Barcelona S.A. and Interagua Servicios Integrales de Agua S.A.

v. Argentine Republic

(ICSID Case No. ARB/03/17) Procedural Order No. 1

Whereas the Respondent, after having been provided copies of such documents, informed

the Tribunal by letter of March 31, 2006, para. 9, that "... the Argentine Republic does

not oppose the proposed cessation by the Concessionaire... APSF..." in ICSID

arbitration ARB/03/17 ( "... la República Argentina no se opone al desistimiento

planteado por la[s] Concesionaria[s] APSF...en [el] arbitraje[s] CIADI/03/17"), but

argued that such withdrawal had legal consequences with respect to the Tribunal's

jurisdiction over the Shareholder Claimants and their claims;

Whereas ICSID Arbitration Rule 44 provides:

If a party requests the discontinuance of the proceeding, the Tribunal, or the

Secretary-General if the Tribunal has not yet been constituted, shall in an order fix

a time limit within which the other party may state whether it opposes the

discontinuance. If no objection is made in writing within the time limit, the other

party shall be deemed to have acquiesced in the discontinuance and the Tribunal,

or if appropriate the Secretary-General, shall in an order take note of the

discontinuance of the proceeding. If objection is made, the proceeding shall

continue.

Whereas neither ICSID Arbitration Rule 44, nor any other ICSID Arbitration Rule, nor

the ICSID Convention specifically provides for the withdrawal of one party from an

arbitration proceeding that is to continue thereafter;

Whereas Article 44 of the ICSID Convention provides: "...If any question of procedure

arises which is not covered by this Section or the Arbitration Rules or any rules agreed by

the parties, the Tribunal shall decide the question.";

Whereas discontinuance of the proceedings with respect to one of the parties at its request

and in the absence of objection from other parties is in accordance with the basic

2

Aguas Provinciales de Santa Fe S.A., Suez, Sociedad General de Aguas de Barcelona S.A. and Interagua Servicios Integrales de Agua S.A.

> v. Argentine Republic (ICSID Case No. ARB/03/17)

Procedural Order No. 1

objective of the ICSID Convention of facilitating the settlement of investment disputes,

of which ICSID Arbitration Rule 44 is a specific manifestation;

Whereas the continued participation of APSF in this proceeding under the conditions

stated above would serve no useful purpose in bringing about a fair and correct resolution

of the present arbitration;

Whereas the legal consequence of such discontinuance on the jurisdiction of the Tribunal

over the other Claimants and their claims is a matter that is more appropriately to be

considered in the Tribunal's conclusions on the question of jurisdiction now in the

process of deliberation, rather than in the present order;

Now, therefore the Tribunal composed of Jeswald W. Salacuse (president), Gabrielle

Kaufmann-Kohler, and Pedro Nikken after deliberation makes the following order:

1. The proceedings in the present ICSID Case No. ARB/03/17 with respect to

the Claimant Aguas Provinciales de Santa Fe S.A are hereby discontinued and

the said Claimant Aguas Provinciales de Santa Fe S.A shall cease to be a party

to the present case with effect from today.

2. The proceedings in the present ICSID Case no. ARB/03/17 shall continue in

all other respects.

[Signed]

Jeswald W. Salacuse President of the Tribunal

Medford, MA

[April 14, 2006]

3